Thermal comfort analysis of building assisted with Photo Voltaic Trombe wall
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Abstract. Maintaining indoor climatic conditions of buildings compatible with the occupant comfort by consuming minimum energy, especially in a tropical climate becomes a challenging problem for researchers. This paper aims to investigate this problem by evaluating the effect of different kind of Photovoltaic Trombe wall system (PV-TW) on thermal comfort, energy consumption and CO$_2$ emission. A detailed simulation model of a single room building integrated with PV-TW was modelled using TRNSYS software. Results show that 14-35\% PMV index and 26-38\% PPD index reduces as system shifted from SPV-TW to DGPV-TW as compared to normal buildings. Thermal comfort indexes (PMV and PPD) lie in the recommended range of ASHARE for both DPV-TW and DGPV-TW except for the few months when RH\%, solar radiation intensity and ambient temperature were high. Moreover PV-TW system significantly reduces energy consumption and CO$_2$ emission of the building and also 2-4.8 °C of temperature differences between indoor and outdoor climate of building was examined.

1 Introduction

High economic growth in developed countries led to more dependence on high energy-consuming equipment for providing thermal comfort inside the buildings. Depending on previous studies buildings account for 40\% of the total global energy consumption [1]. Within this, about 76\% of energy is used only to provide heating and cooling, humidity control and comfort to the occupants [2]. According to BS EN ISO 7730 [3], thermal comfort is the mind condition to express satisfaction within the particular environment and depends on many factors. Factors include metabolic rate [For seating, light work etc. 58 W/m$^2$ [3]], air temperature [20-23.5°C for winter and 23-26°C for summer], and relative air velocity [0.1 m/s [3]]. Therefore, to reduce energy consumption without compromising with the comfort of the occupants, building integrated solar passive systems [4] are increasingly encouraged by national regulations. Solar passive systems heat, cools, ventilate or light buildings, without the need for electricity or mechanics [5]. Most typical solar passive systems used nowadays are Trombe walls [6]. Trombe-wall (TW) consist of thick thermal wall with a transparent outer glazing cover with an air duct in between [7]. By affixing photovoltaic (PV) cells on the transparent cover glazing a novel Trombe structure known as a (PV-TW) was designed by Ji et al. [8] that use solar energy not only for heating and cooling purposes, but also to harness electricity by photovoltaic cells. The PV-TW uses a PV panel that hinders the penetration of solar rays into the air space between the walls and glazing [9]. Therefore, the efficiency of the TW is enhanced in terms of cooling potential and thermal performance of Trombe wall was reduces up to 17 \% [9]. PV walls implemented in buildings located at three different cities of china were chosen to simulate the thermal performance and cooling load. It was found that a PV wall reduces 33–50\% cooling load as compared to normal wall depending on the local weather conditions [10]. He and Ji [11] conducted simulation study on building integrated with PV/T wall for summer season of Hong Kong (May-October) and found that the heat gain through a south-facing PV/T wall was 27 kWh/m$^2$ less as compared to normal wall for same thickness. Yang et al. [12] numerically investigated the effect of implementation of PV-wall on buildings and found that PV-wall structures significantly reduced heat gain in summer, thereby reduces cooling load of the building. Jinqing et al [13] numerically compared normal wall with south facing PV wall for summer climate of Hong Kong and found that PV wall reduces 51\% of heat gain. Results also explain that PV wall not only reduces heat gain in morning but also reduces heat loss at nighttime. Energy consumption reduction of building equipped with PV wall for each square meter was 52.1kWh. Wei et al. [14] compare energy performance among see-through amorphous-silicon PV (photovoltaic) glazing and traditional glazing for different climatic condition of China. Results shows that the a-Si PV glazing reduces cooling energy consumption higher than the traditional glazing. PV electricity yield is relatively small, but the glazing still save 6.5\% (low transmittance) and 4.9\% (high transmittance) of total energy consumption on average.
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Although much literature concerning the thermal performance of a PV-TW has been published, there is limited research focusing on effect of various type of PV-TW on thermal comfort of occupants. In this study thermal comfort analysis of a single zone building integrated with PV-TW was analyzed. Three different types of PV-TW system (i.e. Single glass PV-TW(SPV-TW), evacuated double glass PV-TW(DPV-TW), double-glass filled with argon PV-TW(DAPV-TW) were investigated. TRNSYS software was used for the simulation and performance of building was investigated based on the parameters i.e. Thermal comfort, room temperature and energy consumption

2 Methodology

The building performance was evaluated by means of building energy simulation program named Transient system simulation (TRNSYS) [15]. Various input data discussed below such as geometry, material characteristics of the building, meteorological data, internal gains of building, air infiltrations, glazing type and ventilation rates were inserted to calculate the thermal comfort and temperature variation inside the building.

2.1 Description of the test room.

The simulation was conducted using the single-room house facility with PV-TW as shown in Figure 1 and 2, located in Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (4°23′11″N and 100°58′47″E, Perak, Malaysia).

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the test room with PV-TW

The construction details and thermo physical properties of building materials as shown in Table 1 of the existing single zone building are given according to previous studies [16].

Figure 2. Diagram of the test room with PV-TW

Assumptions were made that the heat transfer across the walls and roof is unidirectional and occur along the thickness and is in quasi-steady state. The wall and roof structures were made of homogeneous material layers. The ambient, room air temperatures and solar intensity were assumed to be constant for 1 h. Air change per hour was assumed to be constant and all thermal properties of building materials e.g. Thermal conductivity and specific heat were assumed constant.

1. The test room is of dimensions 3.0 m (width, X) X 3.0 m (depth, Y) X 2.6 m (height, Z).

2. All exterior walls are three-layered with central layer composed of 22 cm thick brick wall and both the side walls are cement plastered. The plaster thickness is 1.5 cm for inside layer and 2.0 cm for the outside layer.

3. The roof is made up of roofing tiles of thickness 25 cm.

4. The ground is made of first layer of cement mortar (10 cm thick), second layer of sand gravel (25 cm thick) and the last layer of soil or mud phuska (40 cm thick).

5. There is one window on the northwest wall. The height and width of the window are 0.314m and 0.23 m respectively. The window is made of plywood of thickness 2.5 cm. The windows open inside. Windows are not provided with overhangs.

6. A single steel door is on the southeast wall. The dimensions of the door are 2.134 m height and 0.914 m width. The door is made of 0.5 cm thick GI metal sheet. The door opens inside.

7. A vent of the size of 0.4 m (X) X 0.1 m (Z) is opened on the bottom of the absorber wall to connect the air flow between the air duct and the room. It is 0.15 m above the wall foundation.
8. The PV-TW on the southern wall, which is located at 0.25 m from the western interior wall.

9. PV glass panel with an area of 2.6 m (height) x 0.84 m (width) and a thickness of 5 mm, a matt black painted wall and an air duct with a depth of 0.18 m in between. The outer glazing of the PVTW is 5 mm thick glass, on the back of which is affixed with 5 cm X 5 cm commercial multi-crystalline silicon PV cells with grid distribution. The PV glazing then appears of a matrix color of dark blue. The packing factor of PV cells on the glazing is about 0.334.

Table 1. Thermo physical properties of building materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Density (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Specific heat (kJ kg⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity (W m⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brick tile</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud brick</td>
<td>1731</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud phaska</td>
<td>1622</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement plaster</td>
<td>1762</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement mortar</td>
<td>1648</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone tile</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand grave</td>
<td>2240</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI sheet</td>
<td>7520</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>61.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plywood</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Internal gains

Occupant’s internal gains were assumed according to EN ISO 7730:2005[3] standard. For activities like seated, light work or typing 150 W/person (Sensible: 75W/person and Latent: 75W/person) heat was dissipated to the surroundings. Prototype room having facility of electric lighting with heat gain of 55W/m² is supposed to accommodate two person.

2.3 Energy consumption for electric lighting and equipment.

According to CEN standard[17] room should have luminance level of 500 lm/m² and a lighting efficacy of 50 lm/W [18]. Also room is equipped with basic equipment i.e. fan of 75W and small Air Cooler of 250W and PV-TW of capacity 500Wp [19].

2.4 Time Schedules

The schedules of occupancy of buildings are consider below:

Monday to Friday 08:00-07:00 with occupancy schedule: 08:00-14:00 with occupancy of 50%.

15:00-08:00 with occupancy of 100%
Saturday and Sunday 08:00-07:00 with occupancy schedule of 100%.

2.5 Infiltration and ventilation.

Energy consumption for heating and cooling are interrelated with building’s ventilation and infiltration. Ventilation is simulated by Type 2 module and ranges between 0.5 and 10 ACH [20] while infiltration rate ranges between 0.2-2 ACH [17].

2.6 Indoor Environment

Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) is used to evaluate indoor thermal comfort. According to CEN standard[16,17] annual indoor thermal comfort of a building is evaluated by calculating the time percentage of occupancy on hourly basis, and recommended range of PMV lies between -0.5< PMV <+0.5.

2.7 CO2 Emission mitigation

The numerical calculation was carried out to estimate the amount of CO2 emission mitigated due to the existing different type of PV-TW system. The average intensity of CO2 discharge from coal thermal power plant in Malaysia is 1.21 kg/kWh [21]. The total mitigation of CO2 emissions from the existing TE-AD system and conventional air conditioning systems for 30 years life can be calculated by using the equation as follows [22]:

\[
\text{CO}_2 \text{ emission mitigated (kg/life) = 1.21(} \text{kg/kWh} \times E \text{(kWh/year)} \times n \text{ (year)}
\]

2.8 Simulation Process.

Entire TRNSYS simulation modeling was defined by 11 modules (Types) as shown in Figure 3. Information flow diagram of TRNSYS model was shown in Figure 4. (Type 9a) data reader was installed to read the authentic weather data which was engendered as a .txt file. This data consists of the ambient temperature, irradiation and the relative sultriness that was accumulated from the weather station. The Daily Schedule utility programs (Type517) was used to provide 24-hour schedule of the occupant of the building. The same schedule was repeated for every day of the simulation. (Type 574) was intended to provide typical latent and sensible loads for occupancy based on the activity level of the occupants. (Type 567-2) was installed in connection with (Type 36d) and then to (Type 56). (Type 567) was used to model a glazed solar collector which has the dual purpose of generating power from photovoltaic (PV) cells and transferring heat to an air inside an air duct passing beneath the absorbing PV surface. Input parameters i.e. inlet air temperature, air flow rate, ambient temperature, ambient solar radiation, incidence angle, PV efficiency, and glazing properties were inserted. (Type 36d) was set to model a thermal storage wall which is essentially a high capacitance solar collector directly coupled to the
room. (Type 56) was intended to model a thermal behavior of a building having multiple thermal zones. A separate pre-processing program PREBID that can read and execute a file having all building information as discussed above was used. PREBID generates two files that are used by the Type 56 component during a TRNSYS simulation. (Type 25) is a printer component used to output (or print) culled system variables at designated intervals of time. (Type 642) models a fan that is utilized to vary the air flow velocity.

3. Results and Discussion

In this section, we present the findings of implementation of different types of PV-TW system in the test room. Outdoor climatic condition of test room was presented in Figure 5. The results presented in Figs. 6-7 show the variation of thermal comfort index, and indoor temperature of test room with and without PV-TW system. Also mitigation of CO₂ and energy consumption reduction by PV-TW system was done. Moreover changes occur due to PV-TW system in indoor climatic condition of test room were presented in Figs. 8-10. The detailed discussion of simulation results are given in the subsequent subsections.

3.1. Thermal comfort of occupants with different PV-TW system.

Comparative study of building with and without different types of PV-TW system on thermal comfort of occupants were investigated and presented in Figs. 8-10. It was found that maximum thermal comfort indexes reduced by SPV-TW system was 14 % PMV index and 26% PPD index while DPV-TW system reduces 24 % of PMV and 31% of PPD index as compared to building without PV-TW. DG-PV-TW system gives optimal results and reduces 35% of PMV and 38% PPD index as compared to normal building. From September to December PMV indexes lies in between (0<PMV<1) and PPD indexes were less than 20% in both DPV-TW and DG-PV-TW. This indicates that the condition of Occupant’s in this set of month lies in between slightly warm and neutral condition and less than 20% of the occupants were thermally dissatisfied with the building environment.

While for other months, condition of occupants lies in between (1<PMV<2) and PPD indexes were more than 15% for building equipped with SPV-TW and DPV-TW. By comparing the thermal comfort indexes of three different types PV-TW system, it was found that ventilated DG-PV-TW system provides more insulation towards heat penetration. So the amount of heat penetrated inside the building and at the back portion of the PV panel gets reduced. Beside these months, i.e. January, February and July, less than 20% occupants feel thermal discomfort which lies in the recommend range of ASHARE [20]. Other researchers also found that more comfortable conditions were provided by building equipped with high insulating glazing [23,24].
3.2 Energy consumption and mitigation of CO₂ emission.

Effect of thermal comfort on energy consumption and CO₂ emission of building integrated with and without different types of PV-TW was examined. It was pointed out that when the PPD index decreases from 26% to 38% as system was changed from SPV-TW to DGPV-TW, energy consumption of building also decreases from 47.5 kWh to 54.6 kWh per month as compared to normal buildings. Since energy consumption is proportional to CO₂ emission and about 0.98 kg of CO₂ is emitted for 1 kWh [25] of electricity production, CO₂ emission also decreases from 33.7 kg to 38.3 kg. By comparing the energy consumption of building assisted with different type of PV-TW and normal buildings, it was found that more than 50% of energy consumption and CO₂ emission was reduced by implementing DGPV-TW. DGPV-TW provides significant thermal comfort and reduction in energy consumption and CO₂ emission as compared to other types of PV-TW. Likewise, for most of the months with the exception of January, February and July, DGPV-TW provides more thermal comfort; reduction in energy consumption and CO₂ emission. Other researchers also found that annual energy demand reduces significantly by using energy efficient glazing [24, 26].

3.3 Indoor climate condition of test room for different PV-TW system.

The effects of three different types of PV-TW system on indoor climatic condition of the test room was investigated and presented in Figs. 8, 9 and 10, respectively. Three days simulation data for each type of PV-TW was presented to reduce haziness in graph. It was found that the room temperature first decreases and then increases in the presence of sunlight and finally decreases in the night time for all three types of PV-TW system. This behavior is due to heat wave propagation from outside surface (i.e. PV panel) to the inner surface of the wall which requires time or called as time lag [7]. Simulated results demonstrated in Figure 8 shows that SPV-TW reduces 0.8-1.4°C indoor temperature while DPV-TW system as presented in Figure 9, can reduce 1.2-3.1°C indoor temperature. Among all the three cases, DGPV-TW system shows highest reduction in indoor temperature of 2.6-4.8°C as presented in Figure 10. Thus DGPV-TW system provides more insulation towards heat penetration, so the amount of heat penetrated inside the building get reduced. By comparing our results with Ji et al [27] experimental results it was found that for Hefei, China climate the temperature difference between the room with and without PV-TW reaches maximum up to 12.3 °C [27] but for Ipoh, Malaysia climate maximum temperature difference between the room with and without PV-TW reaches up to 4.8°C for DGPV-TW case. Other researchers also shown that ventilated PV-TW has a significant effect on room temperature of the building and reduces 33%-50% cooling load of building as compared to normal buildings [28, 10].
By comparing our results with J. Peng et al. [13] results as presented in Figure 11, it can be inferred that room coupled with DGPV-TW system shows maximum heat gain reduction.

4. Conclusions

Simulation study was carried out to examine the effect of different types of PV-TW on thermal comfort, energy consumption and CO₂ emission. It was found that factors like solar radiation, air temperature, humidity, wind, and PV-TW have a high impact on indoor climatic condition of the building. Thermal comfort indexes (PMV and PPD) decrease as system was changed from SPV-TW to DGPV-TW. Occupants feel more comfort when DGPV-TW systems was implemented. As energy consumption and CO₂ emission of the building are proportional to thermal comfort of occupants, implementation of any type PV-TW system discussed above reduces both factors. Maximum reduction of energy consumption i.e.54.6 kWh and CO₂ emission i.e. 38.6 kg was achieved in DGPV-TW systems and thus among all three types of PV-TW systems DGPV-TW system is more suitable for Malaysian weather conditions.
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