Optimisation of the Low-Frequency Response of a Multi-stage Bioelectric Amplifier for Electrocardiogram (ECG) Recording
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Abstract. This paper reports the optimisation of the low-frequency response of a multi-stage bioelectric amplifier intended for use in the measurement of the human electrocardiogram (ECG) using high-impedance ungelled electrodes. The frequency response was optimised to meet international performance requirements for electrocardiographic recorders, in particular the International Electrotechnical Commission 60601 standards [1,2]. The pole and zero locations of a multi-stage amplifier configuration were optimised to meet both time and frequency domain specifications. The optimum assignment for a three stage amplifier, having two differential stages and a differential-to-single-ended conversion stage was established by evaluating the performance of a number of circuit configurations. The optimum configuration was found to be two differential stages with a gain of 20dB each and a differential-to-single-ended output stage with unity gain. The -3dB pole is placed at 0.028Hz and a zero at 0.0028Hz in the first and second stages to give an overall -3dB bandwidth of 0.043Hz. In addition, the pole of the input ac coupling network was placed at 0.0028Hz in order to meet the undershoot and recovery slope requirements in the narrow pulse response.

1 Introduction

The low-frequency response of the bioelectric amplifier used in recording the human electrocardiogram (ECG) is of the utmost importance due to the clinical significance of such recordings. Appropriate magnitude and phase responses are needed in order to prevent distortion of the ECG signal profile and adverse changes in the waveform morphology. The nature of the distortion which can arise in the ECG signal due to poor low frequency response in the recording amplifier has been well documented for several decades and has serious diagnostic implications [3,4]. The type of distortion which results from poor low frequency response has a detrimental effect primarily on the T-wave, the S-T segment and the Q-T interval.

In addition to hospital oriented ECG recorders, there has been a substantial increase in recent years in the number of portable ECG recorders. Many of these are used in non-clinical scenarios such as general practice, sports medicine, physiology and even on the factory floor. This has led to an increase in battery-operated and low-power equipment with the associated changes in instrumentation design. Consequently trends have changed in the design of the electronic amplifiers for ECG recording. Lower power supply voltages have tended to make amplifier front-end stages ac coupled rather than dc coupled as in the past. This allows electrode polarisation voltages to be eliminated at the input so that they do not saturate the front-end stage of the amplifier. Recent advances in electrode technology have increased the magnitude of these polarisation voltages. Ac coupling also facilitates maintaining a high gain in the early stages of the amplifier to preserve the signal-to-noise ratio. Low-power technology has meant that the gain-bandwidth product of operational amplifiers has fallen so that the gain required in ECG amplifiers is often spread over several stages. This has led to an increase in multi-stage amplifier configurations and a consequent increase in the complexity of the associated frequency response at both the high and low ends of the spectrum. The authors have concentrated the effort in this paper on investigating the optimisation of the low-frequency response of multi-stage bioelectric amplifiers intended for use in ECG signal recording.

2 Background

Standards for the performance requirements of ECG recorders have been developed in the US by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) with recommendations made by the American Heart Association (AHA) and in Europe by the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC). These have changed much over the decades since they were first introduced and have taken account of technological developments. Most recent standards have made an effort to merge the US and EU requirements to make them almost identical. This has, in fact, added strength to both sets of standards.
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and increased the usage of the IEC 60601 standard, which is now accepted worldwide [1,2].

2.1 Frequency domain requirements

Early standards for ECG recorder performance were based on recommendations issued by the AHA and the IEEE in 1967 [5,6]. These standards required that the magnitude of the frequency response be within ±0.5 dB of the mid-band gain within the frequency range 0.67Hz–150Hz. The low-frequency value was based on a practical lowest-heart rate value of 40 beats-per-minute (bpm). The phase response was required to be no greater than that caused by a single-pole high-pass filter having a -3dB cut-off frequency of 0.05Hz [5,6]. These magnitude and phase response requirements are shown in Figure 1.

More recent standards issued by both the EU and the US [1,2] require that the amplitude response of an ambulatory ECG recorder shall be within ±3 dB of the response at 5Hz, within a frequency band of 0.05Hz to at least 55Hz. A phase response requirement is not specified but the merits of a single-pole high-pass filter having a cut-off frequency of 0.05Hz are still cited as example. Instead of the phase response requirement a time-domain specification has been introduced.

![Figure 1. Magnitude and phase response requirements.](image)

2.2 Time domain requirements

The IEC 60601 most recent standards, which are now closely aligned and merged with those of ANSI, have introduced two time-domain methods of testing ECG recorder performance. These are both shown in Figure 2. Method A was introduced in a previous IEC standard and allows a maximum baseline undershoot of 0.1mV in the transient response to a narrow rectangular pulse of 3mV amplitude and 100ms duration with a maximum recovery slope of 0.3mV/s between pulses, as can be seen in Figure 2. Method B, on the other hand, uses a triangular wave to model the QRS complex of the ECG. An isosceles triangular wave of peak amplitude of 1.5mV and a duration varying between 20ms and 200ms with a repetition rate of less than 1Hz is applied as input to the recorder. The amplitude of the peak of the triangle must maintain a variation within +0dB and -1dB (-10%) as the pulse duration is varied between 20ms and 200ms. This can be seen in Figure 2. In the studies carried out by the authors, the rectangular pulse of Method A was preferred.

![Figure 2. Transient response requirements [adapted from 1,2].](image)

3 Single-stage amplifiers

3.1 Non-inverting high-pass filter

The first amplifier stage of interest is the simple 1st-order high-pass filter shown in Figure 3. This configuration was used as the reference standard in the early performance specifications [5,6] where the -3dB cut-off frequency was 0.05Hz. It is still cited as a comparative reference in more recent specifications [1,2]. The steady-state transfer function of this stage is of the form:

\[ \frac{V_o}{V_i} = \frac{j\omega C_f R_f}{T + j\omega C_f R_f} \]  

(1)
Idealised Bode plots of the magnitude and phase of the frequency response are also shown in Figure 3. The high-frequency pass-band gain is unity. It can be seen that there is a pole at a radian frequency of $\omega_p = 1/(C_1R_1)$ which corresponds to the -3dB cut-off frequency of the high-pass response. The response of this filter in the time domain to the narrow input pulse which is defined in Figure 2 can also be seen in Figure 3. The undershoot in the response is 0.093mV and the recovery slope is 29μV/s. This indicates that this filter meets all of the performance criteria for ECG amplifiers.

3.2 Non-inverting high-pass amplifying stage

The next stage of interest is the 1st-order high-pass amplifier stage shown in Figure 4. This stage is ac coupled but has a gain in the pass band. It has a steady-state transfer function of the form:

\[
\frac{V_o}{V_i} = \frac{(1 + j\omega C_1(R_1 + R_2))j\omega C_2 R_3}{(1 + j\omega C_1 R_1)(1 + j\omega C_3 R_3)}
\]

It can be seen that this stage has an in-band high-frequency gain of $A_V = (R_1 + R_2)/R_1$. The -3dB pole in the high-pass response is again located at a radian frequency of $\omega_p = 1/(C_1 R_1)$. A Bode plot of the magnitude and phase of this stage is also shown in Figure 4. It can be seen that a network has been included at the input in the form of resistor $R_3$ and capacitor $C_3$ to ensure that the stage is ac coupled and that dc potentials do not appear at the input to the amplifier. If this network were omitted, the gain of this stage would level out at unity, at the zero in the frequency response which is located at a radian frequency of $\omega_z = 1/(C_1(R_1 + R_2)) = \omega_p/A_V$. The zero also has the effect of restoring the phase back to zero as $\omega \to 0$, as shown by the dashed lines in Figure 4. In this case the amplifier stage could be saturated by electrode polarisation voltages at the input.

In order to get an overall response of the form of a high-pass filter, the lower frequency pole, $\omega_{p_2}$, of the input network is arranged to cancel the zero in the response of the feedback network by a choice of time constants such that $C_3R_3 = C_1(R_1 + R_2)$. In this case the transfer function of the stage becomes:

\[
\frac{V_o}{V_i} = \frac{j\omega C_3 R_3}{(1 + j\omega C_1 R_1)} = \frac{j\omega C_1(R_1 + R_2)}{(1 + j\omega C_1 R_1)}
\]

This is depicted by the solid line responses in Figure 4. It was decided to explore whether or not the frequency response of the single high-pass stage could be...
improved by not implementing an exact cancelation of
the pole and zero, but to allow a degree of mismatch in
their locations. In particular the effect on the phase of
varying the location of the pole on either side of the zero
was of interest. Figure 5 shows plots of the magnitude
and phase of a single-stage amplifier having a non-
inverting gain of 20dB.

4 Multi-stage amplifiers

4.1 Two-stage differential amplifier

The schematic diagram of a simple differential amplifier is shown in Figure 6. This has a single cross-coupled
input stage with differential input voltages \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \). This is followed by a differential-to-single-ended
conversion stage providing the output voltage, \( V_O \). The A
and B designation of components will nominally have the
same values.

\[
\begin{align*}
V_o &= \frac{R_5}{R_4} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega C_1 R_1} \right] \\
V_1 - V_2 &= \frac{R_5}{R_4} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega C_1 R_1 + 2R_2} \right] \\
\end{align*}
\]

Very often \( R_5 = R_4 \) so that the output stage has unity gain,
to maximize the CMRR. In this case the in-band
differential gain of this stage is given as \( A_V = \frac{R_1 + 2R_2}{R_1} \). The -3dB cut-off frequency is again located at
\( \omega_{p1} = \frac{1}{RC_1 R_1} \) while the zero is located at \( \omega_{z1} = \frac{1}{RC_1 R_1 + 2R_2} \). The pole due to the input network,
which is identical at each input terminal, is again located at
a frequency \( \omega_{p2} = \frac{1}{C_1 R_1} \). The same pole-zero
cancellation as was implemented in the single stage
amplifier can be obtained in the differential amplifier by

Figure 6. Schematic Diagram of a Differential Amplifier
choosing \( C_3R_3 = C_1(R_1 + 2R_2) \). Plots of the magnitude and phase of the two stage differential amplifier are shown in Figure 7. Varying the location of the pole \( \omega_{p2} \) has the same effect as in the equivalent single stage amplifier. The curves therefore show only two unmatched locations for this pole, one at the geometric mean of the pole \( \omega_{p1} \) and the zero \( \omega_{z1} \) that is at a frequency \( \omega = \sqrt{\omega_{p1}\omega_{z1}} \) and the other below the zero by the same factor as the geometric mean is above it. The pulse response of Figure 8 shows the detail at the lower end of the trailing edge of the pulse. There is little benefit to be gained from lowering the location of the pole and it has negligible effect on the undershoot and recovery slopes. Increasing the frequency of the pole location causes the undershoot effect on the undershoot and recovery slopes. Increasing the location of this three stage amplifier is given as:

\[
\frac{V_o}{V_1-V_2} = \frac{R_5}{R_4} \times \frac{[1+j\omega C_2(R_2 + 2R_5)][1+j\omega C_3(R_3 + 2R_5)]}{[1+j\omega C_2(R_2 + 2R_5)][1+j\omega C_3(R_3 + 2R_5)]}
\]

where, \( R_5, R_7 \) and \( C_3 \) are the components in the second stage differential amplifier shown in Figure 9. This has a high-pass input stage and a differential-to-single-ended output stage identical to the two stage amplifier. There is a second differential stage which is dc coupled to the first so that the output dc bias potentials of the first stage will carry through to the second stage. The ac coupling of the first stage is sufficient to block any dc polarisation potentials at the input. The steady state transfer function of this three stage amplifier is given as:

\[
\frac{V_o}{V_1} = \frac{R_5}{R_4} \times \frac{[1+j\omega C_2(R_2 + 2R_5)][1+j\omega C_3(R_3 + 2R_5)]}{[1+j\omega C_2(R_2 + 2R_5)][1+j\omega C_3(R_3 + 2R_5)]}
\]

This can be seen in Figures 10 and 11 where the optimised response is represented by the bold curves. The magnitude and phase responses are shown in Figure 10, while the pulse response expanded in the region of the undershoot is shown in Figure 11. This heavy grey curves represents the corresponding responses of a single-stage amplifier with a -3dB frequency of 0.05Hz.

4.2 Three-stage differential amplifier

The final configuration of interest is the 3-stage differential amplifier shown in Figure 9. This has a high-pass input stage and a differential-to-single-ended output stage identical to the two stage amplifier. There is a second differential stage which is dc coupled to the first so that the output dc bias potentials of the first stage will carry through to the second stage. The ac coupling of the first stage is sufficient to block any dc polarisation potentials at the input. The steady state transfer function of this three stage amplifier is given as:

\[
\frac{V_o}{V_1} = \frac{R_5}{R_4} \times \frac{[1+j\omega C_2(R_2 + 2R_5)][1+j\omega C_3(R_3 + 2R_5)]}{[1+j\omega C_2(R_2 + 2R_5)][1+j\omega C_3(R_3 + 2R_5)]}
\]

where, \( R_5, R_7 \) and \( C_3 \) are the components in the second stage differential amplifier. It can be seen that the dc coupling in the second differential stage adds an additional pole and zero to the transfer function. If the output stage has unity gain and the overall gain is shared equally between the two differential stages then the poles and zeros of both of these stages are identical so that \( \omega_{p2} = 1/(C_2R_2) = \omega_{z2} = 1/(C_2R_2) \) and \( \omega_{z2} = 1/(C_2R_2) \). Plots of the magnitude and phase responses are shown in Figure 10, while the pulse response expanded in the region of the undershoot is shown in Figure 11. This heavy grey curves represents the corresponding responses of a single-stage amplifier with a -3dB frequency of 0.05Hz.
5 Conclusion

A 3-stage ECG amplifier for use with unjelled electrodes has been designed which satisfies the IEC 60601 specification. The undershoot in the pulse response is less than 100µV and the recovery slope less than 300µVs⁻¹. The -3dB low cut-off frequency of two identical cascaded differential stages must be set at 0.028Hz in order to accomplish this.
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