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Abstract. The heavily decentralized Swedish health care sector is facing massive challenges, e.g. to even out differences in health care performance. Intellectual Capital can partly be used to explain these differences. In the research field it is difficult to find contributions regarding the study of intellectual capital management in the health care sector and there is also a lack of studies on semantic interoperability. It is semantic interoperability which allows the right information to be available to the right people at the right time across products and organizations. Structured and standardized headings can be a tool to enable semantic interoperability. The aim of this article is to argue for predefined headings as intellectual capital and as base for a national shared and standardized terminology in the health care sector. The study shows that there is a lack of national management of predefined headings deployed in both electronic health records and national quality registries. This lack causes multiple documentation which is time-consuming, impacts health professionals’ workloads, data quality and partly the performance of health care. We argue that predefined headings can be a base for semantic interoperability and that there is a need for the management of predefined headings on a national level.

1 Introduction

Sweden, when compared with other countries, produces high quality health care and takes first place in the efficiency index where the overall quality of health care is related to the cost of achieving this quality [1].

The health care sector in Sweden is heavily decentralized with 21 county councils and regions responsible for financing and providing good health care for all inhabitants in the country. The principle of local self-government gives each county council and region the right to design health care services with reference to local conditions [2].

However, this principle enables differences between county councils and regions in terms of both organizational infrastructure and IT infrastructure. The National Board of Health and Welfare has recently pointed out remarkably large differences between county councils and regions regarding access to health care services, medical results and financial results [3].

In addition to these differences between county councils and regions, the Swedish health care sector, like health care sectors in other countries, is facing massive challenges: demographic changes, medical and technological advances, growing expectations of citizens, and stabilizing financial resources [4-6]. However, as Elg et. al. express; ‘financial resources allocated to health care are not expected to increase’ [4] p. 102.

The health care sector is a complex system involving different stakeholders: health care professionals, patients, researchers, IT suppliers, government, administrators and others. Health care professionals, such as physicians and nurses, have to work together in order to deliver good quality health care for their patients. Their decisions are dependent on knowledge and it is important that knowledge created by one part is shared with other parts as there is the need ‘to deliver the right information, at the right time, to the right person, and in the right format.’ [7], p. 497.

The health care sector is a knowledge-intensive sector with valuable assets. This stock of knowledge is defined as intellectual capital (IC) [8]. Chang et. al. describe IC as the knowledge-related intangible assets embedded in an organization [9]. It is widely accepted that IC is a crucial factor for organizational success [10]. Effective management of IC, together with physical capital, contributes to sustainable and competitive advantages [11]. IC is also perceived as a factor which can partly explain variations in the performance of healthcare organizations [8].
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In the literature, it is difficult to find contributions regarding the study of IC management in the health care sector. The research field has focused mostly on single organizations, for example hospitals [11-13].

Management of IC in the health care sector faces several challenges; particularly challenging is the lack of integration between the different IT systems and thereby the lack of integrated information [7].

Over the years different solutions of technical interoperability have been invented and implemented. However these solutions are ineffective because they do not solve the lack of semantic interoperability. Semantic interoperability allows the right information to be available to the right people at the right time across products and organisations [14, 15].

In a recent study we argued that structured and standardized headings (defining health information similar to physical labels on boxes [16]) can be a tool to enable access to health-related information at the right time, to the right person and in the right format.

2 Aim

The aim of this article is to argue that predefined headings are intellectual capital and therefore can be a base for a national shared and standardized terminology in the health care sector.

3 Intellectual Capital

Traditionally IC is described as the stock or sum of knowledge which organizations use for value creation and competitive advantage [8, 17, 18]. There are three different types of IC: human, structural and relational capital, see Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Conceptualisation of Intellectual Capital (after Bontis, N., 1999)](image)

Human capital is defined as the knowledge, skills and experiences owned and utilized by individuals. In the health care context this knowledge is an important factor in achieving high performances [20].

Structural capital, also called organizational capital, is defined as institutionalized knowledge. The individual knowledge is codified, stored and shared. This knowledge together with routines, procedures, IT systems, research and organizational culture constitutes organizational capital. [11]

Relational capital, also called social, is defined as the knowledge available through, derived from, and utilized by networks of relationships. It is the knowledge at group level. [19]

These three types of IC are interdependent and they form the stock of knowledge in an organization [8]. IC is created by individuals and needs to be shared before it becomes knowledge at the group or organizational level [8, 20].

According to Nonaka it is important to highlight the distinction between information and knowledge. Information is defined as a flow of messages and knowledge; ‘...created and organized by the very flow of information, anchored on the commitment and beliefs of its holder. This understanding emphasizes an essential aspect of knowledge that relates to human action.’ [20].

Nonaka’s ‘Spiral of organizational knowledge creation’ explains how tacit and explicit knowledge held by individuals can be transformed, legitimized, enlarged and enriched [20].

4 Predefined Headings and Electronic Health Records

Predefined headings are an expression of knowledge-based healthcare. As early as in 1730 criteria for proper documentation of disease progression was the focus in a medical thesis [21]. At the first hospital in Sweden, Serafimerlasaret in Stockholm, 50 rules governed the health care activities in 1755. Some of these rules governed the documentation in health records. The documentation should include diagnosis, therapy, cure, surgery, food and disease progression. [22] One hundred years later, at the same hospital, health records were structured by predefined headings; previous diseases, cause of disease, symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis are examples of predefined headings employed in 1851 [22]. In 1984 a structure of predefined headings for a basic health record was introduced to the Swedish health care sector. The structure of predefined headings was developed by physicians and examples of predefined headings include hereditary, previous diseases, hypersensitivity and symptoms. [23]

The health care professionals have defined the headings based on their knowledge and experience of what information is necessary to provide good health care [24].

Previous research has shown that predefined headings are employed to structure health information about patients in electronic health records (EHRs) [25, 26]. Underneath each predefined heading, the health care professional enters narrative text, one or more predefined statements, or a numeric value.

EHR is defined as an electronic record of health-related information on an individual which conforms to nationally recognized interoperability standards and which can be
created, managed, and consulted by health care professionals across health care organizations. Health-related information means, among others, treatment and discharge summaries, investigation results and reports, prescriptions and health care professional notes. [27]

The primary purpose of EHRs is to support the continuity, efficiency and quality of health care. The information in an EHR is retrospective, concurrent, and prospective [28]. For health care professionals and patients, the EHR represents opportunities in terms of accessible, systematic, and comprehensive patient information. [29]

The EHR is expected to provide information when and where it is needed about the patient [30, 31]. Most EHRs in Sweden are similar to the previous handwritten health records structured by predefined headings in predefined templates [32].

The problem is that each county council and region has its own terminology of predefined headings in their EHRs. The result of a recent study showed that the number of shared and standardized predefined headings in EHRs across three county councils and regions was just 2% [33]. This means that health information about the same patient cannot be exchanged between county councils and regions. The solution is semantic interoperability, which means that the information is unambiguously understood in content, meaning, and purpose by the receiver [34, 35].

5 Predefined Headings and National Quality Registries

Predefined headings are also employed in National Quality Registries (NQRs). In Sweden, NQRs have a long history. From the beginning, they were created by the individuals who themselves would benefit from them in their professional lives. Today there are about one hundred NQRs in Sweden; examples include the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register, National Quality Registry of Stroke and National Diabetes Registry. The NQRs contain individualized data concerning health information about patients. The data is protected by the Patient Data Act [36] and all county councils and regions are recommended to register data in NQRs.

Reports from NQRs are available to health care professionals and managers in county councils and regions [37]. Some NQRs are also freely available to all inhabitants via the Internet. On a national level the reports from NQRs constitute an important input to monitoring and comparing performance of health care among county councils and regions; an example is ‘Open Comparison and Assessment’ [38].

NQRs are sources of “knowledge of improvement” because they contain aggregated data which, after analysis, can lead to documented evidence for or against e.g. methods and material used in health care. This, in turn, leads to better outcomes for patients. This improvement of knowledge is an important part of knowledge-based health care [33].

Each NQR has defined their headings based on the indicators which are important to follow up for their specialty, e.g. diabetes. The consequence of this is a lack of shared predefined headings across the NQRs, which also indicates a lack of semantic interoperability between NQRs. [33]

6 Conclusions

Predefined headings are expressions for health care professionals’ knowledge, skills and experiences. When deployed in EHRs the information and knowledge can be shared within the county council or region, and by deployment in NQRs the information and knowledge can be shared among county councils or regions; as a result predefined headings should be regarded as intellectual capital.

There is an assumption that there is semantic interoperability between EHRs and NQRs, e.g. that the predefined headings in NQRs are shared with EHRs. However, the fact is that each county council and region has its own terminology of predefined headings in their EHRs and each NQR has its own terminology of predefined headings which means a lack of semantic interoperability between EHRs and NQRs.

Thus, there is a lack of national management of predefined headings deployed in both EHRs and NQRs. This lack results in multiple documentation, eg health care professionals must first document in an EHR and then register the same information in another way in a NQR. Consequently this is time-consuming and impacts the workload and data quality and partly the performance of health care.

Over the years different solutions of technical interoperability have been invented and implemented. However these solutions have been ineffective because they do not solve the problem of lack of shared and standardized predefined headings across EHRs and NQRs.

We believe that predefined headings can be a base for a shared and standardized terminology for the health care sector in Sweden and therefore we recommend management of predefined headings on a national level.
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