

A long-term strength of constructive materials

Evgeny Larionov¹

Moscow State University of Civil Engineering, Yaroslavskoe shosse, 26, Moscow, 129337, Russia

Abstract. A long-term strength materials under an axially loading of constructive elements is considered and the estimates of this strength are reduced. The proposed approach is connected with the notion so-called energy of entirety [1]. It is notable that this value can be used instead of known Reiner's invariant [2]. A material (concrete, steel, graph) is considered as a union of its links with statistical disturbed strengths [3]. This conception allows to modify Boltzmann's principle superposition of fraction creep deformations [4] and in addition, implies the identity of non-linear stresses function for the instantaneous and retarding deformations. The degeneration of long-term strength because of vibrational influence take into account and the strengthening of the materials in the course of their formation is considered.

The problems of forecast of a long-term structural safety of buildings necessary are connected with the strength $R(\tau)$ of constructive materials, presenting their basic mechanical characteristic. Here τ is a current temps. A material is a thermodynamic system and in consequence of energetic and mass exchange with environment the generating $R(\tau)$ constraint forces are formed. The accumulated in materials specific energy $W(\tau)$ is called their energy of entirety [1]. These values define the energetic state of the materials and represent their maximal measure of resistance to a destruction [5]. Since the destruction at moment $\tau = t$ is generated by instantaneous deformations the corresponding specific work $A(t)$ is considered as the energetic parameter $W(t)$ of material, named the energy of its entirety. Beside of the force action the magnitude of energy $W(t)$ essentially depends from the corrosion damages [6]. If the destruction of material under constant over of period $[t_0 \leq \tau \leq t]$ normal stress $R(t, t_0)$ take place at moment $\tau = t$, the value $R(t, t_0)$ presents its strength for this period of temps. Therefore the value $R(\infty, t_0)$ is called the long-term strength of this material.

The destruction of a part of the links under an increasing on cross-sections G axially loading $N(\tau)$ results in the redistribution of $N(\tau)$ on cross-sections $G(\tau)$ of the capable to resist links. This generates a non-linear dependence of the deformations on the calculated stresses $\sigma(\tau) = N(\tau) / F$, obtained under the assumption of an equal strength for all links. In fact there is a linear dependence of the deformations of the undamaged links on

¹ Email: LarionovEA@mgsu.ru

the so-called structural stresses $\sigma_s(\tau) = N(\tau) / F(\tau)$. Here F and $F(\tau)$ are the area of G and $G(\tau)$ respectively. Then we obtain the following correlation

$$\sigma_s(\tau) = S(t)\sigma(\tau); S(t) = F / F(\tau), \tag{1}$$

where $S(t)$ is called the function of non-linearity of stresses. The constant over of period $[t_0, t]$ stress $\sigma_s(\tau)$ generate the deformation

$$\varepsilon(t, t_0) = \varepsilon_{inst}(t) + \varepsilon_{cr}(t, t_0), \tag{2}$$

where $\varepsilon_{inst}(t) = \sigma_s(t) / E(t)$ is the instantaneous and $\varepsilon_{cr}(t, t_0) = C(t, t_0)\sigma_s(t)$ is the creep, deformation; is the measure of simple creep and is the elasticity module.

An increment $\Delta\sigma_s(t) = \sigma_s(t) - \sigma_s(t_0)$ implies the creep deformation $\Delta\varepsilon_{cr}(t, t_0)$. Since a fraction increment $\Delta\varepsilon_{cr}(t, \tau_i) = C(t, \tau_i)\Delta\sigma_s(\tau_i)$ is independent from a value and duration of the rest increments $\Delta\sigma_s(\tau_j); j \neq i$, we can define $\Delta\varepsilon_{cr}(t, t_0)$ by Boltzmann's principle of superposition. In result with respect to the structural stresses we reduce the linear theological state equation [7], [8].

$$\varepsilon(t, t_0) = \left[\frac{1}{E(t)} + C(t, t) \right] \sigma_s(t) - \int_{t_0}^t \sigma_s(\tau) \frac{\partial C(t, \tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau \tag{3}$$

Here $C(t, t)$ is so-called quick creep [9].

The value $\mathcal{W}(\tau) = W(t_0) - A(\tau)$ we call a current energetic reserve of entirety. The condition $\mathcal{W}(\tau_*) = 0$ means the exhaustion of this reserve, implying the destruction at moment $\tau = \tau_*$. In case $\tau_* = t_0$ we have the short-term destruction, when $\tau_* = t > t_0$ the destruction in end of $[t_0, t]$. Consider the exhaustion of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ only by force deformations and suppose that $E(\tau) = E(t_0); C(t, \tau) = C(t_0, t_0)$. This means that $W(\tau) = const; t_0 \leq \tau \leq t$. Then for the performed by $R_s(t_0)$ and $R_s(t, t_0)$ specific works we obtain respectively

$$A(t_0) = R_s^2(t_0) [1 + C(t_0, t_0)E(t_0)] / E(t_0), \tag{4}$$

$$A(t, t_0) = R_s^2(t, t_0) [1 + C(t, t_0)E(t_0)] / E(t_0) \tag{5}$$

Since from $W(\tau) = const$ it follows $A(t, t_0) = A(t_0)$ we have

$$R_s(t, t_0) = r(t, t_0)R_s(t_0), \tag{6}$$

$$r(t, t_0) = \sqrt{\frac{1 + E(t_0)C(t_0, t_0)}{1 + E(t_0)C(t, t_0)}}. \tag{7}$$

In applications the function

$$S(\tau) = 1 + V \left[\frac{\sigma(\tau)}{R(\tau)} \right]^m \tag{8}$$

is used, where V, m are the empirical parameters [10]. Usually for the concrete is supposed $m = 4$ and $C(t, \tau) = C_\infty [1 - \beta e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}]$; $\beta = 0,8$. Here C_∞ is the measure of simple creep as $t \rightarrow \infty$, γ is the parameter of open surface. Thus we have $r(\infty, t_0) = \sqrt{[1 + 0,2E(t_0)C_\infty] / 1 + E(t_0)C_\infty}$. By relations (6) and (8) we obtain the equation

$$V[R(\infty, t_0)]^{\bar{m}} + [R(t_0)]^{\bar{m}} R(\infty, t_0) - (1 + V)r(\infty, t_0)[R(t_0)]^{\bar{m}} = 0 \tag{9}$$

with respect to $R(\infty, t_0)$. The positive root of this equation represents the desired estimate of $R(\infty, t_0)$.

In case of linear statement $S(\tau) = 1$ and by (6)

$$R(\infty, t_0) = r(\infty, t_0)R(t_0) \tag{10}$$

It is known that the creep of statically loaded material (for example concrete) increase under vibrational influence. This phenomenon is called the vibrocreep of material concrete. The following correlation

$$C_V(t, t_0) = K_V(\omega, \gamma, \rho)C(t_0, t_0) \tag{11}$$

was established on basis of experiments in [11], [12]. The factor $K_V(\omega, \gamma, \rho)$ is determined by frequency ω , parameter γ and asymmetry $\rho = \frac{\sigma_{\min}}{\sigma_{\max}}$ of stresses. This factor is studied by Davidenkov's invariant – the independence from ω of the dissipated in course of one cycle energy ΔW [13], [14]. Note that to this end the proposed in [1] deformative invariant also can be used. By the relations (6) and (11), taking into account the vibrocreep of materials, we have

$$R_s(t, t_0) = r_V(t, t_0)R_s(t_0) \tag{12}$$

$$r_V(t, t_0) = \sqrt{[1 + E(t_0)C_V(t_0, t_0)] / 1 + E(t_0)C_V(t_0, t_0)} \tag{13}$$

In the course of formation the energy of entirety $W(\tau)$ of materials increases that results in the increase of the strength $R(\tau)$. Along with $R(\tau)$ the module $E(\tau)$ also increases. Following [15] the equality their functions of the strengthening is supposed

$$\Psi_R(\tau) = \Psi_E(\tau) \tag{14}$$

The process of strengthening is accompanied decreasing of $C(\tau, \tau)$. On basis of the experiments [11], [16] the following relations are reduced [1].

$$R(\tau)C(t, \tau) = const \tag{15}$$

$$E(\tau)C(\tau, \tau) = const \quad (16)$$

The independence of $I(\tau) = E(\tau)C(\tau, \tau)$ from τ means the expected compensation of the largement of $E(\tau)$ by the reduction of $C(\tau, \tau)$. By solution of described of variation of $E(\tau)$ differential equation we obtain

$$\Psi_E(t, t_0) = 1 + \left[\frac{E(t)}{E(t_0)} - 1 \right] \left[1 - e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \right] \quad (17)$$

Here λ is a physico-chemical parameter of the strengthening. Then according to (6), (14) and (17) we have

$$R_s(t, t_0) = \gamma(t, t_0)R_s(t_0) \quad (18)$$

$$\gamma(t, t_0) = r(t, t_0) \left\{ 1 + \left[\frac{R(t)}{R(t_0)} - 1 \right] \left[1 - e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \right] \right\} \quad (19)$$

The factor $\gamma(t, t_0)$ defines the current reserve of strength. Usually for concrete is supposed that $t_0 = 28$ day.

Note that the relation (6) at $R(\tau) = const$ follows from the equality (18).

Cite the concluding remarks.

1. In the above examined problem the application of $W(\tau)$ allows to obtain the estimates of $R(\infty, t_0)$ more simply than by previous approaches.
2. The accounting of strength reserve $\gamma(t, t_0)$ is of interest during of construction of the contemporary buildings.

References

- 1 E.A. Larionov, Long-term force resistance and safety of buildings. Dis. doct. sci., Moscow (2005)
- 2 M. Reiner, Rheology (Nauka 1965)
- 3 W. Weibull, Trans Roy Inst. Technol. (Stockholm), **27** (1949)
- 4 L. Boltzmann [WA-1,616] Wien, Ber. 70. S.275-306. (1874) und Pogg Ann. Erg.-Bd.7. S. 624 (1876)
- 5 E.A. Larionov, Math. Universities, Building, **8** (2005)
- 6 V. F. Stepanova, V.R. Falikman, Proc. of II Int. conf. on concr and reinfor concr. 7. 324-35 (2014)
- 7 V.M. Bondarenko, E.A. Larionov, Str. Mech. of. Eng. Constr. and Build. 2 (2011)
- 8 E.A. Larionov, A.E. Larionov, Str. Mech. and Calculi. build, 2 (2015)
- 9 Yu. N. Rabotnov, A creep of constructive elements (Nauka 1982)
- 10 K.Z. Galustov, Non-linear creep theory of concrete and calculation of reinforced constructions (Fizmatlit, 2006)
- 11 V.M. Bondarenko, S.V. Bondarenko, Engineering methods of non-linear theory of reinforced concrete (Stroyizdat, 1982)

- 12 K.K. Shkerbelis, On connection between the creep deformations and the velocity of the loading (Riga, 1959)
- 13 N.N. Davidenkov, JEP, 4.VIII, 6 (1938)
- 14 V.M. Bondarenko, E.A. Larionov, Math. universities Building 3 (2004)
- 15 G.A. Geniev, Proc. OGTU, №2/14(530) (2007)
- 16 S.V. Aleksandrovskiy, V.V. Solomonov, Trans, of NIISP, M., 6 (1972)