Comparing Variability of Parameters between Roller Bed Brakes Testers and Dynamometric Platform of Ministry Of Transport Facilities
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Abstract. This study gives a comparison between which parameters may vary when braking on two different Ministry of Transport (MOT) brake testers, such as roller bed brake tester and dynamometric platform. A comparative study between both types of brake testers have been carried out by the mechanical engineering staffs at the mechanical laboratory at the Miguel Hernández University in Elche to determinate which parameters can vary the result of the test.

1 Introduction

This work deals the study of the influence of variables in two different Ministry of Transport brake testers such as roller bed brake tester and dynamometric platform brake tester, in the longitudinal braking action and sliding.

The aim of the study is to find out a vehicle's braking capacity by measuring slippage on two brake testers at MOT centres, compare the measurements with each other when varying some parameters, and then use the results to assess the reliability of the machine in testing brake systems.

When a vehicle is taken to an MOT testing facility, this includes a brake test made on a roller bed to check the brake circuit. This research analyses how far the tyre parameters studied affect the measurements taken on the roller bed. Ultimately, we study if the MOT brake tester correctly assesses the condition of brakes 100% efficiently in comparison with measurements taken from the dynamometric platform brake tester.

2 Mathematical demonstration

To know which parameters influence on the brake measurement on brake testers, we have to analyzemathematicallythe brake equations.

Considering:

\( r_e \) = Effective radius of the wheel on rollers
\( r_{ep} \) = Effective radius of the wheel on flat ground
\( r_2 = r_3 \) = radius of rollers
\( M \) = Sum of moments
\( M_f \) = Braking torque applied to the vehicle wheel
\( M_t \) = Roller tractor torque, \( M_{t2} = M_{t3} \)
\( F_2 = F_3 \) = Roller tractor force,
\( F_r \) = Frictional force , \( F_{r2} = F_{r3} \)
\( \mu \) = Rollers roughness
\( P \) = Weight on the wheel
\( R_e \) = Effective radius of the wheel
\( \cos \theta \) = Angle between the symmetry axis and the line between wheel-roller centers.
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On roller bank there is not advance so: \( I \alpha = 0 \)

(1)

Forces and moments acting on the wheel when braking on dynamometric platform:

Forces in the x axis [5-7]:

\[
\sum F_x = ma
\]

(2)

In Table 1 formulas of the braking torque on dynamometric platform and on roller bed can be compared:

Table 1. Formulas on both cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On roller bank</td>
<td>( M_f = 2Mt - 2\frac{\mu \cdot r \cdot F_f}{\cos \phi} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( M_f = 2r_e F_3 - I \cdot \alpha - 2 Fr_3 r_e )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( M_f = 2r_e F_3 - I \cdot \alpha - \frac{2r_e \cdot \mu \cdot P}{\cos \phi} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( F_3 = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \alpha + \frac{M_f}{2r_e} + \frac{2 \cdot \mu \cdot P}{\cos \phi} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On dynanometric platform</td>
<td>( M_{fp} = - I \alpha + F_x \cdot r_{ep} - Fr \cdot r_{ep} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( M_{fp} = - I \alpha + F_x \cdot r_{ep} - \mu \cdot P \cdot r_{ep} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( F_x = \frac{1}{r_e} \cdot \left( 2F_3 - \frac{4 \cdot \mu \cdot P}{\cos \phi} \right) = r_{qlf} \cdot (F_x - \mu \cdot P) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the wheel brakes the wheel should be under the same conditions. Comparing brake torque on dynamometric platform and on roller bed tester formulas we will see the differences:

If \( M_{fr} = M_{fp} \), (subindex \( r \) = rollers, \( p \) = platform) at constant velocity we have:

\[
2r_e F_3 - Fr \cdot r_{er} = F_x \cdot r_{ep} - Fr \cdot r_{ep} - r_e \cdot (2F_3 - Fr_3) = r_{ep} (F_{xp} - Fr_p)
\]

(12)

Or what it is the same:

As we can see, the drag force on the roller is not the same as on level ground, assuming a zero slip, so the braking torque in either case will depend primarily on factors such as:

- The effective radius on flat ground
- The effective radius on rollers.
- Tyre pressure
- Acceleration during the Test
- The separation of the rollers and the \( \cos \phi \)
- The weight on wheel
- The adhesion of the wheels on the ground or rollers and the rolling resistance.
3. Testing methods

The vehicle used in the research was a used Renault 21 “Nevada” 7-seater, diesel with a mileage of 90,000 kilometres. It is equipped with front disc brakes with sliding clamps and uses DOT 4 brake fluid with a tandem brake pump. The rear wheels have drum brakes.

The test on the brake roller tester at the MOT centre is carried out by placing the vehicle on rollers. The emergency brake should not be actuated. The car stops on the roller bed [1]. Then the rollers rotate at 5km/h of speed. This velocity is indicated in the “MOT procedure manual” [2] from Ministry of Industry, Tourism and trade of Spain (2014) [3-4]. Brake pedal will be pressed until 100% of slippage is obtained.

The torque on the rotation axis of the rollers is measured using a strain gauge. The pressure in the brake hydraulic circuit on the right wheel of the vehicle is also measured using a hydraulic sensor in the hydraulic pipe of the right wheel.

To calculate ant slippage, the angular velocity of the rollers and vehicle wheels are measured using two OMRON encoders: the first is fitted to the brake roller tester and the second is in contact with the front, right-hand wheel of the vehicle.

Data were recorded using an LMS Pimento portable, multi-channel analyser.

To ensure that the rotation of the encoders was synchronised with the rollers and the wheel, a spring was placed ensuring good contact.

The slippage using the following expression:

\[
\text{Slippage} = 1 - \frac{\text{speed of vehicle}}{\text{speed of fifth wheel}}
\]

Each of these measurements was obtained by taking the average of ten braking data sets with the same conditions; dispersion of each group of brake measurements was less than 3%.

4. Experimentation

The measurements obtained from tests were: the brake pressure on the vehicle and the slippage on the braking wheel.

As can be seen in the pictures below, the roughness of rollers at MOT-450 and MOT-410 were the same, 49 microns, while at the MOT-390 they were lower, 33 microns, because the equipment had been used thousands of times for brake tests in the active period at MOT facilities in Alicante.

Mot brake testers analyzed were:
MOT Station 450 from our laboratory at the campus Miguel Hernandez University from Elche, with a distance between rollers of 450 mm.
MOT Station 410, the city of Elche. The distance between rollers is 410 mm.
MOT Station 390, located at the Torreblanca building, at Miguel Hernández University from Elche. The distance between rollers is 390 mm.

Dynamometric platform from our laboratory at the campus Miguel Hernandez University from Elche

Tests on the four MOTs brake testers were performed with the same Continental Contact tyre, to know that the differences obtained in the measures were not due to this parameter. Tyres were inflated from between 1 and 3 bar (1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 and 3). A comparative analysis was made of the braking and slippage measurements for the same test conditions carried out with different tyre pressures.
5 Variability of experimental data obtained on roller bed brake tester

With the same roller diameter and different distance between rollers, (the numerical value after the MOT acronym indicates the roller base in mm), to analyze the influence of this parameter in brake data obtained.

Differences in measurements were obtained due to the decrease of 40mm of distance between rollers (MOT-450 and MOT-410). The roughness of rollers was the same: 49μm.

It was observed that the car will pass the test only with tyre pressure higher than 1.5 bar or more at MOT-410, when the brake system is right. However, with the same car and the same tyre it will never pass the test at MOT-390 while it will always pass the test at the MOT-450 tester with any tyre pressure. It has been proven that a vehicle will have the highest possibility of passing the test using the MOT-450 secondly MOT-410, and finally the MOT-390.

The influence of the roughness on the brake data measured have been analyzed by comparing the measurements between MOT-390 and MOT-410. 32% Lower brake data values was obtained using the MOT-390 using the same Continental Contact tyre. This difference was due to the different distance between rollers and tyre and due to the different roughness of roller surface, 49μm for MOT 410 and 33 μm at MOT-390. The roughness values were measured with a digital profilometer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>From 1 to 3 bar tyre pressure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tyre pressure</td>
<td>At MOT-450 maximum difference 8,5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOT-390 max. difference 41,4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rollers roughness</td>
<td>It decreases 27,7 % when it changes from 49 μm to 33 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOT wheel base</td>
<td>+11,6% (1bar) to -1,5% (3bar) Comparing MOT-410 vs MOT-450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight increment</td>
<td>+2,5 to +12% increasing +54kg on each wheel.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, it has been demonstrated that the measure of brake obtained from roller MOT testers depends on the tyre pressure, the distance between rollers, the roughness of rollers and the weight on the wheel. The same vehicle, with the brake system in perfect condition, can pass or fail the brake test, depending on which of the MOT testers were used.

Finally, it can be concluded that these parameters affect the brake measurements at MOT test centers and distort the results of the brake test.

6 Variability of data obtained on a dynamometric platform

Through various tests it has been possible to identify the importance of tyre deformation at the contact patch. It is essential to let the tyre tread minimum period to develop and stabilize the deformation in the contact patch and remove the transitional periods. Otherwise, it is not possible to characterize the behavior of the tyre at steady state. Changing the direction of vehicle movement involves changes in the deformation of the contact patch and therefore the forces \( F_x \) and \( F_y \).

From the results shown in the previous sections of this article we can conclude that the main variables influencing the longitudinal and transverse forces are:

- The convergence angle,
- Wheel pressure, \( P \)
- Vertical force \( F_z \).

It has proven the small influence of variation of parameters such as: camber angle, and the temperature, \( T \), in the registered forces.

We analyzed the influence of increasing the weight on the car by 108 kg on the front axle with 54 kg on each wheel. Brake data was measured with different tyre pressures with the same Continental Contact tyre.

This addition of weight to each wheel on the front axle affects the brake measurements at MOT-450 by between 2.5% with 1 bar tyre pressure and 12% with 2.5 bar tyre pressure. So the more the weight increases so too does the possibility of passing the test, even more so when the tyre pressure increases.

This phenomenon is produced due to the weight on the front axle produces a bigger contact area for friction between the tyre and the roller, therefore that the maximum slip appears later, then more brake torque has to be applied to the wheel to obtain 100% of slippage on the MOT brake tester.

Therefore, the maximum brake value when the maximum slip point is obtained will be higher as the weight increases because the effective radius \( r_e \) will be lower because of the weight on the wheel. \[8\]. If \( \cos \theta \) is higher brake torque, \( M_f \), to stop the wheel on the rollers will be higher.

Moreover, when the radius decreases because the weight increases, the brake torque to stop the vehicle increases.

To summarise see Table 2, % of variation when parameters studied changed.
Finally, it is important to emphasize the limited influence of speed in the transmission of forces on the tyre-road contact in the range between 0 and 8.4 m / s (0 to 30 km / h), no as for higher speeds, and the importance of conducting a pre-entry plate and adhesion control for variations in the rolling contact surface [8-9].

7 Comparison between both measurements

To understand the discrepancy of measurements between roller bed brake tester and dynanometric platform, (with the same tyre and the same wheel inflation pressure and the same load on wheel), it should be analyzed the variation of the tyre contact patch.

The tyre contact patch will influence: adhesion, rolling ised effort, and rolling resistance.

For this study it was used a Tyre type: Radial 155/70R13 , wheel pressure: 2,3 bar, vehicle used: Ford fiesta.

Data results of tyre contact patch on rollers and on a dynanometric platform is shown below in table 3.

Table 3: Area of tyre contact patch on rollers and on a dynanometric platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Contact area (mm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roller bed</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 2</td>
<td>24.59 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter</td>
<td>23.31 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 3</td>
<td>23.45 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter</td>
<td>21.46 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48.04 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio of laded wheel</td>
<td>r= 26.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynanometric platform</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 1</td>
<td>230.28 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter</td>
<td>63.83 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio of laded wheel</td>
<td>R= 26.3 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8 Discussion and Conclusions

When a vehicle is tested in the MOT facilities an objective brake test would be expected. Therefore, measurements should not be influenced by any other parameter. We only need to know if the brakes are in good condition or not.

The braking state is checked by measuring brake effectiveness. Efficiency must overcome a rejection threshold of 50%, which is the same for all Spanish MOT brake testers independent of the characteristics of the individual MOT brake test used.

We have obtained many experimental data. Each time a parameter influence was studied.

According to data obtained, the braking required to stop the wheel increases as tyre pressure increases at whichever MOT brake tester is used, when the same Continental Contact tyre is used.

With a higher tyre pressure, the tyre deforms less so the contact area between the wheel and the roller is lower. With a smaller contact area between the tyre and the rollers a higher torque will be required to stop the wheel on the roller tester and also on a dynanometric platform.

Variation of distance between rollers from 450mm to 410mm produces a variation in the measurements gathered by the MOT brake sensor, from 1.4% for 3 bar tyre pressure to 11.6% for 1 bar tyre pressure.

On the other hand it has been demonstrated that variations of angles of the wheel will increase the slippage on rollers and on the dynanometric platform so brake measurements will vary when angles (such as: camber angle, castor angle, alignment toe) vary.

Therefore, it has been demonstrated that depending on other extrinsic factors to the brake system, the vehicle would pass or not the rejection threshold. Moreover it could not pass the test with brakes in good conditions.

Thereby, we can cast doubt on the suitability of a brake roller tester and dynanometric platform to determine whether the brake system is in good condition or not.
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