Laser based manufacturing of titanium aluminides
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Abstract:
Lightweight titanium aluminides (TiAl, $\rho = 3.9 - 4.1$ g/cm$^3$) gain in importance as high temperature structural material. The known properties like high strength and creep resistance combined with high corrosion and wear are of continuous interest for turbomachinery applications like low pressure turbine blades. Additive manufacturing (AM) provides the possibility for near-net-shape production of functional complex parts and can contribute to reduce consumption and costs of material, tooling and finishing. The typical high brittleness and oxygen affinity of TiAl cause special requirements for processing this material with AM. In this work, recent progress in Additive Manufacturing of the TiAl alloys of the nominal compositions Ti-43.5Al-4Nb-1Mo-0.1B (at.-percent, TNMTM-B1), Ti-48Al-2Cr-2Nb (at.-percent, GE4822) and Ti-45Al-2Nb-2Mn-0.8B (at.-percent, 4522XDTM) is presented. Microstructures resulting from both Laser Powder Bed Fusion (LPBF) and Direct Laser Deposition (DED) are compared with respect to the characteristics of the manufacturing processes. Hardness measurements according to Vickers are performed, and pressure strength tests are performed on selected samples. The crack-free additive manufacturing of complex geometries made of TiAl is demonstrated as well as an approach for manufacturing hybrid parts combining DED and LPBF.

1. Introduction
Lightweight intermetallic TiAl-based alloys can compete with Ni-based alloys in temperatures ranges up to 800°C. By providing high specific strength and creep resistance combined with good oxidation resistance they have been qualified for turbomachinery applications [1-5] and considered for part production by conventional, powder metallurgical and additive manufacturing (AM) [6-11]. Starting with General Electric introducing GE4822 as a structural material for GE9x-engine blades [12] and Rolls Royce using cast 4522XDTM for a number aero-engine components e.g. compressor stator vanes, blades and LP turbine blades [13], MTU Aero Engines developed an alloy called TNMTM. Manufactured by forging, it is in use since 2016, e.g. in the Pratt&Whitney PW1100G fan engine [14]. TiAl not only provides advantageous properties but is also characterized by high room temperature brittleness and high oxidation affinity. Thus, conventional machining or welding is difficult [15-18], cost intensive and additive manufacturing becomes increasingly attractive, particularly for parts with complex inner structures. Current research includes EBM of e.g. GE4822 [9,19], Laser powder bed fusion (LPBF) of TNMTM [8,20], and Direct energy deposition (DED) of GE4822 and TNMTM [7,10,21]. Potential in Laser additive manufacturing is provided by the possibility to evade the necessity for a costly vacuum atmosphere and build on already 3-dimensional structures. Therefore, in the present study we aim to complete the demonstration of the feasibility to manufacture defect free parts out of the above mentioned TiAl alloys GE4822, 4522XDTM and TNMTM with both LPBF and DED. The manufacturing of test material is performed to compare the procedures and test for transferability and compatibility within the $\gamma$-TiAl system especially regarding aspects of hybrid manufacturing.

2. Experimental
Material
The powders used in this study and the nominal chemical composition are listed in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNMTM (DED)</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>20-90 $\mu$m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNMTM (LPBF)</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25-63 $\mu$m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE4822</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>20-90 $\mu$m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4522XDTM</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>20-90 $\mu$m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For LPBF, the GE4822 and 4522XD powder were sieved and the fraction < 75 $\mu$m was used for the experiments. For LMD, similar cast material was used as substrate material. LBPF base-plates were made out of Ti6Al4V.

DED Setup and Processing
The LMD setup consisted of an argon purged process chamber (O2 <50 ppm) with a 3-axis cartesian machine, a 2kW diode laser type LDF 2000-30 (Laserline GmbH) with wavelengths 1025 und 1064 nm, an OTS-5 optic (Laserline GmbH) and an induction preheating provided by a medium frequency generator MFG18 (Eldec GmbH) with max. 18 kW. The final parameters applied to material analyzed in this study are listed in Table II.
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Table II: LMD parameters finally used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Laser power</th>
<th>Spot diameter</th>
<th>Velocity</th>
<th>Hatch distance</th>
<th>Layer thickness</th>
<th>Preheating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNM</td>
<td>66 W</td>
<td>0.6 mm</td>
<td>500 mm/min</td>
<td>0.3 mm</td>
<td>0.25 mm</td>
<td>900°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE4822</td>
<td>175 W</td>
<td>80 μm</td>
<td>1400 mm/s</td>
<td>60 μm</td>
<td>25 μm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4522XD</td>
<td>175 W</td>
<td>80 μm</td>
<td>1400 mm/s</td>
<td>60 μm</td>
<td>25 μm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LPBF Setup and Processing
For LPBF experiments, a MIDI (Aconity 3D GmbH) equipped with a 1kW fibre laser type YLR-1000WC, (IPG Photonics Corporation) with a wavelength of 1070 µm and a galvanometric scanner type intelliSCAN30 (SCANLAB GmbH) was used. Induction heating of max. 1200°C was provided by a TruHeat HF 3005 (TRUMPF GmbH + Co. KG). Based on preliminary investigations a preheating temperature of 900°C is used. This temperature is higher than the respective brittle-to-ductile-transient-temperature (BDTT) and increases the ductility to enable crack free building of parts. The oxygen content during the process was approx. 0 ppm. An alternating hatching strategy was used. The final parameters applied to material analyzed in study are listed in Table III.

Table III: LPBF parameters finally used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Laser power</th>
<th>Spot diameter</th>
<th>Scan speed</th>
<th>Hatch distance</th>
<th>Layer thickness</th>
<th>Preheating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNM</td>
<td>200 W</td>
<td>80 μm</td>
<td>1200 mm/s</td>
<td>80 μm</td>
<td>30 μm</td>
<td>900°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE4822</td>
<td>175 W</td>
<td>80 μm</td>
<td>1400 mm/s</td>
<td>60 μm</td>
<td>25 μm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4522XD</td>
<td>175 W</td>
<td>80 μm</td>
<td>1400 mm/s</td>
<td>60 μm</td>
<td>25 μm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post heat treatment
The heat treatment was performed in a KLS 05/13 Therm-Concept furnace with a maximum temperature of 1300°C and a continuous protective Argon stream of 300 L/h. The respective parameters for each alloy are listed in Table IV.

Table IV: Heat treatment parameters used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Cooling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNM</td>
<td>1290°C</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>Furnace cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE4822</td>
<td>1250°C</td>
<td>240 min</td>
<td>Air cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4522XD</td>
<td>1260°C</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>Furnace cooling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis methods
Samples were cut along the build direction z across the weld direction. Images of polished cross sections were taken with a LEO1455 EP (Zeiss GmbH).
Micro-hardness measurements were performed using a Leco semi-automatic Vickers tester. The testing time was 15 s with a test load of 300 g. The distance between measurements was 0.5 mm, which were performed in the middle section of each sample parallel to the build direction.
Pressure strength tests were performed with a Zwick Roell Z100 machine including a Maytec furnace with a testing speed 10-4 s-1 until break point. The testing temperature was 700°C. The atmosphere was argon.

3. Results

Process evolution

DED
In Figure 1 the process evolution performed for LMD of γ-TiAl is depicted in selected examples of the produced samples. With the parameters listed in Table II, geometries with a min. width of 0.6 mm can be built out of the alloys TNM-B1TM, GE4822 and 4522XDTM without showing cracks or porosity > 0.5% in any case. The maximum build height tested is 60 mm, the maximum width 10 mm.
In [20] is demonstrated the successful build of a complete automobile turbocharger wheel out of TNMTM-B1 with LPBF. In the present study, process parameters were developed to produce equally dense and crack free parts out of GE4822 and 4522XDTM. Selected results of this study are presented in Figure 2.

Walls with a chosen thickness between 1 and 5 mm are built. The parts are crack free and show a density >99.95%. The manufacturing of complex parts is demonstrated by a segment of the geometry used in [20]. For further comparison, test samples with the width of 4 mm and height of 10 mm are selected for both processes and all alloys.

Microstructure and properties

In Figure 3 SEM images of cross sections of LAM material in their respective heat treated condition are depicted. All samples consist out of the expected [5] phases which are mainly γ-phase (dark grey) as well as lamellar γ+α2- colonies (grey/light grey). While in DED manufactured TNMTM-material β0-phase occurs (nearly white, Figure 3 D), in both 4522XDTM samples borides (Figure 3 C,F) can be detected (white).
One remarkable aspect is the difference in size and distribution of microstructural features. The decreased cooling rate in DED compared to LPBF in the range of times 10 K/s leads to a still very fine, but comparatively coarser structure. Nevertheless, after heat treatment the grain sizes appear similar for DED and LPBF in case of the GE4822 and 4522XDTM. Additionally, DED manufactured samples consequently show a higher amount of $\gamma$ phase compared to the LPBF counterparts. It is assumed, that a higher content of oxygen in DED, like e.g. reported in [7] as well as the lower Al-content stabilizes $\alpha$ and increases $T_{\gamma, \text{solv}}$ [21].

This effect is most prominent when comparing the LAM TNMTM samples. As a consequence of reaching the $T_{\gamma, \text{solv}}$ in LBPF material, $\gamma$ is soluted during heat treatment of LPBF samples. Grain growth occurs, and the final microstructure is completely lamellar. At the same time, in DED samples due to the chemical composition the heat treatment still occurs in the $(\alpha+\gamma)$-field. Grain boundaries are stabilized by unsolved $\gamma$ and $\beta$ phase. Grain growth is hindered, and unsolved primary lamellae grow in width. Because of finer secondary lamellae and a higher amount of $\alpha$, higher hardness and smaller ductility for LPBF samples is expected.

Hardness measurements of all samples and alloys processed in this study are presented in Figure 4. Heat treatment results in general softening of all samples and equalizing of the respective DED and LPBF material.
In accordance with the differences in microstructure, LPBF samples tend to still show a higher hardness after heat treatment. Despite the massive grain growth in LPBF TNMTM, which would support a smaller hardness compared to DED, the completely lamellar structure seems to be dominant over the grain size. Therefore, both effects balance each other, resulting in similar hardness values and supporting the idea of combining both AM and other manufacturing processes for part production. On selected samples, pressure strength tests were performed at 700°C results of which are presented in Figure 5.

DED samples reach a yield strength in compression of 207 MPa ± 48 with a compression of 0.8% in average. The maximum breaking stress in compression reaches 1180 MPa ± 44 with a compression of 24.5. These values illustrate a low ductility of the material even at elevated temperatures and in the range of related tests at room temperature [8,20]. It is assumed, that further decrease in oxygen during the process chain could be favorable to increase the mechanical properties, which therefore is a remaining challenge for LAM not only of TiAl but several intermetallic materials. Tests of the other materials used in this study are on-going for direct comparison.

Outlook: Hybrid manufacturing

Similar microstructures (GE4822 and 4522XDTM) and hardness values support well the idea of hybrid manufacturing as e.g. a combination of DED for repair of otherwise produced parts or producing massive parts with a fast process for high build rates and adding near-net-shape features with a high solution afterwards. To give an example for this approach, a small part of a blade like presented in Figure 2,C, built with LBPF out of GE4822, was removed and afterwards built again with similar DED material. The results of this trial are presented in Figure 6.
i) It was demonstrated, that with nearly identical parameters and process conditions all three alloys could be processed. Solid material as well as near-net-shape geometries were built. Therefore, the developed parameter sets are likely transferable to further alloys in the TiAl-system.

ii) Despite differences in initial microstructure and local chemical composition, measurements revealed nearly similar hardness values for DED and LPBF samples. It is assumed, that compatibility of material produced by both AM and likely other processes is given, as differences diminish through heat treatment.
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