1 Introduction

The concepts evolution on friction nature creates conditions in order to better explain the notion of dynamic friction coefficient $T$. Fink [1].

It is seen that, so far, because of the friction phenomena complexity, an unanimously accepted theory that will explain the dependence of the friction coefficient on the intervening factors was not accepted D. H. Gordon, S. N. Kukureka [2]. But, there were experimentally determined, in different conditions, various numerical values of friction coefficients $H$. Haixia, Y. Sirong, W. Mingyu, L. Kaixin, [3].

For a more complete characterization of the dynamic friction coefficient, next there will be presented the experimental determinations for the friction coefficient between the toothed link and a guide plate by considering two testing solutions. Further, by finite elements modeling, the local contact pressures are determined.

2 Description of testing designed models

In order to determine the dynamic friction coefficient between the tensioning guide and toothed chain, used in an automobile transmission, there were designed and physically built two test models.

In Fig. 1 – 5, there are presented the used models for determining the friction coefficient, in laboratory conditions. All models were designed through CATIA V5 software and were machined in the workshops of Transilvania University of Brasov, Romania.

Fig. 1. Gripping - fixing device - cylinder type: 1 – guide; 2 – gripping device; 3 – applying force element.

Fig. 2. Gripping - fixing device - spherical joint cylinder type: 1 – applying force element; 2 – fixing device; 3 – mobile gripping device; 4 – guide; 5 – stopping screw; 6 – washer; 7 – spring.

Fig. 3. Gripping - fixing device - the oil bath type: 1 – oil bath tub; 2 – bath lid; 3 – positioning and fixing screws; 4 – elastic piece; 5 – fixing screw with interior hexagonal head.
In designing and manufacturing these devices was taken into consideration the identifying of some solutions as close as possible to the real case when the chain is in contact with the tensioning guide.

For a detailed image of the two cases presented previously, in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 are shown, in testing position, the previous devices.

Fig. 4. The gripping - fixing devices - cylinder type

Fig. 5. The gripping - fixing devices - spherical joint cylinder type

In order to maintain the lubrication between the toothed links and the guide, it was designed a system made from two elastic elements that fixates the links into the oil bath shown in Fig. 3.

To measure the friction coefficient was used a measuring device named UMT tribometer, shown in Fig. 6, which is connected to a computer and allows to measure and to show the friction coefficient.

Fig. 6. The reciprocating module: 1 - command engine; 2 - fixing basis; 3 - friction force reading sensor; 4 – data cables.

In order to determine the friction coefficient, the reciprocating module is attached to the tribometer. This module performs an alternative rectilinear motion while the sensor 3 is measuring the friction force.

The figures from bellow present the oil bath and the devices used to determine the relative friction coefficient, in lubricated environment, for the contact between the circular guide segments (PAx and PA66) and the toothed chain links.

Fig. 7. The manufactured gripping - fixing devices - cylinder type and spherical joint cylinder type

Fig. 8. The manufactured gripping - fixing device - oil bath type.

To determine the friction coefficient in lubricated environment between the toothed links and guide segment (PAx), the following initial conditions were imposed: the normal force \( F_n = 9 \) (N), which it corresponds a medium pressure \( p_{med} = 0,775 \) (MPa); the module stroke \( L_V = 4,8 \) (mm); the working frequency \( f = 1 \) (Hz); the test period \( t = 2 \) (h); the lubricant: 15 W–40 mineral oil.

It must be highlighted that the results regarding the obtained values for friction coefficients are presented, with some exceptions, as relative values or percentage, representing the parameters dependence for which absolute values are used; this fact was imposed by privacy clauses.
toothed links and the guide, it was designed a system chain is in contact with the tensioning guide.
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measuring device named UMT tribometer, shown in Fig. 3. The oil bath shown in Fig. 3.

made from two elastic elements that fixates the links into position, the previous devices.

previously, in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 are shown, in testing measure and to show the friction coefficient.

In order to maintain the lubrication between the toothed links and guide environment between the toothed links and guide segments (PAx and PA66) coefficient, in lubricated environment, for the contact between the circular guide segments (PAx and PA66) relative dynamic friction coefficient variations (the friction coefficient values were reported to their lowest value) between the guide and toothed links which are shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. In the graphs, a is the stroke (alternative rectilinear motion) LV, and b is the relative dynamic friction coefficient COF.

By analysing in comparison the test results for the cases presented in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 it can be concluded that on the reciprocating module the relative dynamic friction coefficient can not be rigorous determined, no matter if the the guide segment having circular form is pressed on the toothed links, by having a cylindrical holder with or without a spherical joint. This conclusion is motivated on the fact that the speed corresponding to the small linear displacement made by the module is much too small and has a constant value for a short amount of time in order to obtain a conclusive representation of the relative dynamic friction coefficient values, which later will be compared with the data found in the speciality literature.

3 The study of equivalent tensions and contact pressures

There were performed two experimental tests, for the relative dynamic friction coefficient variations (the friction coefficient values were reported to their lowest value) between the guide and toothed links which are shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. In the graphs, a is the stroke (alternative rectilinear motion) LV, and b is the relative dynamic friction coefficient COF.

By analysing in comparison the test results for the cases presented in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 it can be concluded that on the reciprocating module the relative dynamic friction coefficient can not be rigorous determined, no matter if the the guide segment having circular form is pressed on the toothed links, by having a cylindrical holder with or without a spherical joint. This conclusion is motivated on the fact that the speed corresponding to the small linear displacement made by the module is much too small and has a constant value for a short amount of time in order to obtain a conclusive representation of the relative dynamic friction coefficient values, which later will be compared with the data found in the speciality literature.

3 The study of equivalent tensions and contact pressures

For the numerical determination was chosen the model from figure 5 due to its complexity.

This analysis assumes the deformation state and the contact distribution between the components, guide - links.

For the numerical evaluation of the studied models was used the finite element software ANSYS Workbench version.

The materials used in this analysis were applied to both models as follows:

1) for the guide it was used the PAx polyamide with the following properties M. Akiyama, T. Yamaguchi [5]:
   a) Young module \( E = 2700 \) (MPa)
   b) Tensile Yield Strength \( \sigma = 34 \) (MPa)
   c) Poisson coefficient \( \nu = 0.38 \)

2) for other components it was used steel with the following properties A. Todi-Effimie, R. Velicu, C. Brands, F. Schlerenge, M. T. Lates [6]:
   a) Young module \( E = 190000 \) (MPa)
   b) Tensile Yield Strength \( \sigma = 240 \) (MPa)
   c) Poisson coefficient \( \nu = 0.3 \)

The model was loaded with a static force \( F = 9 \) (N), resulted from the lubricating conditions and for the contact between the toothed links and guide it was used a friction coefficient of 0.2, determined, as well, from experimental tests. The force is transmitted from the applying point through the nonlinear contact to the guide and after to the toothed links fixed in the oil bath. This is shown in Fig. 13.
After applying the 9 (N) force, it was obtained an uniform displacements distribution and a value of the maximum displacement which is quite small, 0.000829 (mm).

This is shown in Fig. 14, where the color chart shows the precision of the model.

As it can be observed in Fig. 15, the two bodies contact pressure distribution is made on the tangent surface; the maximum value of the contact pressure in this zone is 7 (MPa).

The maximum value of the contact pressure between guide and toothed links is 0.787 (MPa) and is presented in figure 16.

4 Conclusions

The test results presented previously can be conclusive only for the start/stop situations.

This aspect is highlighted by the static friction coefficients values obtained in those situations.

By comparing these values with the speciality literature it can be concluded that in those situations it was obtained a limit friction J. Van Ruiten, R. Proost, M. Meuwissen [7].

Due to the problems identified in the reciprocating module tests, in the next stage is recommended to perform tests on the rotary module, in order to find out the dynamic friction coefficient.

The purpose of experimental determinations for the guide - toothed links contact is to obtain a mixed friction towards fluid throughout the testing cycle and to find out the static friction coefficients which correspond to the start-stop situations.

Through the finite element modelling it was shown in detail the variation of the equivalent tensions and of contact pressures for the studied contact case.
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