Optimal tube diameter on heat exchanger shell and tube type with 15 mega watt thermal power using FLUENT 6.3
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Abstract. Heat exchanger shell and tube type is a set of tools that serve to move the heat from the side shell (hot fluid) to the tube (cold fluid). RSG-GAS Heat Exchangers is a heat exchanger shell and tube type 2-2. Since the age of Heat Exchanger operation long enough allow for new designs of heat transfer better. This is one reason the presence of micro modeling using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), as one of them using the software FLUENT 6.3. Tube and shell modeled in GAMBIT with the variation ID (inner diameter) tube. As for the physical data such as flow rate, pressure, and temperature refers to the RSG-GAS Heat Exchangers. The first variation is the different mesh sizes for the tube that has the same diameter. Mesh size of 0.8 mm had the best result so do the meshing used as a benchmark for other models. Variations of 2D models use inner diameter from 20 mm until 26m. From CFD calculations using FLUENT 6.3 for 2D models, in the can that ID 20 mm, 23 mm and 26 mm can be used as models for 3D calculations. Of 3D calculations it can be concluded that the tube with an ID of 26 mm have the most optimal heat transfer is equal to 273,24669 K with a pressure drop of 450 Pa.

1 Introduction

The demand for energy in the form of electricity is the greatest, even with the deregulation in the economic sector, industrial activity increases more rapidly so that the demand for electric power increases also exceeds the original estimate. The current use of nuclear energy is of interest to many countries, because the amount of fuel available in the world is large enough, so for the price it is expected to be stable and cheap [1].

The issue of Indonesia will build a Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) is likely to become a problem in the future. One of the steps that Indonesia has taken as the beginning of this nuclear power plant is to build three research reactors in three different cities. In Indonesia, the history of the nuclear reactor began on 5 December 1958 with the formation of the Nuclear Power Weapons (LTA) with the task of undertaking research and development of nuclear energy. This date is the birth date of the National Atomic Energy Base (BATAN) [2].

The first research reactor built in Indonesia was TRIGA MARK I reactor built in Bandung with 250 kW power on 19 April 1961. Then 16 years later, a 100 kW Kartini Reactor was built which was operated in Yogyakarta city. Not long ago, precisely in 1987, Indonesia built Multipurpose Reactor - GA. Siwabessy (RSG-GAS) with greater power than the previous reactor that is 30 MW. The reactor is operated until now and is located in Serpong, Tangerang.

Many systems are involved in a nuclear reactor, one of the most important systems is the reactor cooling system itself. The reactor cooling system determines the heat transfer resulting from the fission reaction. One part of this cooling system is the Heat Exchanger. Heat Exchangers transfer heat from primary fluid to secondary fluid. In addition to research reactors, Heat Exchangers are widely used in industry and energy generation such as nuclear power plants, power plants, power plants and others.

In a research reactor, the probability of malfunction of components, systems and structures will increase with the age of the reactor due to the degradation caused by the aging process. The aging management activities in it include protective, repair and replacement activities of components as appropriate for maintenance activities [3].

In the heat exchanger industry is a vital equipment, especially in industries that use or process energy. Efforts to improve the effectiveness of heat exchangers need to be improved. According to the Asian EC Energy Management Training and Research Center (AEEMTRC) conducted a study in 1990, that with an increase of 5% heat exchanger effectiveness will save energy in the industrial sector, equivalent to 1 million tons of oil in 2000 [4].

Heat exchanger is one of the important components used in the industry and power plant so o enable the existence of new design that can produce optimal heat transfer [5,6]. RSG GAS has had a long operating time so that the aging process, as well as the heat exchanger which
Aging will result in more material degradation compared to normal conditions, which will change the nature and character. Therefore, it is necessary to disrupt or manufacture heat exchangers more effectively and efficiently.

Many methods are used to get new design of Heat Exchanger [7–9]. One of them is used Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) [10–12]. CFDs are a set of computer-using methodologies for simulating fluid flow, heat transfer, chemical reactions, and other flow phenomena by solving numerical Navier-Stokes equations. In this study, the calculation used FLUENT and GAMBIT.

This main purpose of this study is to know the optimal diameter of the heat exchanger so it can be produce maximum heat transfer [13]. This experiment consists of 2D (Dimension) dan 3D model. All models are made in GAMBIT. The results of GABIT will be given various magnitudes and boundary conditions then calculated using FLUENT.

## 2 Result and Discussion

### 2.1 Data of Heat Exchanger RSG-GAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat Exchanger Diameter (mm)</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Exchanger Radius (mm)</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2Heat Exchanger Area (mm²)</td>
<td>663.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tube 1/2 Heat Exchanger</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Rate shell side (kg/s)</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Rate tube side (kg/s)</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of triangular model (mm²)</td>
<td>389.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The picture above shows the shell and tube of RSG-GAS. The total number of tubes is 1632 tubes. The shell in the Heat Exchanger RSG-GAS is traversed by a hot fluid flowing between the tubes with the other tube, while the tube itself is fed by cold fluid.

The picture above is the tube model will be created in GAMBIT. The three tubes have the same diameter, so that the distance between the adjacent two-tube (pitch) center points is the same size. Therefore, the equilateral triangle above can be modeled for in GAMBIT as it has represented the primary and secondary streams in the Heat Exchanger. The location of the shell in the triangle model is in the middle of the triangle.

The inner diameter and outer diameter of one tube to be modeled in GAMBIT has the same size as the tube on the actual Heat Exchanger. What is different is the height of the tube itself. This will affect the entry temperature for cold and hot fluids. In the above triangular model, the fluid flow to be taken and calculated comes from 1/6 tube so that the values for both primary and secondary flow rates will be much smaller than the actual value. In GAMBIT, the geometry for the 2D model as shown below:
This experiment consists of calculating the flow rate of the heat exchanger so it can be produced. The calculation of the flow rate is done using FLUENT. The results of FLUENT will be given various 2D (Dimension) and 3D models. All models are made in GAMBIT. The picture above is the tube model will be created in GAMBIT, the geometry for the 2D model as shown in Fig. 2. The Top of 3D Model. The image above shows the 2D model where the square on the right and left is the tubes while the center is a shell. A small section between the shell and tubes is considered a wall.

Prior to the calculation with the variation ID, mesh size determination steps to study the characteristics of the calculation results and find out the best mesh size for the model that has been made. The best mesh size will be used later in the calculation of CFDs in the variation ID. This mesh size variation is done with 3 variations of mesh with size 0.2 mm; 0.5 mm; and 0.8 mm.

From the calculation, 0.8 mm mesh size takes time to reach the fastest convergent. Therefore, for time efficiency, iteration uses a mesh size of 0.8 mm. The iteration process stops when the CFD calculation has been convergent meaning it has fulfilled the specified residual value of 10^-6. In this case the residual control is monitored only by 3 parameters ie energy equation and k-epsilon. As the above explanation shows that the mesh size of 0.8 gets the fastest convergent convergence time.

The results of CFD calculations that can be analyzed are those that have reached convergent. Each ID can reach converge in different iterations. The number of iterations so convergent produced for each ID can be seen from the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Number of iterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen in the table above, the larger the ID, the number of iterations to converge more and more. To further facilitate the analysis, the results of CFD calculations in the form of temperature contours for all IDs will be created in one thickness so that it can be seen the difference between IDs with each other. Temperature distribution within the shell will be made apart with the temperature of the fluid present in the tube.

Fig 4. 2D Model Image.

The image above shows the 2D model where the square on the right and left is the tubes while the center is a shell. A small section between the shell and tubes is considered a wall.

Fig 5. The Top of 3D Model.

The image above shows the top of the 3D model. Part A, B and C are tubes flowed by cold fluid. Part C is a shell which flows through hot fluid.

2.2 Variations of 2D Models with Inner Diameter Variations

Calculations for Heat Exchanger 2D model with height of 100 mm have been done with variation of Inner Diameter (ID) 20 - 26 mm. This variation is performed to find out which ID can produce the most optimal heat transfer.

Fig. 6. Comparison of temperature distribution in the tube.
The image in the above table is the temperature distribution in the manually set shell to further clarify the increasingly fluid spreading of the natural decrease. From the comparison table above can be seen the smaller the shell area will produce the hot fluid flow section is getting smaller as well. This is due to the maximum heat transfer along with the additional tube extents. The smaller the area of the shell is due to the increase in the tube area so that it will cause a greater extent of heat fluid inside the shell. However, with the increase in diameter of the output has a similar pattern.

In addition to displaying visualization results, CFD calculations using FLUENT 6.3 can display graph plots for some of the associated magnitudes such as pressure, temperature, turbulence, etc. from one part of the model. To find the difference of heat transfer in the primary output section, the temperature value of that part will be made in the graph below.

![Fig. 7. Comparison of temperature distribution in the shell.](image)

The figure above shows that the temperature distribution of different diameters has almost the same output characteristics. Thus the tube diameter variation from 20-26 mm gives the result of calculating the same output temperature. So the optimal tube diameter selection needs to be done using 3D calculations that are not done in this study, considering that in 3D model, the bigger the diameter of the pipe, the greater heat transfer surface area, so the heat that can be moved will also be greater. In this study, a 3D calculation study was also conducted to provide direction on subsequent research by selecting a diameter of 20 mm, 23 mm and 26 mm.

In the picture above we can see also though the calculation technique incorporates the turbulence equation, but the flow character tends to laminar. Since the 2D model assumes that in each tube diameter variation always assume the tube media as a wall with unlimited width.

### 2.3 Test the sensitivity of the model with the addition of height to 200mm

To test the sensitivity of the model with a height of 100 cm, then the addition of shell and tube length of 100 mm. Which will then compare with the result on the 100 mm model for the same position.

The method performed in this CFD calculation is the same as before, the result shown is the final result until the convergent and the mesh size given is 0.8 mm. The difference lies in the larger secondary coolant temperature input of 0.875 °C than the previous model. As for the temperature of the primary coolant input does not change, because the extension is done to the top.

The table contains how the temperature distribution is in the tube. Can be seen that the bottom center of each image has the highest temperature. This is because the position is very close to the side of the primary coolant input. The more up, the temperature will be lower. Temperature distribution patterns, particularly on the tube portions generated on models with a height of 200 mm are equal to 100 mm models. Only its value in the same position has little difference, that is equal to 0.875°C. This result corresponds to the difference of the primary coolant input on the 100 mm and 200 mm models.

Figure 9 below shows the comparison of temperature distributions set in the auto range. The color difference in the picture is due to the input to the secondary coolant which is calculated by involving twice the difference in input and output temperatures on a 100 mm high model.

If all the previous tables in the sensitivity test with model gain show the visualization of CFD calculation results without involving the calculated number, the graph below will show the temperature values on the primary output side of the primary cooler for all IDs, starting from the beginning to the end of the shell. So the results of heat transfer in primary output can be known quickly.

![Fig. 8. Temperature Graph of Primary Output Side Model 100 mm.](image)

The figure above shows that the temperature distribution of different diameters has almost the same output characteristics. Thus the tube diameter variation from 20-26 mm gives the result of calculating the same output temperature. So the optimal tube diameter selection needs to be done using 3D calculations that are not done in this study, considering that in 3D model, the bigger the diameter of the pipe, the greater heat transfer surface area, so the heat that can be moved will also be greater. In this study, a 3D calculation study was also conducted to provide direction on subsequent research by selecting a diameter of 20 mm, 23 mm and 26 mm.

In the picture above we can see also though the calculation technique incorporates the turbulence equation, but the flow character tends to laminar. Since the 2D model assumes that in each tube diameter variation always assume the tube media as a wall with unlimited width.
In this study, a 3D calculation study was also done in this study, considering that in 3D model, the output temperature. So the optimal tube diameter from 20-26 mm gives the result of calculating the same distribution of different diameters has almost the same made in the graph below.

To find the difference of heat transfer in the primary for some of the associated magnitudes such as pressure, calculations using FLUENT 6.3 can display graph plots has a similar pattern.

The figure above shows that the temperature of 200 mm. The values in the graph above can be from the plot. It aims to test the sensitivity of the 100 mm model. From the picture above, it can be seen that the temperature graph on the side of the primary output for the model with the height of twice the previous model is the same. The value is different. The height of 200 mm is the same as the extension of the 100 mm model or in other words two 100 mm models put together. The extension is performed on the tube section, so it does not affect the value of the temperature input on the primary cooler. After being compared in the same position, it is possible that the temperature in the 200 mm model has a higher temperature of 0.875°C. this shows that a calculation with a length increase of 100 mm can support the result of the previous model 0.875°C this is nothing but the difference of secondary fluid input temperature at 200 mm by 100 mm.

2.4 3D modeling with ID that have optimal temperature transfer

In accordance with the analysis on the 2D model, that in this 3D case, the ID to be counted is ID 20, 23 and 26 mm. The steps taken to simulate the 3D model is the same as the 2D model. Discussion and analysis are done after the convergence is achieved for each model. To achieve the convergence of 3D models takes a much longer time compared to the 2D model. This is due to the large number of mesh. To see the number of iterations until the convergence is achieved can be seen in Table 3. To see the temperature distributions in the shell and tube are shown in Table 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Number of Iterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From table 3, it can be seen that the more up, the fluid in the tube increases the temperature seen also the fluid that flows on the side of the wall has the highest temperature. This is due to the direct contact with the wall between the fluid on the primary and secondary coolers.

For further discussion, the analysis of the resulting data will be fed from the pressure drop that occurs in the primary coolant. From the picture above can be seen that the increase in pressure drop is proportional to the
increment ID. The largest pressure drop is generated by a model with a 26 mm ID of 450 Pa with a maximum pressure value of 3333 Pa and a minimum of 2883 Pa. For ID 23 mm, the maximum pressure value is 1374 Pa and the minimum is 1175 Pa, resulting in a pressure drop value of 199 Pa. From the simulation results for ID 20 mm pressure drop that occurred at 108 Pa with the maximum and minimum value respectively 788 Pa and 680 Pa. In this experiment the fluids in both primary and secondary coolers use the same fluid. In addition, the above graph is generated from a line plot in the center of the shell so that the results of the calculations also use the same flow rate. In this case pressure drop is caused by the friction factor. The increasing value of friction factor is caused by the increase of ID.

Table 4. Minimum Temperature in Primary Output.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID (mm)</th>
<th>Minimum (K)</th>
<th>ΔT (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>310,93814</td>
<td>0.08686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>310,93826</td>
<td>0.08674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>310,92831</td>
<td>0.09669</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By using FLUENT 6.3 software we can know the value of a physical quantity such as temperature at a certain point. The trick is to make a line if the simulated model is classified as 2D or by creating an area for the 3D model. For comparison materials, the following table presents the area inside the primary output side that has a minimum temperature. From Table 4.10, it can be seen that the 26 mm ID has the lowest exit temperature compared to the other ID. This is due to the large transfer area resulting in the most optimal heat transfer.

3 Conclusion

Simply put, the Heat Exchanger is a set of tools used to transfer some of the heat from the hot fluid to the cooler-tempered fluid. In this case Heat Exchangers are used with Shell and Tube types so that heat transfer occurs from the shell through which the hot fluid flows to the tube through which the cold fluid passes.

The heat fluid that enters the shell comes from the RSG-GAS research reactor which will then be converted to the primary refrigerant preference through the Heat Exchanger so that the fluid experiences a decrease in temperature.

In the 2D model, the flow that occurs tends to laminar flow because in this model the boundary wall between tube and shell is considered infinite. Because it also causes to get the optimal ID required 3D calculations.

The most optimal heat transfer is generated by ID 26 mm. The heat absorbed by the primary coolant is about 0.09669 °C. Due to the influence of friction factor, there is pressure drop in each model. The highest pressure drop value is generated by ID 26 which is 450 Pa.
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