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Abstract. The importance of low energy design in large buildings has encouraged researchers to implement different methods for predicting a building’s thermal performance. Atria, as energy efficient features, have been implemented to improve the indoor thermal environment in large modern buildings. Though widely implemented, the thorough study of atrium performance is restricted due to its large size, complex thermodynamic behavior and the inaccuracies and limitations of available prediction tools. This study reviews the most common research tools implemented in previous researches on atria thermal performance, to explore the advantages and limitation of different methods for future studies. The methods reviewed are analytical, experimental, computer modelling and a combination of any or all of these methods. The findings showed that CFD (computational fluid dynamic) models are the most popular tools of recent due to their higher accuracy, capabilities and user-friendly modification. Although the experimental methods were reliable for predicting atria thermal and ventilation performance, they have mostly been used to provide data for validation of CFD models. Furthermore, coupling CFD with other experimental models could increase the reliability and accuracy of the models and provide a more comprehensive analysis.

1 Introduction

Different studies about atrium thermal performance discuss the importance of implementing low energy design for new large buildings to reduce energy consumption. The atrium is a large open space with glazed roof or walls located within a building creating a common space for interconnecting adjacent stories. Atria also have potential environmental advantages such as providing natural daylight and natural ventilation for its adjacent spaces.

The complexity of the nature of air flow in an atrium can cause difficulty in experimental investigations and consequently impose significant challenges on computational modelling for flow prediction [2]. On the other hand, it is difficult to rely on the results of previous studies alone to generalize those achievements for other atrium types with different conditions [3]. Therefore, each atrium models require specific experimental or computational studies to fulfill the concerns of the accuracy in predicting results.

Different types of methods have been implemented in previous studies for the investigation thermal performance of various atrium models. These methods take into consideration the accuracy and capability to provide detailed information, cost and user-friendliness. Therefore, a review of the application of the methods in previous atrium studies and a highlight of the limitation and advantages of those methods is influential for choosing the appropriate method for further studies.

This study starts with a review of the main methods implemented in the previous studies regarding their advantages and disadvantages. Next, the implemented method are investigated based on the purpose of the studies. Finally, in order to find the most appropriate methods for certain atrium studies, all the mentioned methods are compared and discussed accordingly. The scope of this study is limited to previous researches on atrium which evaluated indoor thermal and ventilation conditions.

2 Main methods implemented for atria

This section reviews the most common research methodologies implemented in previous researches. A thorough study of atrium performance is restricted due to inaccuracies and limitations of the available prediction tools [4] and controlled experiments. In general, there are four common methods often implemented in studies of naturally ventilated atria which include analytical model, real building measurement (field study/ experimental study), small scale model simulation studies (experimental study) using computer modelling and a combination of any or all of the aforementioned methods.

2.1 Analytical model

The analytical models are probably the oldest method for predicting atrium ventilation performance. This method is still widely used today for its simplicity, richness in physical meaning and little requirement in computing...
resources, although it may not be accurate for atria with complicated ventilation and the results may not be informative. In cases with simple atrium models, although the final equations obtained for one atrium model may not be used for another without modifications, the methodology and approximations can be similar for different models [5].

Assadi, Dalir [6] implemented the analytical model to evaluate the energy efficiency of an atrium building with buoyancy-driven natural ventilation by testing design parameters such as atrium dimension and glass height. Lin and Linden [7] used theoretical analysis coupled with the experimental analysis using a salt solution to investigate the transient behavior of stratification through the atrium with buoyancy effect. Ji and Cook [8] also implemented a simple analytical model to examine the thermal performance of buoyancy-driven natural ventilation atrium with multi-storey building and a solar chimney by focusing on the impact of the height of the building and opening size. However, discrepancies were observed between CFD results and analytical model.

2.2 Measurement and monitoring of real atria

Measurement and monitoring is an influential method implemented for evaluating atrium thermal performance. Actual building measurements or field experiments are carried out on site using one or several measuring equipment and apparatus to evaluate the performance of existing buildings by conducting scientific data collection [5, 9-12]. It can also be done via personal observation or user response study to assess the monitored building as a case study. The atrium’s internal environment is not stable and changes daily in response to the ambient conditions; therefore, an on-site monitoring of the atrium building is effective to explore the impact of the ambient conditions on the indoor thermal environment of the atrium. Another advantage of conducting field studies for atrium building is that it provides appropriate data for validation of the simulation software implemented in the atrium model.

Despite all the advantages, there are several disadvantages in using this method for atrium investigation. Compared to other building types, the measurement of atria buildings is more difficult due to the largeness of atrium and complexity of thermal and airflow behaviors in atrium. Therefore, to cover all the areas of the atrium while monitoring, a large number of equipment is required. On the other hand, monitoring and measuring atrium buildings need plenty of time to ensure that reliable data is used in the analysis stage. However, even with providing the aforementioned requirements, there is still possibility of human errors occurring during the measurement period, in setting and handling measurement equipment. Another limitation of situ measurement as compared to laboratory experiments is the difficulty in changing boundary condition to test design modification.

In most of the cases, only changing the opening position is possible. Table 1 compares two main measurement techniques, the investigated parameters and implemented devices from previous studies about atria.

2.3 Scale model experiments

Implementing scale model instead of a field study, is beneficial in terms of lower cost and practicality especially for high rise buildings. It is also used commonly to visualize airflow patterns and temperature rate in and around atrium buildings and investigating opposing and assisting effects of wind on buoyancy with the aid of a wind or water tunnel [20] (Table 1). This model is capable of predicting the actual building conditions with a reasonable degree of accuracy [21-23]. The highest similarity of air mean velocity fields in the scale model is obtained when airflow in both scale model and real space

![Figure 1. Monitoring and measurement of an office building in the tropics [13].](image)
are fully turbulent [24]. Three types of scale model experiments used for investigating thermal and ventilation conditions in atria buildings are wind tunnel model, salt bath model and fine hydrogen bubbles. Wind tunnel scale models are also used to determine wind pressure coefficients, to be used for calculating the ventilation rates indirectly [25]. While, the salt-bath methods is mostly implemented to visualize the atrium ventilation with thermal buoyancy [23].

Ding, Hasemi [30] carried out reduced scale model experiment to examine the stack effect performance in an eight-storey office atrium building in Tokyo. Bensalem [29] used wind tunnel model to evaluate the thermal performance of atrium with wind-driven natural ventilation. Liu, Lin [31] used salt bath model and Walker, Tana [1] used reduced scale method. Both were coupled with CFD for investigating thermal performance of atrium building with buoyancy-driven natural ventilation (figure 2). Holford and Hunt [23] implemented small-scale and theoretical models to investigate the flow enhancement in the atrium using heat source and solar heat gain. According to the results, the experimental results of the scale models were in agreement with other CFD or analytical results.

### 2.4 Computational modelling

Computational modeling has been used increasingly to simulate airflow patterns through atria buildings and predict atrium airflow and thermal field. Generally, the three most popular computational methods for modelling airflow in atria are multi-zone, zonal and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) models. The multi-zone model is not recommended for large spaces or spaces with the stratified ventilation system due to the well-mixing

---

#### Table 1: Typical measurement techniques for thermal evaluation and ventilation performance of atria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Measurable variable</th>
<th>Measurement devices</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overheating</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Thermal Comfort Meter, thermocouple</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratification</td>
<td>Mean radiant temperature, Air temperature and wall surface temperature.</td>
<td>Thermal Radiometer, Thermocouple</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar heat gain, Glare</td>
<td>Radiant temperature, Air temperature, Air velocity</td>
<td>Thermal Radiometer, Thermocouples, anemometer</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar heat gain, Glare</td>
<td>Daylight factor, Temperature, Airflow</td>
<td>Sensitive photometers</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overheating</td>
<td>Temperature, Airflow velocity,</td>
<td>Thermocouple, Anemometer, flow hood, Smoke pencils</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratification</td>
<td>Displacement ventilation</td>
<td>Salt solutions, forced chamber, Unforced chamber</td>
<td>[7, 28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilation control</td>
<td>Airflow rate, Airflow pattern</td>
<td>Wind tunnel test</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
assumption in these models. In this regards, zonal models have been employed to compensate for the problem of predicting air temperature distributions in the multi-zone model. However, the zonal model has a limitation in predicting airflow pattern and is insufficient for driving flows with high momentum. Tan and Glicksman [32] validated a developed multi-zone model program, MMPN, for naturally ventilated atrium buildings using CFD method. Their results showed that by implementing the results of the multi-zone model in CFD model, CFD can simulate and provide accurate detailed information for the atrium and also save a significant amount of computation time.

Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) is a powerful tool that has been improved remarkably in recent years for the design of indoor climate control. Compared to the zonal models, which are macroscopic, the CFD models, as microscopic models, have extremely small cells to control the macro-volumes. Although in atria, due to the large size of space and also the complicated behavior of flow field, simulating the flow field using the CFD model face several difficulties, it still is a unique method to make the accurate and detailed analysis possible [33]. Many researchers employed CFD models to predict indoor thermal environment of the atria buildings and their results proved that the CFD model is appropriate for providing the detail thermal and ventilation performance of atrium [34, 35] [36] [37]. Kondo and Niwa [38] carried out a numerical study on the atrium thermal performance using $k$-$\varepsilon$ turbulence model. C.A. Rundle, M.F. Lightstone [16] and Ding, Hasemi [30] Hussain and Oosthuizen [39] used CFD method to study on the atrium model in which turbulent natural convection coupled with radiative heat transfer (figure 3).

![Figure 3: A mode of the atrium and adjacent spaces in the engineering building of Concordia university, Canada, hybrid ventilation concept [17].](image)

### 3.1 Investigating atrium thermal performance

Among different studies about atrium thermal performance, a limited number have investigated atrium real indoor thermal condition using field measurement and monitoring [18] [13, 15, 19]. While, a large number of studies examined different design parameters to improve atrium thermal performance such as the impact of opening design [1, 23, 30, 40, 41] or atrium shape [6, 42] or atrium roof form [43] (figure 4). Lin and Linden [7], Holford and Hunt [23], and Wang, Huang [26] separately used theoretical and experimental analyses to evaluate the thermal performances of atria with different opening aspects (size, location, and status). Furthermore, there are also studies on the evaluation of the performance of different implemented strategies in atrium buildings such as solar assisted natural ventilation [44] [15, 45], night ventilation performance in atrium [19] [46] [47] [17] [17] or wind-induce strategy [48] [49] [28, 50] using CFD and experimental methods. More details about the aforementioned studies are available in [3].

![Figure 4: Predicted air temperature in the naturally ventilated atrium building with wind-ward openings reduced to 0.1m [20].](image)

### 3.2 Validation of computational model

Since the nature of airflow behavior and heat transfer in atrium buildings is complicated and hard to predict, many studies have carried out experimental and numerical studies for validating the accuracy of the numerical simulation tools for atria by comparing the predicted results with the experimental results [31, 49, 51-53]. In all the studies mentioned above, there was a good agreement between numerical and experimental results. Some of the researchers evaluated the accuracy of the CFD model implemented [31]. Hussain and Oosthuizen [52] compared different RANS turbulence models with radiation model for atrium with hybrid ventilation. Furthermore, the number of researches are limited to testing different boundary conditions, as the sensitivity test, for more accurate results. For example, Gan [54] study indicates that a more accurate simulation of natural ventilation is achieved using a larger computational domain in enclosures with large openings for the naturally ventilated atrium.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Simplified theoretical models</strong></td>
<td>Simple (one-equation) method for calculating approximate airflow rates in a single space</td>
<td>Quick, easy and cheap</td>
<td>Less accuracy, No information on airflow within space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On-site measurement</strong></td>
<td>Experimental technique Measuring the real conditions</td>
<td>Many physical problems in wind engineering and building physics, such as wind-driven rain on buildings and heat and moisture transfer in porous building components require on-site measurements</td>
<td>Not controllable, the numbers of variables are constrained, Not predictable, There may be unexpected disturbances during an experimental measurement. There are also administrative limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wind tunnel testing</strong></td>
<td>Experimental technique in which models of buildings and their surroundings are subjected to varying (and controlled) wind speeds.</td>
<td>Ideally suited for modelling external airflows. Flow visualization possible.</td>
<td>Expensive and time consuming. Not possible to model internal flows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salt-Bath modelling</strong></td>
<td>Experimental technique in which Perspex models of buildings are submerged in water and brine injected to represent sources of heat.</td>
<td>Visually easy to appreciate. Qualitative flow pattern within space can be realized and easily photographed. Possible to measure specific parameters.</td>
<td>Expensive to construct accurate models, time-consuming to make geometric changes, Not possible to measure variables at arbitrary positions without intrusive apparatus, practical experience required, Few equipped laboratories, no heat exchange with structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multi-zone models</strong></td>
<td>A simple technique for predicting air exchange rates and airflow distributions in buildings, calculate ventilation efficiency, energy demand, pollutant transport, and smoke control.</td>
<td>User-friendly, straightforward internal representation and calculation procedure. The prediction of overall flow through the building.</td>
<td>cannot represent detailed temperature and airflow distributions within a single space, due to its ‘well-mixed’ assumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zonal models</strong></td>
<td>A simple technique for calculating airflow rates between adjacent zones of a multi-zone space.</td>
<td>Quick, easy and cheap. More accurate than simplified theoretical models.</td>
<td>Still no information on airflow within spaces. Some training required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computational fluid dynamics</strong></td>
<td>Computational technique which primarily solves conservation equations of mass, Momentum and energy to find velocities, temperatures and pressure throughout the whole domain</td>
<td>Potentially very accurate, Able to predict spatial information giving values for variables throughout the entire flow domain, easy to investigate changes in geometry and operating conditions, Additional physical models (e.g. radiation models and contaminant dispersion) can be added with relative ease.</td>
<td>Experience required achieving optimum performance from the code. Very computationally intensive, detailed simulations of entire buildings or long transient simulations are currently prohibitive, some validation/development is still required, particularly in the area of turbulence modelling and buoyancy-driven flows.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Discussion:

Table 2 compares the advantages and disadvantages of different methods implemented in atrium studies. According to the literature, it seems that the small-scale and full-scale experimental models were mostly implemented to generate data for validating the numerical models of atrium [55, 56]. Compared with small scale models, zonal modelling and CFD modelling of a 3D full-scale building are more effective design tools in predicting thermal and ventilation performance of the entire atrium building. Among the different atrium models reviewed, the CFD models (of a 3D full-scale building) were the most popular and contributed to about 70 percent of the literature. The application of CFD for atrium models were mostly to investigate temperature distributions, airflow rate and pattern and thermal stratification respectively since predicting these variables with detail throughout the atrium space were difficult using other models. The review showed that, in general, to have a better understanding of indoor thermal conditions of atrium buildings, such as temperature distribution and airflow rate, situ measurement have been used while, for testing different design parameters and their impact on the thermal performance of atria, CFD method was a common method implemented. Furthermore, in order to evaluate airflow pattern and thermal stratification throughout the atrium and adjacent spaces, a combination of both experimental and numerical simulation methods was used.

4.1 Combination of methods

Due to the lack of powerful methods for producing complete and accurate analysis, particularly for energy-related and indoor air quality atrium research, some researchers attempted to combine the different methods mentioned in section 2 [5]. Employing combined methods assists the researchers to fill the gap in any shortcomings of a particular method, validates the results and correlates the findings [57]. In another study on atrium design, Navvab [58] discussed the combination of methods as a back-and-forth process which starts by testing the atrium design implementing a scale model and proceeds to developing computer models for the parametric studies. Then, by going back to the scale model, the design can be refined. The combination of methods, such as the multi-zone models with CFD, can save a significant amount of computation time [59]. Combined methods have been increasingly employed in different research studies. Some researchers applied one model to produce some primary input data, as the boundary condition, for the next model in order to increase the accuracy of the atrium modelling results [60]. For example, Tan and Glicksman [32] integrated a multi-zone model (MMPN) and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to modelling naturally ventilated atrium. They transferred velocity and pressure data from a multi-zone model program to CFD as boundary conditions. Other researchers use the coupled models for validation the computational model by comparing the experimental results with the simulation results (as discussed in section 3.2). However, most of the time, there are partial differences between the two modelling results since none of the modelling techniques are perfect.

Although employing different methods resulted in a better understanding of the complex nature of the problem and provided comprehensive and more accurate results, it also has limitations. For instance, it is time-consuming and costly due to different types of equipment and various techniques for each method that is continuously involving. However, according to the literature, for a well-resourced program, implementing a combined method is recommended.

5 Conclusion

This paper reviewed various methods implemented for investigating atrium indoor thermal and ventilation conditions. As mentioned, the most common methods cited in the literature for atrium studies are analytical, experimental (situ measurement and scale model) and computational modelling (multi-zone, zonal, and CFD models). The methods were compared and the advantages and limitations of each method was discussed. Among different methods implemented, CFD is the most accurate method to predict indoor temperature distribution, airflow behavior and rate for the atrium in details.

Based on the literature, to ensure the accuracy of the predicted results, more comparison between CFD results and experimental results are required. It is also helpful for the validation of the software implemented and examining the turbulence models. In most cases reviewed, for the study about atrium design parameters, CFD modelling, for investigating the impact of the ambient condition on atrium indoor thermal condition, situ measurement and for modelling indoor airflow pattern, the combination of experimental study and CFD modeling were implemented.
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