Semi-natural test methods to evaluate fire safety of wall claddings: Update

Miroslav Smolka¹, Eleonora Anselmi², Tony Crimi³, Bruce Le Madec¹, István Ferenc Móder⁴, Kye Won Park⁵, Roman Rupp¹, Yong-Ho Yoo⁶ and Hideki Yoshioka⁷

¹ Rockwool International A/S, Denmark
² L.S.Fire Testing Institute srl, Como, Italy
³ A.C. Consulting Solutions Inc., Canada
⁴ ÉMI Budapest, Hungary
⁵ Fire Insurers Laboratories of Korea
⁶ Korea Institute of Civil Eng. and Building Technology
⁷ National Institute for Land and Infrastructure, Japan

1. INTRODUCTION

There are a number of test methods worldwide to evaluate fire safety of facades. An overview of available test methods implemented in fire safety codes was presented at the 1st Conference of Fire Safety of Facades in 2013. [1] Since then, a number of changes and developments occurred. The purpose of this paper is to present the updated global overview of facade fire spread test methods made for building regulations.

On top of that, work is going on developing new test methods or amending existing ones. The paper presents major developments and principles of revisions to existing standards.

2. UPDATES ON NEW FAÇADE TEST METHODS AND REVISIONS

2.1 Germany: New test for façade base (bottom part/edge)

An internal procedure of the German building institute (DIBT) [2] has been in use since 2015. Its purpose is to demonstrate the suitability of fire protection measures against a fire exposure from outside a building in the immediate vicinity of the facade (“base fire exposure”) for External Thermal Composite Insulating Systems (ETICS) with polystyrene insulation.

The test wall is a reentrant corner 4 wide, 2 m deep, and 9.8 m high (minimum dimensions). Fire load is made up by a wood crib 200 kg in total. The test requires quite substantial instrumentation: more than 200 thermocouples placed inside the tested system, and 20 mm from its outer surface.

The test procedure does not contain a set of pass/fail criteria; test evaluation is reserved by the German building institute. The measured values and observations include temperatures, visible flame spread, falling parts, burning droplets, and any damage observed on the façade system after the test.
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only criteria mentioned as reasons to terminate the test prematurely (prior to 60 minutes into the test) is
the flame reaching the top edge of the specimen or a safety threat to personnel.

Although the test is reportedly intended as an internal procedure for establishing safety levels and
building regulations by authorities with a temporary applicability, the current occupation of laboratories
performing this test suggest that this test method continues to be used on commercial basis.

The other German test method DIN E 4102-20 [3] is expected to be published as a full DIN standard
in 2016.

2.2 Japan: New façade fire test

New test method was published as the Japanese industrial standard in 2015 as JIS A 1310: 2015 [4].
The test method has been developed on the basis of experiments presented in 2013 [5]. The method
originated from ISO 13785-1:2002 [6] (the screening test accompanying the large-scale test ISO
13785-2 [7]. Similar to ISO13785-1, the new Japanese standard is intended as “a screening method
for determining the fire propagation of products and constructions of a building façade when exposed to
flames ejected from the opening” [4].

The method differentiates from ISO 13785-1 quite substantially, however. It lacks the lateral wing,
and the fire load is 6x higher. Instead of a linear burner placed directly under the lintel or the bottom
dge (base) of the façade system, it has a square burner placed inside the elevated combustion chamber,
in order to avoid the situation where molten droplets from the burning façade specimen covers the top
surface of linear burner.

The standard follows the pattern of ISO façade fire testing standards in focussing on the incident
heat flux in the first place. Surface temperatures and temperatures 50 mm from the surface are measured
as well. There is no measurement of internal temperature measurement inside insulation products, but
observation of melting droplets and falling parts is recommended.

The method is not aimed at any particular product or construction technology; its scope are all types
of facades even including those with ventilated air gaps.

Japan is currently looking at developing a classification system based on this standard.
2.3 Italy: New façade fire tests using the Room Corner measuring equipment

The Ministry of Interior has commissioned developing a relatively-low-cost method for evaluating fire safety of rendered façade systems [8]. The proposal has two parts:

- **Construction work fire scenario**: mounting face fire scenario in which only the uncoated insulation element of the system is exposed to an ignition source.
- **Finished work fire scenario**: fire scenario in which the ETICS system in its end use condition is exposed to an ignition source.

Both tests are carried out using the room corner ISO 9705 [9] equipment in order to measure the heat release rate and to compare it considering different insulation components.

For both tests, the size of the specimen is 2950 mm × 2950 mm. The damaged height (vertical flame spread) must not exceed 2.5 m, and lateral flame spread must not reach the specimen edges; if the 1st test passes it is possible to proceed with the 2nd test.

The 1st test is performed with the specimen consisting in the insulation product of its real thickness installed on the wall without the top covering layers (render).

In Italy, majority of fire accidents occurred in the construction site scenario, where the uncoated insulation element is exposed directly to the fire; the main ignition sources are uncontrolled use of the flame during the application of the bituminous sheathing. As a result, the test is carried out with a ribbon-type propane gas-burner that reaches 30 kW [8].

Total heat release, rate of heat release, and smoke optical density are also measured and reported. The specimen of the 2nd test consists of the finished ETICS system but looks at the same measured values and criteria; the main differences are:

- The power of the burner that reaches 300 kW.
- The type of the burner (linear spreading burner) and its position (under the bottom edge of the test specimen, 50% deep).
- The fact that the ignition of the specimen due to burning droplets is not evaluated.
In its current version, the test scope and test procedure description claim that it is only the system base that is subjected to examination. In fact, in Italy, the historical fire events occurred in such a scenario – i.e. with a ETICS system protected on its surface by a glass fiber mesh and cement-based mortar – because of ignition sources like burning garbage bins adjacent to the walls of the building or burning cars parked along its external perimeter. The test carried out on this scenario thus required a much more powerful fire model than the fire model used for the construction work fire scenario [8].

The burner power and position are based on the assumption that they represent the window-plume attack fire scenario as well.

2.4 EOTA: Draft test procedures TR073

A task group within the European Organisation for Technical Assessments (EOTA) has been drafting TR073 [9], a document containing 2 different test methods which are very similar to DIN E 4102-20 [3] and BS 8414-1 [10]. The two test methods described therein follow the usual test setup of re-entrant corner and a combustion chamber. The test methodologies do not address incident heat flux but otherwise follow the usual pattern of temperature measurements and observations of the tested facade performance.

2.5 CEN: New draft test method for cavity barriers

One of the European routes to dealing with fire safety of wall claddings is testing fire performance of cavity barriers [11]. The new test method designated prEN 1364-6 [12] actually deals with all the applications where hidden fire spread is a safety risk: “Cavity barriers are provided within buildings to restrict the spread of smoke and fire in concealed spaces. Cavity barriers may be “closed” e.g. in roof voids, under raised floors, between the inner and outer leaves of walls such as in timber framed construction; or they may be “open” e.g. as used in ventilated facade systems, roof eaves, rain screen cladding systems etc.” [12]

The test proposed is an indicative or benchmark test carried out in fire resistance furnace utilising the standard temperature/time curve. The cavity barrier under examination is installed within an artificial cavity made of aerated concrete, built on the fire resistance furnace ceiling. The test is looking at performance of the barrier in terms of integrity (i.e. flame penetration through the barrier) or insulation (maximum temperature above the barrier). The test is intended to be evaluated to European fire resistance criteria.

As it is obvious from the test description, the test method cannot replace a façade fire spread test; it can be used to complement such a test so that the large-scale façade test does not have to be repeated every time when a cavity barrier is replaced for an alternative product. The purpose of the test fits well in the European concept of Extended Application of Test Results (EXAP) where it can be used in conjunction with a future European façade spread test.

2.6 ISO: Proposal for ISO 13785-2 revision

ISO 13785-2 [7] was developed in ISO TC92 as a combination of large-scale facade tests available in 1990’s and experience available at that time. Since then, significant experience has been gathered using the method as well as similar test methods worldwide. The need for revising ISO 13785-2 is obvious, and in particular concerns the following elements:

- Fire load and its calibration: the 3 types of fire load allowed need to be equalized;
- Heat flux measurement: plate thermometer can successfully replace heat-flux meters;
- Testing construction details of the tested facade system.
To serve the current and future needs, attention could also be given to the following areas:

- Measurements and observations, including new items like falling parts and continuous smouldering;
- Presentation of results so that they are compatible with most used regulatory requirements;
- Use of the method for Fire Safety Engineering;
- Relation of the method with the intermediate-scale screening method ISO 13785-1 [6] which can be used as an approval test for specific areas of use.

ISO 13785-2 test facilities exist in Korea, Japan, Czech Republic, Poland. ISO 13785-1 and/or -2 are referred to in regulations of Czech republic and Korea, and have been used on voluntary basis in Poland and Slovakia; Australia and China are considering using the standard. The test method itself has recently been subjected to research [13, 14].

**2.7 USA: NFPA 285 revision**

The test method, which today is titled NFPA 285 [15], has been applied nationally in the US via adoption in the model building codes, and has resulted in an existing building stock with exterior walls that are more resistant to self-propagating fires. The NFPA 285 Standard is currently in its revision cycle. A new edition will be published in 2016. Several proposed changes have been considered, the most important of which is a revision to require at least one horizontal joint or seam to be located between 1 ft (305 mm) and 2 ft (610 mm) above the top of the window opening, and at least one vertical joint or seam upward from the center of the window opening. Combustible cladding materials and systems that have been tested together to show code compliance, such as various insulation or barrier materials, without joint/seams located as defined would also require each existing NFPA 285 test to show compliance with the new protocol.

**2.8 France: LEPIR II revision**

The test Lepir II [16] has been used in France since 1970’s and improved ever since. The current set of measured values and observations is the most complete of all current façade fire spread test methods including visible flame spread, temperatures at different levels, droplets, falling parts, and observation of glowing and smouldering combustion after the test.

Since 2013, a revision of the test protocol is leading to a series of tests in order to justify the possible compliance of façade solutions with the French regulation.

**2.9 Hungary: MSZ 14800-6 revision**

A minor revision to MSZ 14800-6 [17] is under preparation. It includes the extension of the test duration from 45 minutes to 60 minutes, specification of falling parts in the normative text of the standard, and more precise specification of phenomena to be observed during the test including burning droplets, ignition due to falling droplets, smoke, high radiation-level etc.

The test remains unique among the façade fire spread test methods in that it requires a controlled combustion following the standard temperature/time curve in the combustion chamber, and opening of the standard glazed window 5 min into the test simulating a sudden impingement of flames onto the façade.
3. FAÇADE FIRE SPREAD TEST METHODS – EUROPEAN AND GLOBAL OVERVIEW

Following are the updated table originally published in [1]. Apart from adding new test methods, minor additions and/or corrections were made.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Due to the growing awareness about the importance of façade fire spread phenomenon supported by real fire cases, a number of countries has developed or revised their fire testing protocols. Despite attempts for harmonisation, the amount of diverse test methods is on the increase (even in European countries). The European Commission has started its own initiative to harmonise the methodology and classification of façade fire spread; the topic has drawn attention of both member states and industry. The obvious end result of such an initiative should be a harmonised system of façade fire performance testing and classification, based on a test that reflects real safety risks. There is no reason why such initiatives should be limited to different parts of the world when the problem of façade fire performance and its evaluation is truly global.
Table 1. Main parameters of major international and national test methods for fire spread on external wall cladding. Published standards and technical documents in Europe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>BS 8414-1</th>
<th>LEPIR II</th>
<th>MSZ 14800-6</th>
<th>SP FIRE 105</th>
<th>Önorm B 3800-5</th>
<th>PN-90/B-02867</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S, DK</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire exposure</td>
<td>Wood crib, peak heat 3.5 MW, 4500 MJ</td>
<td>600 kg wood crib</td>
<td>650 kg wood crib, 3 MW approx. (controlled)</td>
<td>60 l heptane</td>
<td>25 kg wood / 320 kW propane</td>
<td>20 kg wood crib + wind towards the wall (2 m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. heat flux on surface</td>
<td>70 kW/m² at 1 m height</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>15 or 80 kW/m²</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. temperature on surface</td>
<td>600°C / 20 min</td>
<td>Average 500°C, peak 800°C (read at 150 mm from the surface)</td>
<td>600°C 0.5 m high / 50 min</td>
<td>450°C / 12 min</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>800°C peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test duration</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>60 min 30 min fire exposure</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>Min. 12 min</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test specimen</td>
<td>Corner 2.5 m × 8.0 m + 1.5 m × 8.0 m</td>
<td>Flat wall 5.0 m × 6.6 m</td>
<td>Flat wall 6.0 m × 7.0 m</td>
<td>Flat wall 4.0 m × 6.7 m</td>
<td>Corner 3.0 m × 6.0 m + 1.5 m × 6.0 m</td>
<td>Flat wall 2.3 m high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate</td>
<td>Masonry or light frame</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Masonry</td>
<td>Aerated concrete</td>
<td>Aerated concrete</td>
<td>Masonry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteriaa</td>
<td>Temp. limits</td>
<td>Flame spread, system integrity, temp. rise, falling partsb</td>
<td>Temp. rise, fire spread, falling partsb</td>
<td>Flames 2 floors above; Falling parts</td>
<td>Temp. rise, fire spread, falling partsb</td>
<td>Temp. limits; Burning particles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Additional criteria may be contained in other standards or regulations.
b) Temperature rise, vertical and/or horizontal flame spread, burning droplets and particles, falling parts of certain size or mass.
Table 2. Main parameters of major international and national test methods for fire spread on external wall cladding. Draft standards and technical documents in Europe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>DIN E 4102-20</th>
<th>DIBT procedure</th>
<th>Procedure No. 281 – construction phase</th>
<th>Procedure No. 281 – finished phase</th>
<th>EOTA draft TR073 Exp. 1</th>
<th>EOTA draft TR073 Exp. 2</th>
<th>prEN 1364-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>EU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire exposure</td>
<td>25 kg wood / 320 kW propane</td>
<td>200 kg wood crib</td>
<td>30 kW propane burner</td>
<td>300 kW propane burner</td>
<td>30 kg wood crib</td>
<td>382.5 kg wood crib</td>
<td>Standard temp./time curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. heat flux on surface</td>
<td>70–95 kW/m² at 1 m height</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>55–60 kW/m²</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. temperature on surface</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Defined by standard temp./time curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test duration</td>
<td>21 min gas, 30 min wood</td>
<td>60 min 25 min fire exposure</td>
<td>15 min 10 min fire exposure</td>
<td>15 min 10 min fire exposure</td>
<td>60 min 30 min fire exposure</td>
<td>60 min 30 min fire exposure</td>
<td>Customer declaration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test specimen</td>
<td>Corner 3.0 m × 5.2 m + 2.0 m × 5.2 m</td>
<td>Corner 4 m × 9.8 m + 2 m × 9.8 m</td>
<td>3 m × 3 m insulation product uncovered</td>
<td>3 m × 3 m finished ETICS system</td>
<td>Corner 2.8 m × 5.5 m + 1.5 m × 5.5 m</td>
<td>Corner 2.8 m × 9.0 m + 1.5 m × 9.0 m</td>
<td>10 × nominal width of cavity barrier, max 3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate</td>
<td>Aerated concrete</td>
<td>Aerated concrete</td>
<td>According to “end-use condition”</td>
<td>According to “end-use condition”</td>
<td>Any substrate</td>
<td>Any substrate</td>
<td>Aerated concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteriaa</td>
<td>Temp. rise, fire spread, falling partsb</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>height of the damaged area &lt; 250 cm and not extending to the edges; droplets not igniting the specimen</td>
<td>height of the damaged area &lt; 250 cm and not extending to the edges</td>
<td>Temp. rise, fire spread, falling partsb</td>
<td>Temp. rise, fire spread, falling partsb</td>
<td>Integrity and insulation (180 K max temp. rise)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Additional criteria may be contained in other standards or regulations.
b) Temperature rise, vertical and/or horizontal flame spread, burning droplets and particles, falling parts of certain size or mass.
### Table 3. Main parameters of major international and national test methods for fire spread on external wall cladding. International and Asian tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>ISO 13785-2</th>
<th>ISO 13785-1</th>
<th>GOST 31251</th>
<th>JIS A 1310</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>CZ, SK</td>
<td>CZ, SK</td>
<td>AZ, AM, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, UZ, UA</td>
<td>JP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire exposure</td>
<td>Propane set by calibration</td>
<td>Propane 100 kW</td>
<td>Soft wood 700 MJ/m²</td>
<td>Propane 600 kW or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. heat flux on surface</td>
<td>55 kW/m² at 0.6 m height</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>12.5 kW/m² at 2 m height</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. temp. on surface</td>
<td>Min. 800°C</td>
<td>Max. 150°C at 0.5 m height</td>
<td>750°C at lintel</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test duration</td>
<td>23–27 min</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>35 min</td>
<td>25 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test specimen</td>
<td>Corner 3.0 m × 5.7 m + 1.2 m × 5.7 m</td>
<td>Corner 1.2 m × 2.4 m + 0.6 m × 2.4 m</td>
<td>Flat wall 3.0 m × 5.1 m</td>
<td>Flat wall 1.8 m × 4.1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>12 mm Ca-Si board</td>
<td>Masonry, concrete</td>
<td>Two layers of 12 mm Ca-Si board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteriaa</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>Not included (CZ version: internal temp.)</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a) Additional criteria may be contained in other standards or regulations.
b) Temperature rise, vertical and horizontal flame spread.

### Table 4. Main parameters of major international and national test methods for fire spread on external wall cladding. North American tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>NFPA 285</th>
<th>NFPA 268</th>
<th>CAN/ULC-S134</th>
<th>CAN/ULC-S101 + CAN/ULC-S114</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>CAN</td>
<td>CAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire exposure</td>
<td>Gas burner</td>
<td>Radiant panel</td>
<td>Propane set by calibration</td>
<td>Defined time/temp curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. heat flux on surface</td>
<td>38–40 kW/m² at 0.6–1.2 m height</td>
<td>12.5 kW/m²</td>
<td>45 kW/m² at 0.5 m height</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. temperature on surface</td>
<td>538°C at 3 m height or in cavities; 260°C on the 2nd storey</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Approx. 415°C</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test duration</td>
<td>30+10 min</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>25 min</td>
<td>Min. 15 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test specimen</td>
<td>Flat wall 4.1 m × 5.3 m</td>
<td>Flat wall 1.2 m × 2.4 m</td>
<td>Flat wall 10 m high</td>
<td>Flat wall min. 2.8 m × 2.8 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate</td>
<td>150 mm th. concrete wall</td>
<td>Steel tube</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteriaa</td>
<td>Flame spread, cavity fire spread, temp. riseb</td>
<td>Ignition</td>
<td>Vertical flame spread, heat flux</td>
<td>Integrity of top layerc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a) Additional criteria may be contained in other standards or regulations.
b) Temperature rise, vertical and horizontal flame spread on or within the wall.
c) Protection of foam plastics on external facade –protective layer must remain in place, no openings developed, does not disintegrate. S114 is additional non-combustibility requirement.
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