

Research Model Analysis of Muscle Power and Measuring Methods

Vivek M Sonde¹, Vishal S Ghutke², Pravin P Ashtankar³, and Priyanka N Warnekar^{4*}

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Priyadarshini College of Engineering, RTM Nagpur University, Nagpur.

^{2,3,4}Priyadarshini College of Engineering, RTM Nagpur University, Nagpur.

Abstract. Momentum is one of the most common sources of power for collecting. This article is important in the development of human power as it is a motivational investigation into the wood chipping process. An effective computational framework was established for the following variables: the power required to accelerate the spinning wheel, arterial pressure rise, and time needed for achieving spinning wheel speed. Five people with different physical characteristics pedaled. The energy production unit and output necessary for every individual are to be evaluated. The method of cycling and engaging the power transmitter can be considered a different subject of inquiry in this study. A separate experimental strategy was devised to measure human energy, and many factors were identified for further investigation for the first time, an effort was made to calculate the amount of human power necessary to operate any machine. The originality of the present study is regarded as of the utmost significance since it is transferable to any mathematical framework developed.

1 Brief discussion

The human-operated spinning wheel engine consists of two elements [1]. The first is the process of cycling until its power transmitter is engaged, while the subsequent step is the process of engaging the power transmitter with the end unit. All prior investigators of the man-operated notion conducted their studies solely after engaging the power transmitter in the procedure model. No pathway was investigated from user exertion to power transmitter participation in man-operated equipment [2]. This is recognized as an investigation gap in this subject's activity. The suitable investigation, depending on the indicated region, has effectively included the remedy of the human-operated machinery. Here, the physical energy necessary to ride the bike unit to the desired rpm is determined. A unique research strategy was created to measure human energy. The power of all gear sets is determined in accordance with the test approach that was designed.

* Corresponding Author: mailtovivekms@gmail.com

1.1 Working procedure and characteristic

When a cyclist starts cycling, the force of the leg tapping the foot pedal is measured using a load cell [3]. The force on each pedal was measured using two different load cells. The force required is computed by evaluating the span of the spindle arms. (The load falling above the foot pedal is translated into the span of the spindle arms.) Then the computed load and distance are divided by the entire time spent on the spinning wheel to determine the necessary power [4]. other factors such as high arterial pressure (BP) and heart rate were obtained [5]. Each subject had their early spikes in bloodstream pressure and pulse rate tracked. The sixty measurements were made on each successive rider [6]. This study of measuring the human power and human power calculations first time invented by means of this study [7]. The independent instrument method was developed to assess the rider's weight while cycling [8].

2 Credentials of variables

The different necessary and controlled factors for assessing the human effort needed to operate Wood chipper unit are as follows: [9]

Table-1. Parameters connected to the human power needed to operate a wooden chipper cutter.

S. No	Parameters	Section	M L T	Variables (Needed/Autonomous)
1.	P_H is Energy needed for an acceleration increase.	mm/ sec	ML^2T^{-1}	Needy
2.	B_P is Power required for movement increases.	N /mm	MT^{-2}	Needy
3.	T_F is Runtime necessary to accelerate the spinning wheel	SEC	time	Needy
4.	P_r is the rate of pulse increase	Sec^{-1}	T^{-1}	Needy
5.	G is considered as gear ratio		$M^0L^0T^0$	Autonomous
6.	ω is the angular speed of the spinning wheel.	Rad to sec	T^{-1}	Autonomous
7.	Weight of Human, W_p	N	Mass	Autonomous
8.	H_p refers to a human's height,	Millimetre	Length	Autonomous
9.	While g represents their speed owing to gravity.	mm/s^2	LT^{-2}	Autonomous



Fig. 1. Innovative arrangement of man operated Propeller Device including Wood Chipping Unit.

3 Calculation of Pi(π) factors for all required & self-driving variables

Buckingham pi A hypothesis was utilized to analyze the dimensions of the suggested device [4]. The power necessary for speeding the spinning wheel Ph, the arterial pressure rises, the duration needed to accelerate up the spinning wheel TF, and the heart rate rises Pr is an indicator for gearing percentage (G), gravitational acceleration (g), spinning wheel angular speed (ω f), person's load (Wp), and altitude (Hp). [9]

The total number of variables = 9.

The total number of needed variables = 4.

With 5 independent elements, i.e.

Hp / the BP / a TF/PR=f (that G, ω f, Wp, Hp, g) or f (G, ω f, The wp, Hp, g) = 0.

Since G, Wp, and HP are dependent variables, therefore, m - 3 formula should be used.

The overall quantity of distinct variables: n - 5

All of π (pi)Phrases = n-m = 5 - 3 = 2, therefore $\Pi D1 = f1 (\Pi1, \Pi2,) = \text{zero}$

4 Development π (Pi) of phrases for required parameters [10]

4.1 1st (pi) π Phrase:

$$\pi(\text{pi})1 = (g)^{a1} (Wp)^{b1} (Hp)^{c1} G$$

$$(M^0 L^0 T^0)^0 = (L T^{-2})^{a1} (M)^{b1} (L)^{c1} (M^0 L^0 T^0)$$

The context of a1, b1 and c1 are examined the computing ratio for m, l & t on equal sides, as shown here:

'Mass'	'Length'	'Time'
M -0 = b1	L -0 = a1 + c1	T -0 = -2 ^{a1}
b1 = Zero	(Based on T's equation, substitute a1 = zero)	thus, a1=0.
	0 + c1 = 0. Therefore, c1=0.	putting expression duration find the importance reg. b 1.

Putting answer of a1, b1 and c1 in the calculation for π (pi)1 Phrase, we received:

$$\pi(\text{pi})1 = (g)^0 (Ds)^0 (Ec)^0 G$$

4.2 2nd π (pi) Phrase:

$$\pi(\text{pi})2 = (g)^{a2} (Wp)^{b2} (Hp)^{c2} \omega f$$

$$(m)^0 (l)^0 (t)^0 = (L T^{-2})^{a2} (M)^{b2} (L)^{c2} T^{-1}$$

The context of a1, b1 and c1 are is examined the computing ratio for m, l & t on equal sides, as shown here:

'Mass'	'Length'	'Time'
M -0 = b2	L -0 = a2 + c2	T ⁻⁰ = -2a2 -1
	0 = a2 + c2 -0	0 = -2a2 -0 -1, Thus, a2=-1/2
	(Expression T, a2=-1/2)	putting expression of length to get importance reg c 2
	0 = -1/2 + c2 - 0, Hence c2=1/2	

Using answers a2, b2, & c2, which is in the computation of π (pi)2 Phrases, we received:

$$\pi(\text{pi})2 = (g)^{-1/2} (Wp)^0 (Hp)^{1/2} \omega f.$$

Table-2. π Phrases of autonomous factors

$\pi(\pi)$ Phrases	$\pi(\pi)$ Phrases equations
$\pi(\pi)1$	$(g)^0 (Ds)^0 (Ec)^0 G$
$\pi(\pi)2$	$(g)^{-1/2} (Wp)^0 (Hp)^{1/2} \omega f,$

5 Generating π Phrases for required parameters [11]

5.1 1st required $\pi(\pi)$ Phrase:

$$\pi_{D1} = (g)^{aD1} (W_p)^{bD1} (H_p)^{cD1} P_h$$

$$(m)^0 (l)^0 (t)^0 = (LT^{-2})^{aD1} (M_r)^{bD1} (L_r)^{cD1} (ML^2T^{-1})$$

The context reg. a1, b1 & c1 is examined of computing ratio for m, l & t on equal sides, as shown here [12]:

<u>'Mass'</u>	<u>'Length'</u>	<u>'Time'</u>
M - zero	L-0 = aD1+cD1+2	T-0 = -2aD1-1
bD1 +1= zero	(From expression, Time, put aD1=-1/ 2)	aD1=-1/2
bD1 =-1	0=-1/2+cD1+2, here, c-D1=-3/2	Using the Length expression to determine the relevance of c-D1

Using, answers a 2 b 2, & c2, which is in the computation of $\pi(\pi)2$ Phrases, we received:
 $\pi(\pi)D1=(g)^{-1/2} (Ds)^{-1} (Ec)^{3/2} Ph$

5.2 2nd Dependent $\pi(\pi)$ Phrase:

$$\pi_{D2} = (g)^{aD2} (W_p)^{bD2} (H_p)^{cD2} B_p$$

$$(m)^0 (l)^0 (t)^0 = (LT^{-2})^{aD2} (M_r)^{bD2} (L_r)^{cD2} (M, T^{-2})$$

The context reg. a1, b1 & c1 is examined of computing ratio form, l & t on equal sides, as shown here:

<u>'Mass'</u>	<u>'Length'</u>	<u>'Time'</u>
M - 0	L-0=aD2+ cD2	T-0=-2aD2 - 2
bD2 + 1 = 0	(From expression, Time, a-D2=-1) 0=-1+c-D2,	Thus a-D2 = -1
bD2 = - 1	Thus c-D2=1	Using the Length expression to determine the relevance of c-D2

Using, answers a 2 b 2, & c2, which is in the computation of $\pi(\pi)2$ Phrases, we received:
 $\pi(\pi)D2=(g)^{-1},(Wp)^{-1},(Hp)^1,Bp$

5.3 3rd Dependent $\pi(\pi)$ Phrase:

$$\pi_{D2} = (g)^{aD2} (W_p)^{bD2} (H_p)^{cD2} B_p$$

$$(m)^0 (l)^0 (t)^0 = (LT^{-2})^{aD2} (M_r)^{bD2} (L_r)^{cD2} (M, T^{-2})$$

The context reg. a1, b1 & c1 is examined of computing ratio form, l & t on equal sides, as shown here:

<u>'Mass'</u>	<u>'Length'</u>	<u>'Time'</u>
M - 0	L-0=aD ₂ + cD ₂	T-0=-2aD ₂ - 2
bD ₂ + 1	(From expression, Time, a-D ₂ =-1)	Thus a-D ₂ = -1
= 0	0=-1+c-D ₂ ,	Using the Length expression to
bD ₂ = - 1	Thus c-D ₂ =1	determine the relevance of c-D ₂

Using, answers a 2 b 2, & c₂, which is in the computation of π(pi)₂ Phrases, we received:
 π(pi)_{D₂}=(g)⁻¹, (Wp)⁻¹, (Hp)¹, Bp

5.4 4th Dependent π(pi) Phrase:

π(pi)_D=(g)^{aD⁴} (wp)^{bD⁴} (hp)^{cD⁴} Pr
 (m)⁰ (l)⁰ (t)⁰=(LT⁻²)^{aD⁴} (M_.)^{bD⁴} (L_.)^{cD⁴} (T⁻¹)
 The context reg. a₁, b₁ & c₁ is examined of computing ratio for m, l & t on equal sides, as shown here:

<u>'Mass'</u>	<u>'Length'</u>	<u>'Time'</u>
M-0	L - 0 = aD ₄ + cD ₄	T-0=-2aD ₄ -1
bD ₄ = 0	(assuming aD ₄ = -1/2 from T's equation).	Thus a-D ₄ =-1/2
	0=-1/2+c-D ₄ , thus c-D ₄ =1/2.	Using the expression Length that determine the meaning c-D ₄

Using, answers a 2 b 2, & c₂, which is in the computation of π(pi)₂ Phrases, that we received:

π(pi)_{D₄} = (g)^{-1/2}, (Wp)⁰, (Hp)^{1/2}, Pr

Table-3. (pi)π Phrases for required factors

π(pi)Phrases	π(pi)Phrases equations
π(pi) _{P1}	(g)-1/2(Ds)-1(Ec)3/2-Ph
π(pi) _{P2}	(g)-I (Wp)-I (Hp)1 Bp
π(pi) _{P3}	(g)I/2(Wp)0(Hp)-I/2 tf
π(pi) _{P4}	(g)-I/2(Wp)0 (Hp) I/2 Pr

6 Conclusion

Thus, we acknowledge dimensional formulas in a reduced or compact mode, ensuring that the entire inquiry process takes the least amount of time and generates ideal expertise. The empirical information will be used to develop the computational structure. The inquiry experiment was developed to determine the power necessary to accelerate a spinning wheel, the arterial pressure rise, and the time needed for the spinning wheel to climb. The catalogs of computational models will be produced by the method of multivariate analysis. The research approach used for the task may include sensitivity assessment, the calculation of restricted values, advancement, reliability, and the use of an ANN model to reduce the error among exploratory and measured information. Based on the results, decisions and hypotheses will be developed.

References

1. McCartney, N., Heigenhauser, G. F. Jones, N. L. "Power output and fatigue of human muscle in maximal cycling exercise". *J. Appl. Physiol.* **55**, 218–224 (1983).
2. Sargeant, A. J., Dolan, P. "Effect of prior exercise on maximal short-Phrase power output in humans. *J. Appl. Physiol.*" **63**, 1475–1480 (1987).
3. Modak, J. P., "Human powered Spinning wheel motor concept, design, dynamics and applications", pp 1-50.
4. Rao, S.S., "Optimization Theory & Applications", Wiley Eastern Ltd., 2nd Ed., 1984.
5. Costill, D. L., Thomason, H., Roberts, E. "Fractional utilization of aerobic capacity during distance running". *Med. Sci. Sports* **5**, 248–252 (1973).
6. Hooper, D., Miller, R., Layzer, R., Giannini, D., Milner-Brown, H. S., Koretsky, A., Weiner, M. "Correlation between high energy phosphates and fatigue in human muscle". *Soc. Mag. Res. Med.* **1**, 481 (1985).
7. Margaria, R., Aghemo, P., Rovelli, E. "Measurement of muscular power (anaerobic) in man". *J. Appl. Physiol.* **21**, 1662–1664 (1966).
8. Philips Z, Ginnelly L, Sculpher M, et al. Review of guidelines for good practice in decision-analytic modelling in health technology assessment. *Health Technol Assess.* **8(36)**:iii–158 (2004).
9. Kuntz K, Sainfort F, Butler M, et al. Decision and Simulation Modeling in Systematic Reviews. Methods Research Report. 2013 (Prepared by the University of Minnesota Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2007-10064-I.) AHRQ Publication No. 11(**13**)-EHC037-EF.
10. Sakhale C.N., Bapat P.M. and Singh M.P., "Design of Experimentation And Application of Methodology of Engineering Experimentation To Investigation of Processing Torque, Energy And Time Required In Bamboo Processing Operations", *International Journal of Bamboo and Rattan*, Vol. **9**. 1&2, Jbr 284, 13-27 (2011).
11. Brennan A, Chick SE, Davies R. A taxonomy of model structures for economic evaluation of health technologies. *Health Econ.* **15(12)**:1295–310 (2006).
12. Chilcott J, Tappenden P, Rawdin A, et al. Avoiding and identifying errors in health technology assessment models: qualitative study and methodological review. *Health Technol Assess.* **14 (25)**:iii–107 (2010).