Influence of Product Quality, Price and Supporting Infrastructure to Perceived Value and Interest in Buying of Electric Motorcycle
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Abstract. Energy consumption in Indonesia always increase every year. Transportation sector is the highest energy increase among other sector, totally transportation sector consume 35% energy. Motorcycle, especially automatic type is dominate population of vehicle. This research want to know the influence of several factor to the interest of buying electric motorcycle. From research result we can conclude that product quality, price and supporting infrastructure have positive influence to both of perceived value and interest in buying of electric motorcycle. Direct relation between those three factors to interest in buying electric motorcycle is higher than indirect relation when through perceived value. For example comparing direct effect of product quality is 0,147 to indirect effect is 0,04674. Other data from this research, 91% of the respondents can accept if the speed of an electric motorcycle under 100 km / hour. In general most respondents or 97.5% of respondents require mileage > 50 km for one time battery charging. Total 85% of respondents can accept charging time over 1 hour. Total 95% of respondents can accept a price range between 10 - 30 million rupiah for each unit of electric motorcycle. For infrastructure, respondents who want a feature of charging directly (charging) and replacement batteries (swap) on electric motorcycle, desired by more than 86% of respondents.
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1 Introduction

Energy consumption in Indonesia always increase every year. Transportation sector is the highest energy increase among other sector, with 6.46% average. Totally transportation sector consume 35% energy, second highest after industrial sector. This is because of vehicle population increase 13.7% every year.

The bad news is to fulfill this needs Indonesia still depend on gasoline and other non renewable energy. It is very risky for Indonesia’s future energy sustainibility. Refer to BPS data at 2014, total vehicle population in Indonesia is 104,118,969 vehicles. And the biggest population is Jakarta, with 14,734,601 vehicles. Form this population 75% are motorcycle. Matic type motorcycle is dominate almost 72.9% market share of motorcycle sales in the year of 2016.

There is alternative vehicle to overcome from gasoline dependency, we can use electric vehicle. In motorcycle sector there is also electric motorcycle or electric scooter. China is dominate electric motorcycle sales around the world.
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In Indonesia electric motorcycle sales is at small amount. Refer to National Energy Council target, there is 2,200 units of electric vehicle and 8,000,000 units of electric motorcycle in Indonesia at 2025.

To fulfill this target is not only to develop product, industry and other infrastructure, but need to have a strategy to sale electric motorcycle at Indonesian market. We need to understand what market need and what is market perception to electric motorcycle.

**1 Research methodology**

**1.1 Interest in Buying**

According to Kinnear and Taylor [3], buying interest is the stage of respondent's tendency to act before the buying decision is actually implemented.

According to Ferdinand [4], buying interest can be identified through the following indicators:

1. Transactional interest, namely the tendency of someone to buy the product.
2. Referential interest, the tendency of a person to reference the product to others.
3. Preferential interest, this interest is describes the behavior of someone who has a primary preference for the product. This preference can only be changed if something happens with its preference product.
4. Explorative interest, this interest describes the behavior of a person who is always looking for information about the product he is interested in and seeking information to support the positive traits of the product.

**1.2 Perceived Value**

According to Kotler [5] "customer perceived value is the difference between the perspective of customer's evaluation of all benefits and all the costs of an offering and the perceived alternatives".

Sanchez, et al [6], explains that there is a benefit component and sacrifice component in assessing perceived value. Benefit components consist of:

1. Economic benefit: relates to what customers get from the product.
2. Emotional benefit: shows the affective benefits that customers get from the product.
3. Social benefits: show positive recognition of the brand that will recommend to relatives / friends.
4. Relationship benefits: the state where the expectations of customers in accordance with the needs of customers.

For sacrifice component consists of:

1. Price sacrifice: related to monetary costs as perceived by customers.
2. Time sacrifice: relates to the customer in spending time looking for, buying or consuming products / services.
3. Effort sacrifice: related to the energy customers spend to find and buy products / services.
4. Risk: the probability of negative consequences of purchasing products / services.
5. Inconvenience: related to the customer having a bad experience of purchasing products / services.

**1.3 Product Quality**

In electric motorcycle there is 3 important items related to product quality: vehicle speed, driving range and charging time. As we know there is a worries about vehicle speed of electric motorcycle comparing to gasoline motorcycle, so we need to know this factor influences for customer.
As limitation of battery capacity as energy storage at electric motorcycle, it will take effect to vehicle driving range. Comparing to gasoline motorcycle, electric motorcycle have less driving range. As a consequence of the current technological limitations, only average electric vehicles have a distance of about 160 km for a one-time filling. For electric motorcycle even far below that number. The ability of electric vehicle mileage at this time has not been able to meet the desires of customers. For example, nearly 60% of respondents in a survey that requires a minimum mileage of 160 km. (Deloitte, [7])

For gasoline motorcycle we only need several minute to refueling gasoline. But, for electric vehicle we need several hours to recharge the battery. Therefore, refueling time becomes a more important factor than vehicle mileage. (Segal, [8]). So, we need to know customer perception on these three factors.

1.4 Price

Electric vehicle are still more expensive than gasoline vehicle. Price is important factor influencing customer on interest in buying of electric motorcycle.

For gasoline motorcycle we only need several minutes to refueling gasoline. But, for electric vehicle we need several hours to recharge the battery. Therefore, refueling time becomes a more important factor than vehicle mileage. (Segal, [8]). So, we need to know customer perception on these three factors.

1.6 Correlation Between Each Variable Base on Previous Research

Rachmad Dodi Triawan [12] from the Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, State University of Surabaya in a journal entitled: "The Influence of Financial Factors on electric Vehicle Buying Purpose Brand Honda Type PCX 150 (Study on Cafe Visitor at Tunjungan Plaza Surabaya), which Published in Journal of Management Sciences Volume 05 Number 01 - Department of Management Faculty of Economics, State University of Surabaya, said that there is a positive relationship between perceived value (purchase perceived value) and purchase intention (buying interest). Where the higher the perception of the value of motorcycle products will increase purchasing intentions against the motorcycle.

Ning Wang and Yafei Liu [13] from the School of Automotive Studies, Tongji University, Shanghai, in his journal entitled "Key factors influencing customers' willingness to purchase electric vehicles in China", citing the results of his research that there is a positive relationship between the readiness of supporting infrastructure Electric vehicle (electric vehicle) with customer buying interest in China.

In the Thesis entitled "Analysis of Factors Influencing Interest in Buying New Yamaha Motorcycles in Semarang City", Agus Sukasman Hidayat [14], from Master Program Management of Postgraduate Program of Diponegoro University of Semarang, said that there is a positive influence on competitive prices with an interest in purchasing a motorcycle. In addition, this study also mentions that there is a positive influence between the quality of motorcycle products with buying interest.

Surya Danu Kusmono [15] from Master Program of Postgraduate Program Management of Widya Mandala Catholic University Surabaya, on his thesis entitled "The Influence of Marketing Mix Against Perceived Value and Purchasing Decision of ACR Brand Energy Saving Lamp Products in Surabaya", stated that there is influence between product , price, promotion and place against perceived value.

William Sierzchula, Sjoerd Bakker, et.al [16] from Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Technology, Policy, and Management, The Netherlands, on his research paper, entitled "The influence of financial incentives and other socio-economic Factors on electric...
vehicle adoption", states that charging infrastructure has a positive influence on customer adoption of electric vehicles (electric vehicle).

1.7 Research Conceptual Model & Variables

Refer to literature study this research conceptual model refer to Fig 3.1.

![Fig 2.3 Research Conceptual Model](image)

From this conceptual model, there is 4 independent variables: Product Quality, Price, Infrastructure and Perceived Value. Interest in Buying is dependent variable.

This research has 7 hypothesis as follows:

1. Hₐ: There is a positive influence between electric motor technology with perceived value
2. H₇: There is a positive influence between price and perceived value
3. H₉: There is a positive influence between supporting infrastructure and perceived value
4. H₇: There is a positive influence between perceived value and buying interest
5. H₉: There is a positive influence between electric motor technology with buying interest
6. H₇: There is a positive influence between price and buying interest
7. H₉: There is a positive influence between supporting infrastructure and buying interest

1.8 Unit Analysis, Population & Samples

In this research is determined his unit of analysis is a motorcycle rider in Jakarta. The population of motorcycle users of automatic type in Jakarta in this study can not be known with certainty.

The population of this research is all owners and users of motorcycle type automatic in Jakarta. Because the amount is not known for certain then used sampling technique for infinite population as follows:

\[ n = \frac{Z^2}{4(moe)^2} \]

Information:

- \( N \) = number of samples
- \( Z \) = confidence level
- \( moe \) = Margin of Error

With a confidence level of 95% or \( Z = 1.96 \) and a maximal sample error rate that can still be tolerated or \( moe \) by 10% then the sample size can be determined as follows:

\[ n = \frac{1.96^2}{4(0.1)^2} \]

\[ n = \frac{3.8416}{0.04} \]

\[ n = 96.04 \]

Based on the above calculation results obtained a good number of samples of the population at least 96.04 people. But to facilitate the calculation and because of the elements of rounding, so that in this study targeted the sample used at least amounted to 100 respondents.

Sampling in this research will use non-probability sampling approach that is sampling technique that does not give equal opportunity or opportunity for every element or member of population to be chosen as sample. The sampling used is purposive sampling technique. In this technique, the writer approached the prospective respondents. Then the authors asked whether the prospective respondent is a motorcycle user type automatic and meet the specified sample requirements, in accordance with specific objectives in this study. If true candidate respondents qualify then the author asked the person’s permission to become respondents.

1.9 Pre Test & Main Test

1. Validity & Reliability Test

This research tested the validity using product moment correlation, the formula is:

\[ r_{XY} = \frac{n \sum X_i Y_i - (\sum X_i)(\sum Y_i)}{\sqrt{[n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2][n \sum Y_i^2 - (\sum Y_i)^2]}} \]

Where:

- \( r \) = Product moment correlation
- \( x \) = Score of each question
- \( N \) = total sample
- \( y \) = total score

Furthermore, by statistical methods, the correlation value obtained must be tested first by stating whether the value is significant or not. The correlation test is done by comparing \( r \) obtained with product moment \( r \) in table, seen from \( n \) = number of respondents.
with α = 5% or at 95% confidence level, then r table (0.05,30) = 0.361.

Basic decision making:
• If r count ≥ r table, then the questionnaire question is valid.
• If r arithmetic ≤ r table, then the questionnaire question is invalid.

Reliability test is an instrument used to indicate the extent to which a measurement result is relatively consistent if the measuring device is used repeatedly. Of the many techniques to measure reliability, the authors used the Cronbach Alpha technique, the formula is as follows:

$$r_{\alpha} = \frac{k}{(k-1)} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sum s_i^2}{\sum s_i^2} \right]$$

Information:
- r alpha = instrument reliability
- K = Number of questions
- $\Sigma s_i^2$ = Number of grain variants
- $\Sigma s_i^2$ = Total Variant

2. Classic Assumption Test

There is 3 classic assumption test that used at this research: normality test, heterocedasticity test and multicollinearity test.

Normality test is performed to see whether the disturbing or residual variable generated in the regression model is normally distributed or not. A good regression model is a model that has a normally distributed residual. In this study the normality test was measured by testing the non parametric statistical test of Kalmogorov - Smirnov (K - S).

The heterocedasticity test aims to test whether in the regression model there is a variance inequality of the residual one observation to the other. If the residual variant from one observation to another observes remains, then it is called homocedasticity and if different is called heterocedasticity. A good regression model is homocedasticity or does not occur heterocedasticity. The heteroscedasticity test in this study used Glejser test.

Multicollinearity test aims to determine whether the regression model found a correlation between independent variables (independent). A good regression model should not be correlated between independent variables or multicollinearity.

3. Hypothesis Test

For hypothesis test this research used: multiregression analysis, significant test of coefficients (t test) and path test.

The author uses multiregression analysis because there are more than one independent variable. Significant test of coefficients (t test) used to show how far the influence of one independent variable individually in explaining the variation of the dependent variable. Decision making accept or reject the hypothesis in the study is based on consideration of the coefficient significance of each independent variable to the dependent variable.

Path test is intended to determine the comparison between direct and indirect effects of independent variables on dependent variables if through an intermediate variable (indirect influence) than if not through an intermediate variable (direct influence).

2. Result & Discussion

2.1 Validity & Reliability Test Result

From the validity test conducted through SPSS, with data from 110 respondents, the whole research instrument has r value exceeding r table value at n = 110, ie 0.1874. So, can be concluded that the data on the main test of this study with 110 respondents valid and can be used as data on further analysis. The results of the validity test on the main test can be seen in Table 4.1.
In the test of reliability using SPSS, for the number of respondents 110, obtained the value of Cronbach’s Alpha is 0.738 and more than 0.1874, so it can be concluded that the kuisiner used is reliable or reliable to be continued as a research instrument. The results of the test reliability on the main test can be seen in Table 4.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3.1 Validity Test Result (respondent n=110)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reliability Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach’s Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 Classic Assumption Test Result

From the normality test results obtained significance value of 0.200 where the value is greater than 0.05, it can be concluded that the research data is normally distributed.

From result of heterocedasticity test of significance variable of Quality (K) equal to 0.488, Price variable (H) equal to 0.099, Infrastructure variable (I) 0.573 and variable Perceived Value (PV) 0.932. The significance value of all variables is greater than 0.05, so it can be concluded that the research data does not occur heterocedasticity.

From the result of multicollinearity test of tolerance value of Quality variable (K) 0.884 and VIF value 1.131, Price variable (H) tolerance value 0.803 and VIF value 1.246, Infrastructure variable (I) tolerance value 0.725 and VIF value 1.379. As for the variable Perceived Value (PV) tolerance value of 0.924 and VIF value of 1.082. The tolerance value of all variables is greater than 0.10 and the VIF value is less than 10.0, so it can be concluded that the research data does not occur multicollinearity.

### 2.3 Hypothesis Test Result

From regression test result all significance value is under 0.05, it means that all hypothesis can be accepted that there is positive relationship between each variables.

| Table 3.3 Regression Test Result with Interest in Buying as Dependent Variable |

| T value of Quality is over t tabel (n=110) value : 1.65950. So, it can be concluded that all hypothesis can be accepted. |

| Table 3.4 Regression Test Result with Perceived Value as Dependent Variable |

Also from Table 3.3 and 3.4, we can see that T value is over t tabel (n=110) value : 1.65950. So, it can be concluded that all hypothesis can be accepted.

For path analysis test, the direct effect of quality variables on buying interest is 0.147. While the indirect effect of quality variable on buying interest if through perceived value is: 0.228 x 0.205 = 0.04674. So it can be concluded if the direct influence of quality variables
on buying interest is more significant if compared indirect influence if through perceived variable value.

![Path Analysis Test Result](image)

**Fig 3.1. Path Analysis Test Result**

The direct effect of price variables on buying interest by 0.277. While the indirect effect of price variable on buying interest if through perceived value is: $0.236 \times 0.205 = 0.04838$. So it can be concluded if the direct influence of quality variables on buying interest is more significant if compared indirect influence if through perceived variable value.

The direct effect of quality variables on buying interest is 0.147. While the indirect effect of quality variables on buying interest if through perceived value is: $0.235 \times 0.205 = 0.0517$. So it can be concluded if the direct influence of quality variables on buying interest is more significant if compared indirect influence if through perceived variable value.

### 2.4 Discussion

From hypothesis test we can conclude that all hypotheses can be accepted. For further analysis, this research also ask respondent for the deeper data of independent variables.

1. **Product Quality on Customer Perception**
   - The distance of motorcycle for one battery charge

   For the distance from the electric motorcycle, if asked further to the respondents about the acceptable mileage, 37.5% of respondents can accept the distance between 80-100 km. For the distance of 50-80 km and also > 100 km respectively 30% of respondents who can accept it. And the remaining 2.5% of respondents can accept 20 - 50 km. In general most respondents or 97.5% require mileage > 50 km for one battery charge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Mileage Expected</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 – 50 km</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50 – 80 km</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80 – 100 km</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt; 100 km</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.5 Mileage of electric motorcycles expected by customer**

From the results of this survey the possibility of respondents still compare with the ability of a motorcycle with gasoline, which has a distance of up to 232 km for a one-time full tank of gasoline fuel.

However, when compared with the daily needs of motorcycle riders from respondents in the table 4:31, 40% of respondents traveled 5-20 km per day. Or if totaled 79% of respondents daily mileage less than 30 km. Only 21% exceed 30 km.

This means that there is a gap between the desire of the customer with the actual needs of customers. This matter need to be paid attention by automotive motorcycle industry producer so that can do education to society if want to market electric motorcycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Daily Mileage Use</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 5 km</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 - 20 km</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20 - 30 km</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt; 30 km</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.6 Daily mileage of motorcycle users**

- Charge time of battery from empty to full condition

For charging time from empty to full, 40% of respondents can accept charging time between 3 - 7 hours. If the total 85% of respondents can still accept charging time over 1 hour. And only 15% of respondents who want time charging under 1 hour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Battery Charging Time Expect</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7 – 9 hours</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 – 7 hours</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 – 3 hours</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt; 1 hour</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.7 Battery charging time that customers expect**

Respondents can still accept and understand that electric motorcycles with batteries do take longer in charging. However, it is still necessary to socialize the users of motorcycles if you want to switch to electric motorcycle related recharging habits of energy. Because if it is only 7% of the respondents who fill the gasoline motorcycle every day. 93% of the rest fill gasoline motorcycle 2 days or more.
If you want to switch to electric motorcycle requires charging energy in this case fill the batteries every day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Refueling Frequency Habit</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Everyday</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Every 2 days</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Every 3 days</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>More than 3 days</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.8 Habit of refueling frequency of motorcycle gasoline respondent

For this energy charging habits 50% of respondents to fill up to full, so this habit will be in line with the habits to be formed on charging electric motorcycle batteries that will tend to fill up to full.

- Maximum speed of electric motorcycle

For maximum speed of electric motorcycle 44% of respondents can accept if the maximum speed of electric motorcycle ranges between 50-80 km / hour. If 91% of the respondents can accept if the speed of an electric motorcycle under 100 km / hour. Only 9% of respondents wanted speeds above 100 km / h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Maximum Speed Expected</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 – 50 km/h</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50 – 80 km/h</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80 – 100 km/h</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;100 km/h</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.10 Maximum speed of electric motorcycle expected

The above data is in line with the data of motorcycle driving habits of the respondents where 54% of respondents admitted the maximum speed that has traveled between 50-80 km / hour. With this data the electric motorcycle manufacturer can set the target of electric motorcycle performance in this case its maximum speed at acceptable level by the customer.

2. Price

If it is experienced further by customer acceptable price on electric motorcycles, 53% of respondents want a price hike between 10-15 million rupiahs, and 42% of respondents can accept price range 15-30 million rupiah. If 95% of respondents can accept a price range between 10 - 30 million rupiah for each unit of electric motorcycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Acceptable Price (IDR)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt; 50 million</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30 – 50 million</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15 – 30 million</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10 – 15 million</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.12 Acceptable Price to customer electric motorcycle

If this data is linked to monthly income data from the respondents found a close relationship, of which 89% of respondents earn <10 million rupiah. With that income the ability to buy respondents in the price range 10 - 30 million rupiah.

3. Supporting Infrastructure
If experienced to respondents mengalam this infrastructure can be seen through the data charging method of electric motorcycle product. Seen from the results of deepening of respondents who want a feature of charging directly (charging) and replacement batteries (swap) on electric motorcycle, desired by more than 86% of respondents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Charging Method</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Charge (direct connected)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Swap Battery</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.14. Electric motor batteries charging method desired by respondents

Availability of battery replacement location can disiasati automotive industry by exploiting the mushrooming mini market in Indonesia. So it can cover the lack of availability of charging infrastructure by replacing it into a reliable and available replacement battery replacement line across the region.

3 Conclusion

From the data and discussion we can conclude that:

1. Ha hypothesis is acceptable, there is a positive influence between quality with perceived value.
2. Hb hypothesis is acceptable, there is a positive influence between price and perceived value.
3. Hc hypothesis is acceptable, there is positive influence between infrastructure with perceived value.
4. Hd hypothesis is acceptable, there is positive influence between perceived value with buying interest.
5. He hypothesis is acceptable, there is a positive influence between quality with buying interest.
6. Hf hypothesis is acceptable, there is a positive influence between price and buying interest.
7. Hg hypothesis is acceptable, there is a positive influence between infrastructure and buying interest.

From the results of this study there are some suggestions from the author:

1. Suggestions for further research

Because of the limitations of this study only has 110 respondents, according to the authors in the next study should increase the number of respondents so that the accuracy of research is better.

Should also at the time of conducting research with an example of electric motorcycle products that can be tested by respondents in advance so that respondents better understand the statements that exist in the research questionnaire because it can be directly explained through existing products.

In addition it should be considered to conduct research on other variables that may affect both perceived value and on buying interest.

2. Suggestions for industry

From the results of this study can be seen that the quality, price and infrastructure have a good influence on perceived value and the interest in buying electric motorcycles. With the order of its biggest influence is price, then infrastructure and quality.

The price that can be accepted by respondents for electric motorcycle ranges between 10-30 million rupiah. For the desired infrastructure are battery charging facilities and also battery replacement (most respondents want both to exist).

While in terms of product quality, most respondents want the ability of their electric motorcycle: maximum speed 50-80 km / hour, mileage once charging 80 - 100 km battery and battery charging time is 3 - 7 hours.

This is a challenge for the automotive industry in general in order to meet the expectations of consumers.

3. Suggestions for the government

Due to the most influential factor is the price and infrastructure, the authors suggest to the government to consider the existence of a subsidized policy for electric vehicles in order to meet consumer expectations. It also takes the role of government together with industry to provide battery charging infrastructure and battery exchange.
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