Wind or Hydro Homo-Heteropolar Synchronous Generators: Equivalent Magnetic Circuit and FEM Analysis
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Abstract. In an effort to introduce a low cost (PM less), low power electric wind or hydro generators, this paper reports on preliminary design aspects, equivalent magnetic circuit and 3D FEM analysis of a 2.5 KVA, 250-1000 rpm, reactive homo-heteropolar brushless synchronous machine (RHHBSM).

1 Introduction

One of the main disadvantages of the classic synchronous machines is the armature’s excitation winding which determines a great rotor weight and inertia and involves the sliding contacts’ existence (brushes and slips rings). Reference [1] presented a new form of heteropolar linear synchronous machine that is capable of providing both thrust and lifting force at relatively high efficiencies and power factor. In [2] is presented a rotary reactive homopolar synchronous machine with stator excitation which removes the disadvantages of the classic synchronous machines.

Conception constraints on electro-technical devices require numerical simulations to be as close as possible to its actual operating conditions. Then, it is necessary to have coupled physical models of devices, especially, for electrical, magnetic and mechanical coupled models which allow the simulation of loaded rotating machines [3].

Finite elements method (F.E.M.) allows such coupling for 2D plan modeling devices. Nevertheless, it requires a lot of calculation time. Its use for three dimensional typical machine has never been done until nowadays and calculation time will be even longer [4].

In order to obtain the best results in designing of the special electric machines, it should be used both the classic methods and the numerical calculation methods. The calculation should be based on a mathematic model as accurate possible. Based on this model are determined by simulation the characteristics of the machine in non-saturated and saturated regime [2], [5].

The designing particularities of these types of generators are linked to the axial character of the magnetic field distribution. The field calculation in the machine can be achieved by the finite elements method [6], or by field tubes method [2], [5], [7-10]. Taking into consideration the axial distribution of the machine field, it is necessary a three-dimensional modeling of the machine field. For this three-dimensional model is required a specialized software that needs a performance computer, and the calculations time could be high.

2 The constructive elements

The reactive homopolar (RHBSM) and homopolar brushless synchronous machines (RHHBSM) which we’ll analyze further are rotary machines. In order to understand their constructive elements, in Fig.1 is presenting a longitudinal section. The excitation coil has a ring shape and is placed in the windows of the U or E-shaped laminations stack (Fig. 1 a, b), and, at passing of the rotor poles, the field is closing, having by this a rectangular variation form. When the rotor pole is not under the laminations stack, the field is practically null.

![Fig. 1. Longitudinal magnetic circuit section in: a) homo-heteropolar synchronous machine; b) homopolar synchronous machine.](image-url)
The constructive elements of the novel RHBSM and RHHBSM, are presented in Fig. 2, 3 and 4 in a 3D and 2D view.

**Fig. 2.** a) 3D representation of stator magnetic circuit with excitation and phase winding coils of RHHBSM; b) 3D representation of the rotor of RHHBSM; c) 3D representation of the stator magnetic circuit with excitation coil of RHBSM; d) 3D representation of the rotor of RHBSM.

The excitation coils has a ring shape and are placed in the windows of the E-shaped laminations stack. The armature AC winding is placed in the open slots, formed between the pockets of lamination stack. To design the three dimensional magnetic structure of the RHHBSM is necessary to identify the flux distribution of the machine. The geometry of the RHHBSM poses a challenging problem because of the various cross-couplings between the rotor and stator. The flux distribution caused by the AC winding and by the DC excitation is investigated separately.
3 The method of equivalent magnetic circuits

A quasi-stationary magnetic field can be divided in field tubes, which are geometrical figures in which all the field lines are perpendicular on its bases and do not intersect the side surface. The plans of the same scalar magnetic potential are perpendicular on the flux lines. Is noted with $\Gamma$ the specific permeance on the length unit, with $\lambda$ the permeance, with $\mu_0 (\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ H/m})$ the void’s magnetic permeability and with $l$ the active conductor’s length in the slot:

$$\Gamma = \frac{\lambda}{\mu_0 l}.$$  

(1)

In fig. 5 is presenting a conductor into an elementary slot where were made the notations: $h$ the slot depth, $w$ the slot opening towards the air-gap, $I$ the current that circulates through the conductor from the slot, $\phi$ the magnetic flux produced by the current that circulates through the conductor and $dy$ the distance element by axis $y$.

The flux element, where $B$ is the magnetic induction in the slot, $dA$ the area element and $H$ the magnetic field’s intensity, has the expression:

$$d\phi = B \cdot dA = B \cdot l \cdot dy = \mu_0 \cdot H \cdot l \cdot dy.$$  

(2)

If is neglected the magnetic voltage drop in the iron core comparatively with $w$ from the slot, from the magnetic circuit’s law is deduced:

$$H \cdot w = I = U/H,$$  

(3)

$$d\phi = \mu_0 \cdot \frac{I}{w} \cdot l \cdot dy.$$  

(4)

By integration is obtained:

$$\phi = \int \frac{h}{w} d\phi = \mu_0 \cdot \frac{I}{w} \cdot l \cdot h.$$  

(5)

Is calculated the magnetic reluctance $R_m$ and then the specific permeance $\Gamma$:

$$R_m = \frac{U}{\phi} = \frac{l}{\phi} = \frac{w}{\mu_0 \cdot l \cdot h},$$  

(6)

$$\lambda = \frac{l}{R_m} = \frac{\mu_0 \cdot l \cdot h}{w},$$  

(7)

$$\Gamma = \frac{h}{w}.$$  

(8)

Based on these premises, considering the configuration of the RHBSM or RHHBSM, presented in fig. 1, where $\lambda_{ij}$ is the permeance between the stator tooth $i$ and the rotor pole $j$ depending on angle $\vartheta$ between the axis of stator tooth $i$ and the axis of the rotor pole $j$, the permeance in the air-gap has the expression [3]:

$$\lambda_{ij}(\vartheta) = \lambda_{\text{max}} \cdot b(\vartheta).$$  

(9)

If $D_{si}$ is the inner stator diameter and $D_{ro}$ the outer rotor diameter, the term $b(\vartheta)$ has the definition relation [11-15]:

$$b(\vartheta) = \frac{b(\vartheta) - b(\pi)}{b(0) - b(\pi)} - \pi \leq \vartheta \leq \pi,$$  

(10)

$$\beta = \ln \frac{D_{si}}{D_{ro}},$$  

(11)

$$b(\vartheta) = \ln \frac{\cosh(\pi \cdot \frac{\vartheta - \vartheta_0}{2\beta}) \cdot \cosh(\pi \cdot \frac{\vartheta + \vartheta_0}{2\beta})}{\cosh^2(\pi \cdot \frac{\vartheta}{2\beta}) - \beta^2} +$$

$$+ 4 \sum_{k = 1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i \frac{k \cdot \pi}{\beta}}}{\sinh(k \cdot \frac{\pi}{\beta})} \cdot \cosh\left(\pi \cdot \frac{\vartheta}{\beta}\right) \cdot [\cosh\left(\pi \cdot \frac{\vartheta}{\beta}\right) - 1].$$  

(12)

The graphic representation of $b(\vartheta)$ is given in fig. 6.

In fig. 7 is presenting the permeance’s variation form in the air-gap, with the previous specifications.
Because the number of stator slots and the number of rotor poles differ, \( w_s \) being the width towards the air-gap of the stator tooth, \( w_r \) the width towards the air-gap of the rotor pole and \( \delta \) the air-gap, the term \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) has the expression:

\[
\lambda_{\text{max}} = \mu_0 \frac{I \cdot w_{\text{min}}}{\delta}, \quad (13)
\]

\[
w_{\text{min}} = \min(w_s, w_r). \quad (14)
\]

Fig. 6. Variation form of the term \( b(\vartheta) \).

Fig. 7. Variation form of permeance in air-gap.

If \( D_{\text{ag}} \) is the average diameter in the air-gap, are defined the terms [3]:

\[
\vartheta_s = \frac{w_s - w_r}{D_{\text{ag}}}, \quad (15)
\]

\[
\vartheta_i = \frac{w_i + w_r + \vartheta_s}{D_{\text{ag}}}, \quad (16)
\]

where

\[
D_{\text{ag}} = \frac{D_{\text{su}} + D_{\text{il}}}{2}. \quad (17)
\]

Fig. 8. Stator slot with the armature’s winding.

In fig. 9 were made the following notations: \( R_{\text{su}} \) – the reluctance of the slot’s superior part, \( R_{\text{su}} \) – the reluctance of the stator slot’s median part, \( R_{\text{el}} \) – the reluctance of the stator slot’s inferior part, \( R_{\text{su}} \) and \( R_{\text{il}} \) – the superior and inferior reluctances of the stator teeth, \( \phi \) - the fluxes through air or core in different areas, \( V_m \) – the magnetic potential and \( \theta \) - the magnetomotive force. By composing and equivalence is obtained a simplified equivalent diagram presented in fig. 10. The binding relations between the quantities from fig. 10 and the ones from fig. 9 are:

\[
R_{\text{el}} = R_{\text{su}} + R_{\text{el}}, \quad (19)
\]

\[
R_{\text{el}} = R_{\text{su}} + R_{\text{el}}, \quad (20)
\]

\[
\phi_{\text{el}} = \phi_{\text{su}} + \phi_{\text{el}}, \quad (21)
\]

\[
\theta_{\text{el}} = \theta_{\text{su}} + \theta_{\text{el}}, \quad (22)
\]

To define the mathematical model’s equations, is started from the magnetic circuit’s shape with open slots, between the adjacent pockets of laminates. In fig. 8 is presenting the stator slot in which are placed two sides of the coil passed-through by the currents \( i_u \) and \( i_l \) and which have the number of windings \( w_u \) and \( w_l \). The number of stator pockets is noted with \( k \) and the number of rotor poles with \( l \).

By means of the equivalent magnetic circuits method [11-15] is deduced the equivalent diagram of the magnetic circuit which is presented in fig. 9 [3].
For the E or the U-shaped magnetic circuit of the stator pockets of laminates (fig. 1), the equivalent magnetic circuits are presented in fig. 11 a and fig. 11 b, where \( R_y \) is the yoke’s reluctance, \( R_z \) the column’s reluctance, \( R_p \) the air-gap’s reluctance, \( R_{\theta} \) the reluctance of the pole under the pocket of laminates and \( \theta_\theta \) the source of magnetomotive force due to excitation. The index \( i \) refers to the number of the stator pocket of laminates. Solving of the equivalent magnetic circuits is made by determining the magnetic scalar fluxes and potentials in all nodes \([11]\), for given ampere-turns and resistances. If the non-linear reluctances have their values which can not be obtained from other means, we will consider only some key values or verification connections and thus the excitation current shares among electrical machines, the described problem is usually not be obtained without an extensive computation effort. The windings are in star connections and thus the excitation current shares among key parameters of the RHHBSM. Since the topology of the machine has is a purely 3 dimensional flux paths one the complete transient characteristics and parameters can not be obtained without an extensive computation effort. Finite element analysis of the machine was done a commercial software platform. When applied to electrical machines, the described problem is usually reduced to cover only one pole or one pole pair with the help of boundary and symmetry conditions in order to reduce the computation time. The windings are in star connections and thus the excitation current shares among phases are \( I_a = 1 \), \( I_b = I_c = -1/2 \). From the 3D finite element we will consider only some key values or verification values which can not be obtained from other means. Since this topology has a 3D field the model required and 3D magnetostatic model solver. In order to simplify

\[
\lambda_{Fe} = \mu_{Fe} \frac{S_{Fe}}{I_{m}/2}, \quad (23)
\]

\[
\lambda_{a} = \mu_{0} \frac{S_{a}}{I_{m}/2}, \quad (24)
\]

\[
\lambda = \mu_{Fe} \cdot S_{Fe} + \mu_{0} \cdot S_{a} \frac{I_{m}}{2}, \quad (25)
\]

**4 3D FEM analysis**

The finite element method is used in order to obtain key parameters of the RHHBSM. Since the topology of the machine has is a purely 3 dimensional flux paths one the complete transient characteristics and parameters can not be obtained without an extensive computation effort. Finite element analysis of the machine was done a commercial software platform. When applied to electrical machines, the described problem is usually reduced to cover only one pole or one pole pair with the help of boundary and symmetry conditions in order to reduce the computation time. The windings are in star connections and thus the excitation current shares among phases are \( I_a = 1 \), \( I_b = I_c = -1/2 \). From the 3D finite element we will consider only some key values or verification values which can not be obtained from other means. Since this topology has a 3D field the model required and 3D magnetostatic model solver. In order to simplify
the solution we have used only one third model (Fig. 13-17) taking advantage of the machine symmetry. Using the 3D FEM tool is still time-consuming, which limits its use in parameter investigation process.

Fig. 13. Finite element results for homopolar case: a) 3D field; b) airgap flux density for Ie=6A at no load.

Fig. 14. Finite element results for heteropolar case:

The solution of the finite element model using a 3D finite element solver took about 6 hours per step. The airgap flux density magnitude (the absolute value of B) is presented in Fig 16. Inductance evaluation from finite element solution shows that the machine is not saturated since the maximum airgap value is below 1T. The static torque produced by constant currents and varying the position is presented in Fig. 11 from this value the maximum torque can be obtained equal to 11 (Nm).

Fig. 15. Finite element results for heteropolar case: 3D field.

Fig. 16. Finite element results for heteropolar case: airgap flux density.

Fig. 17. Finite element results for heteropolar case: total flux density at Ia=Ib=Ic=0 and Iexc=IexcN.

Fig. 18. Static torque variation with position obtained from 3D FEM currents are constant and only the position is varied.
5 Conclusions

The main advantage of the RHBSM and RHHBSM are their improved capability to operate at variable speed for wind or hydro power plants. The design method was validated also by means of 3D FEM model. General performance characteristics of ampere-turns, inductor flux, resulting flux and torque variation are presented.
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