Data loss for PLC of nonlinear systems Iterative Learning Control Algorithm
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Abstract- When we use power line as data carrier, due to the complexity of the PLC network environment, data packet loss frequently, so the paper deal with the iterative learning control for a class of nonlinear systems with measurement dropouts in the PLC, and studies the P-type iterative learning control algorithm convergence issues, the data packet loss is described as a stochastic Bernoulli process, on this basis we given convergence conditions for the P-type iterative learning control algorithm. The theoretically analysis is supported by the simulation of a numerical example; the convergence of ILC can be guaranteed when some output measurements are missing.

1 Introduction

ILC (Iterative learning control) is a Human-simulated intelligent control method, these cyclical and repetitive tasks can be achieved fully tracked through continuous learning within finite time interval. Since 1984, Japanese scholars Arimoto proposed the method, ILC has been a hot issue at home and abroad, and has achieved rich theoretical results and application results [2-6]. Robustness is an important research aspect for iterative learning control and is also applied to solve the real factory problem. Due to the theoretical study of iterative learning control algorithm has the stringent system conditions which is the repeatability but the real system is difficult to meet these conditions, so robust study of ILC focused on non-strict repeat the conditions, such as the non-repetitive initial conditions [7], non-repetitive desired trajectory [8], non-repetitive disturbances and noise [9-10], non-repetitive uncertain time-delay [11-13] and so on.

At present, we use net to control in real factory control system, such as DCS, FCS and remote control based on Internet. Net control is a closed-loop system, although the traditional control system, is low cost, easy installation and maintenance, system flexibility, ease of troubleshooting etc., but net control brings data delay, data dropout and other problems[14-15]. However, it is less applied to the net network with ILC, currently a small number of studies have mainly focus on the linear systems. In the literature [16-17], the lifting method is used to examine data loss of linear discrete systems and the design of robust convergence and algorithm of iterative learning control (ILC). Given that most working systems are nonlinear and the lifting method of generations of learning is not suitable for nonlinear discrete systems, the same method cannot be applied to nonlinear systems. Thus, the present paper is aimed at adopting a new approach to explore the convergence problem of ILC of nonlinear systems in case of data loss in the power line communication.

2 Problem descriptions

Consider the PLC MIMO non liner discrete time system:
\[
\begin{align*}
    x_k(t+1) &= f(x_k(t)) + B(x_k(t))u_k(t) \\
    y_k(t) &= g(x_k(t)) + D(x_k(t))u_k(t)
\end{align*}
\]
(1)

Here, \( x_k(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n, u_k(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r, y_k(t) \in \mathbb{R}^s \) are state vector, control input and output vector respectively. For the MIMO system, we give below suppose 1.

Suppose 1 non-liner Function
\[
    f() : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,N] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, g() : \mathbb{R}^r \times [0,N] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s,
\]
\[
    B() : \mathbb{R}^s \times [0,N] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_n}, D() : \mathbb{R}^t \times [0,N] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_o}
\]
the state vector x meets Lipschitz conditions, that is for all \( t \in [0,N] \) and any \( (x_1(t),x_2(t)) \)

represent bounded constant \( k_F,k_B,k_G,k_D \) meet
\[
\begin{align*}
    &\|f(x_1(t)) - f(x_2(t))\| \leq k_F\|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\| \\
    &\|B(x_1(t)) - B(x_2(t))\| \leq k_B\|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\| \\
    &\|g(x_1(t)) - g(x_2(t))\| \leq k_G\|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\| \\
    &\|D(x_1(t)) - D(x_2(t))\| \leq k_D\|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\|
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \| \cdot \| \) is Euclidean norm.

Suppose 2 Initial condition of system satisfies
Suppose 3 For the desired tracking trajectory \( y_d(t) \), there are desired input \( u_d(t) \) and \( x_d(t) \) that meet formula (2)

\[
\begin{align*}
x_d(t+1) &= f(x_d(t)) + B(x_d(t))u_d(t) \\
y_d(t) &= g(x_d(t)) + D(x_d(t))u_d(t)
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

For the MIMO non-linear system (1), consider P-type learning control algorithm:

\[
u_{k+1}(t) = u_k(t) + γe_k(t)
\]

(3)

\( γ \) is learning gain matrix, \( e_k(t) = y_d(t) - y_k(t) \) is system tracking error.

We assume output data \( y_k(t) \) that is lost and each component of the output vector has same characteristics of loss. So the P-type ILC can be described as

\[
u_{k+1}(t) = u_k(t) + γΘe_k(t)
\]

(4)

\[Θ = \begin{bmatrix}
\eta(t) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \eta(t)
\end{bmatrix}_{r \times r}, \eta(t) \text{ is Bernoulli sequence, namely } \eta(t) \in \{0,1\}, \text{ but } \eta(t) = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ is random, if } \eta(t) = 0 \text{ indicates that the data is lost, } \eta(t) = 1 \text{ means that no data is lost. Due to the randomness of data loss, we know } \eta(t) \text{ and } u_k(t), x_k(t), y_k(t) \text{ are unconnected. Assumption }\]

\[
P\{\eta(t) = 1\} = E[\eta(t)] = \bar{\eta}, \quad P\{\eta(t) = 1\} = E[\eta(t)] = \bar{\eta} \text{, where } \bar{\eta} \text{ is the success rate of data transmission and satisfies } 0 \leq \bar{\eta} \leq 1. \text{ Matrix } Θ \text{ meet } \]

\[
P\{\eta(t) = 1\} = E[\eta(t)] = \bar{\eta} \quad \text{, where } \bar{\eta} \text{ is the success rate of data transmission and satisfies } 0 \leq \bar{\eta} \leq 1.
\]

Before we give the convergence theorem, Let us make the following marks firstly:

\[
\| \|_{\infty} \text{ is infinite norm, we define vector } z \text{ as } \]

\[
\| z \|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij}, \quad \text{where } x_{ij} \text{ denotes the } j \text{-th element of the vector } z, \text{ for the } n \times n \text{ matrix } M, \text{ we define } \]

\[
\| M \|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij}, \quad m_{ij} \text{ denotes the element of matrix.}
\]

3 Convergence analyses

According to the below theorem we give the main result in the paper.

Theorem 1 For MIMO nonlinear system (1) to meet the suppose 1-3, using the formula (3) of ILC, when the system exits the output data dropout, if you choose the learning gain \( Γ \) for all \( t \) and \( k \) satisfy

\[
\| z \|_{\infty} < 1,
\]

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} E[\| y_k(t) - y_d(t) \|_{\infty}] = 0
\]

for all \( t \in [0, N] \), we can get \( e_k(t) \).

Proof. By the formula (1) and suppose 3, we know

\[
y_k(t) = c(x_k(t)) + d(x_k(t))u_k(t) \quad (4)
\]

\[
y_k(t) = c(x_k(t)) + d(x_k(t))u_k(t) \quad (5)
\]

Eq.(4) minus Eq.(5), we get \( e_k(t) \).

\[
e_k(t) = y_d(t) - y_k(t) = \]

\[
(g(x_d(t)) + D(x_d(t))u_d(t) - (g(x_k(t)) + D(x_k(t))u_k(t) = \]

\[
(g(x_d(t)) - g(x_k(t)) + D(x_d(t))u_d(t) - D(x_k(t))u_k(t) = \]

\[
g(x_d(t)) - g(x_k(t)) + D(x_d(t))u_d(t) + [D(x_d(t)) - D(x_k(t))]u_k(t) \quad (6)
\]

According to the suppose 1 and meet Lipschitz, we can get the below Eq. by Eq. (6).

\[
\delta u_{k+1}(t) \leq \| \| \| \| u_{k}(t) - c_k(t) \| \| \| \| u_{k}(t) = \]

\[
\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij}, \quad \text{where } x_{ij} \text{ denotes the } j \text{-th element of the vector } z, \text{ for the } n \times n \text{ matrix } M, \text{ we define } \]

\[
\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij}, \quad m_{ij} \text{ denotes the element of matrix.}
\]

According to the Eq.(1) and suppose 3, we get

\[
\delta x_k(t) = x_k(t) - x_k(t) = \]

\[
f(x_k(t-1)) + b(x_k(t-1))u_k(t-1) - f(x_k(t-1)) + b(x_k(t-1))u_k(t-1), \quad (8)
\]

Then we get inequality (9).
\[ |\delta x_k(t)| \leq (k_{f} + k_{g} |u_d(t-1)|)|\delta x_k(t-1)| + \|b(x_k(t-1))\|\delta u_k(t-1) \]

namely
\[ |\delta x_k(t)| \leq k_1 |\delta x_k(t-1)| + k_3 |\delta u_k(t-1)| \]

(9)

Where \( k_2 = \|b(x_k(t-1))\|, k_3 = k_f + k_g |u_d(t-1)| \).

As \( |\delta u_k(t)| = 0 \), so we expand right-hand member of Eq.(9) successively.
\[ |\delta u_k(t)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i} k_j k_3^{j-1} |\delta u_k(j-1)| \]

(10)

Substitution Eq.(10) into Eq.(7), we get below Eq.(11).
\[ |\delta u_{k+1}(t)| \leq |1 - \gamma \eta(t)d(x_k(t))| |\delta u_k(t)| + k_1 |\delta x_k(t)| \]

(11)

\[ k_1 \sum_{j=1}^{i} k_j k_3^{j-1} |\delta u_k(j-1)| = |1 - \gamma \eta(t)d(x_k(t))| |\delta u_k(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^{i} K_{l,j} |\delta u_k(j)| \]

In the paper, we suppose that mathematic expectation \( E(u_k(t)) \) is random factors \( \eta(t) \) , considering the \( \eta(t) \) and \( u_k(t) \) are unconnected. The two ends of the Eq.(11) take mathematic expectation, then we get Eq.(12)
\[ E\{|\delta u_{k+1}(t)|\} \leq |1 - \gamma \eta(t)d(x_k(t))| E\{|\delta u_k(t)|\} + \sum_{j=1}^{i} K_{l,j} E\{|\delta u_k(j)|\} \]

(12)

where \( K_{l,j} = E(K_{l,j}) = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} k_c |\gamma \eta(t)| (1 + k_d |u_d(t)|) k_2 k_3 k_3^{j-1} \)
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} k_c (|\gamma \eta(t)| + k_d |\gamma \eta(t)| |u_d(t)|) k_2 k_3 k_3^{j-1} \]

We take Eq.(12) expand from \( t=10 \) to \( t=\infty \) successively, then we get Eq.(13)
\[ E\{|\delta u_{k+1}(0)|\} \leq |1 - \gamma \eta(t)d(x_k(0))| E\{|\delta u_k(0)|\}, E\{|\delta u_{k+1}(1)|\} \leq |1 - \gamma \eta(t)d(x_k(1))| E\{|\delta u_k(1)|\} + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} K_{l,i} E\{|\delta u_k(i)|\} \]

(13)

We rewrite inequality(13) then get
\[ V_{k+1} \leq A V_k \]

where:
\[ V_k = \begin{bmatrix} E\{|\delta u_k(0)|\} \\ \vdots \\ E\{|\delta u_k(N)|\} \end{bmatrix} \]

So, according inequality (13), if spectral radius of matrix \( A_k \) meet \( \rho(A_k) < 1 \), then \( V_k \) follow iteration axis asymptotic convergence. When \( k \to \infty \) for all \( t = 0, \ldots, N \), \( V_k \) meet \( E\{u_k(t)\} \to u_0(t) \) namely
\[ E\{u_k(t)\} \to \gamma_d(t) \) by suppose 3, as \( A_k \) is lower triangular matrix, their characteristic root is
\[ |1 - \gamma \eta(t)d(x_k(0))| \]

In the paper, we suppose that mathematic expectation output of the system is Eq.(15)
\[ \gamma_d(t) = \sin(\pi t/100), t \in [0,500] \]

Initial conditions set \( x_{k}^{(t)} = x_{u}^{(t)} = 0, u_{k}^{(k)} = 0 \) in the simulation system. In both cases output data lose in the system. The first case is \( \gamma = 0.8, 20\% \) output data dropout, the second case is \( \gamma = 0.6, 40\% \) output data dropout. We choose P-type ILC:
\[ u_{k+1}^{(t)} = u^{(t)} + 0.5e_{k}^{(t)} \]

In the non-linear system (14), \( d(x_k(t)) = 1 \), we looked at convergence condition of theorem 1 in two data lose cases, when \( \gamma = 0.8, \]

algorithm convergence.

Simulation results are figure 1 and figure 2. Fig.1 is maximum tracking error with different iteration time, obviously, when there is 20% measure output data lose, system tracing error still converges to 0. Fig.2 gives
system output with different iteration. When \( \overline{n} = 0.6, \)
\[
\left| 1 - \gamma \overline{n} d(x_{k}(k)) \right| = 0.7 < 1, \]
on algorithm convergence remains the same, show fig.3 and fig.4

Fig.1 the maximum tracking error with 20% data lose

Fig.2 The system output profiles of different iterations for 20% lose

a) The fifth times iterations output

b) The twenty-fifth times iterations output

c) The thirty-fifth times iteration output

Fig.3 the maximum tracking error with 40% data lose

Fig.4 The system output profiles of different iterations for 40% lose

a) The thirty-fifth times iteration output

5 Conclusions

We research the presence of nonlinear system iteration learning control algorithm output measurement data loss robustness convergence problems in the paper and give the convergence condition. Theoretically proved that the convergence of the algorithm and the theoretical results through simulation. The results show that presence of certain nonlinear system when output data is lost, iterative learning control algorithm can guarantee the convergence of the tracking error, but the convergence rate increases will slow down with the degree of data loss.
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