Deep excavation in urban areas – defects of surrounding buildings at various stages of construction
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Abstract. Deep excavation and tunnelling works in city centres always bring some risks to surrounding structures, especially in the case of old town centres, where the technical condition and structural stiffness of historical buildings is rather doubtful. When the new desired excavation depth goes deeper than the foundation of the surrounding buildings or when tunnelling works are conducted directly under them, the existing objects are subject to stress, vibrations and displacements imposed at almost every stage of building the new construction. The presented paper outlines, on the basis of the authors’ experience, the typical damages appearing during the supporting wall construction (sheet pile driving, piling and formation of diaphragm walls) and tunnelling works. Other damages appear due to soil mass unloading (caused by excavation stages) and horizontal loading during pre-stressing of struts or ground anchors. The selected case studies of steel sheet pile wall installation is given with regard to typical failures caused by an unplanned excavation and its impact on neighbouring structures.

1 Introduction – the need for development of infrastructure

Constant development of town centres, accompanied by earthworks and tunnelling, brings about many problems that need resolving. Some interesting experiences from Warsaw (Poland) were presented in recent works by Siemińska-Lewandowska [1, 2]. Both, tunnelling and deep excavation works, create some risks to the surrounding area [3]. In the old city centres, investors are required to ensure respective number of parking bays, which in turn demands 2-3 storeys of underground car parks. Apart from engineering problems, very stringent requirements of heritage conservator supervision are also raised. The problems with ensuring stability of excavation walls must be solved by examining foundations of neighbouring structures and possible strengthening them at all the stages of
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earthworks, i.e. installation of the excavation protection walls, the excavation works themselves, and constructing basement storeys. Another problem refers to constructing underground storeys below the level of groundwater. Brief summary of those problems was given by Kulikova [4]. This requires efficient cutting-off or long-term lowering of water table inside the excavation with possibly limited intervention in hydrological regime beyond the project in progress. In the typical case of old cities in river valleys such “hoarding off” the excavation and cutting off groundwater leads to temporary or permanent disturbances of groundwater flow and possible local swellings. Contemporary technologies make it possible to protect vertical fault and simultaneously to cut-off groundwater inflow by means of steel sheet pilings, diaphragm walls or secant pile walls. Using them, we are able to construct monolithic reinforced concrete structures of underground tunnels or storeys thus ensuring both their tightness and high rigidity of foundation in the neighbourhood. Many examples of case studies concerning the geological risks related to tunnelling works can be found also in the references.

2 Design and execution problems at subsequent stages

Every project starts from an outline proposal and preliminary design. Usually, the amount of field data at this stage is limited, so the structural engineer must rely on parametric studies. Interesting examples of such procedure were given by Mitew-Czajewska in work [5] and recently [6] where very sophisticated hypoplastic constitutive model of soil body was implemented to Finite Element Model computations in clays. Once the construction starts, it is possible to verify primary assumptions by means of continuous monitoring of displacements. That leads to a so-called “observational method” of design, where the design model may be currently updated on the basis of displacement monitoring. An example of such procedure was given by Gorska in articles [7, 8], on the basis of steel sheet pile wall design. Data for model updating may be provided by various monitoring systems, usually based on standard surveying techniques like geometrical precise levelling for vertical, and structural monitoring for horizontal displacement. Structural monitoring makes it possible to record automatically the state of the structure, cyclically at predefined time intervals. The obtained values of displacement are compared with the permissible values, visualized and sent remotely to relevant supervisory bodies. The main component of such a system is the motorized electronic total stations, which offer the precise measurement of the angles and distances. These total stations have the function of the automatic targets detection (indicated by means of geodetic prisms).

Recent developments can be found in works of Drusa [9], Bednarski [10] and Muszyński [11], where the suitability of terrestrial laser scanning for the control of deep excavation support was examined. Recommendations concerning inclinometric measurements of soil mass displacements (slope stability) can be found in references given by Segalini [12] and Drusa [13].

Another group of problems is related to the vertical soil support installation. Most of the methods of sheet pile embedment (driving, vibratory driving) as well as other technologies impose some dynamic impact on the surrounding area by means of single blows or continuous vibrations. Wide studies on this impact and the formal limitations given by various codes of practice were gathered in works of Rybak [14], Brząkała [15, 16] and Oliveira [17]. In the current study however, only the static effects of deep excavations will be presented and examined.

Various factors may affect the safety of deep excavation: improper soil parameters taken for the design, unexpected surcharge load, changing water levels, and last but not least, the static scheme taken for a structural analysis. This last factor is often neglected, which brings serious threats, especially for cantilever structures that relay only on embedment.
3 Case study – the consequences of an unplanned overdig

The presented case study is taken from the building site in southern Poland. The excavation was necessary for the planned underground storey (car-park). Due to the limited depth of the excavation (4.0m to 4.5m) and for the simplicity of works, the designed scheme of the sheet pile wall was just the cantilever system with embedment providing stability and necessary stiffness of the support.

Geotechnical conditions presented on geological cross-section (Figure 1) were constituted by anthropogenic fill layer to the depth of around 1.5m. The underlying sand layers consisted of medium sands (MSa) with a free water table and deeper layers of hard plastic and stiff clays. The designers had sufficient data for proper static computation of required length and profile of sheet piles. Depending on the local geological profiles, the designed lengths of the sheet piles varied from 8.0m to 10.0m.

![Geological cross-section with anthropogenic deposits (Nn), sands (green) and clays (brown).](image)

Just after the proper completion of earthworks (excavation) to the designed depth of 4.5m, the contractor made partially an additional excavation for the foundation plate of a crane lift. Unfortunately, the unplanned overdig (4.0m wide and 0.8m deep inside the excavation) was located adjacent to the wall which simply lost its designed embedment. Due to this unfortunate decision the measured horizontal displacement of the sheet pile wall reached in this region almost 0.3m (immediately – Figure 2) and went up to 0.5m due to swelling in the next days. Such large deformations caused problems on both sides of the wall. First – for the stability of the structures in the vicinity of the excavation. Second, even more difficult to solve, was related to the insufficient space for the designed basement walls.
The scheme of the measured horizontal displacements around the excavation is given on Figure 2. One may notice that values measured in the situations corresponding to design assumptions (ROB1, ROB3, ROB4) fitted very well the preliminary assumptions (corresponding with the proper depth of 4.5m) presented on Figure 3a. Only in measuring point ROB2, the current displacement reached almost 0.3m.

Fig. 2. Monitoring of horizontal displacements of sheet pile heads at ground level.

Fig. 3. Computed horizontal displacements of wall at ground level a) for H=4.5m, b) for H=5.3m.
The computed schemes of horizontal displacements prove again that the change of the static scheme results in large displacements. Since the presented case was qualified as defect on the building site and the deformations (horizontal displacements) increased during subsequent days, a decision was made to construct an additional temporary support by means of steel pipe struts acting against combined piles driven inside the excavation (Figure 4). Such a solution made it possible to continue the works on the foundation slab, however seriously impeded them (delivery of materials, reinforcement assembly, work breaks).

Fig. 4. Temporary support of deflected sheet pile wall.

4 Conclusions

Design and dimensioning of the retaining walls embedded in soil and their anchorages needs to adopt adequate calculation model and proper estimation of data used in calculations. The values of earth pressures on a retaining structure depend especially on the physical and strength properties of soil, such as: internal friction angle, cohesion, soil bulk density or possible over consolidation ratio (OCR). When the wall crosses the natural flow of the ground water, the possible rise of water level should also be considered. The presented case study shows that the decisive factor which conditions the retaining structure safety can be also the change of static scheme, unpredicted in calculations and caused, for example, by excavation below the formation level or by washing away the bottom. The earth pressures are also dependent on the value and way of loading applied to ground level (considered, e.g. as the increased height of excavation). Taking into account the geometry of the excavation, wall deformation and terrain sinking are always highest in the middle of each wall section, between the retention corners. This is caused by the reduced soil pressure against the retaining wall around the retention corners and often referred to as 'the corner effect'. This effect is dealt with in the works of Ou & Shiau [18] and Wu & Ou & Tung [19] where the result of FEM modeling is referred to the real-life (on-site) observations made during the cutting of deep trenches.
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