Intermetallic eutectic alloys in the Ni-Al-Zr system with attractive high temperature properties
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Abstract. We describe a group of alloys with ultrahigh strength of about 2 GPa at 700°C and exceptional oxidation resistance to 1100°C. These alloys exploit intermetallic phases with stable oxide forming elements that combine to form fine nanometric scale structures through eutectic transformations in ternary systems. The alloys offer engineering tensile plasticity of about 4% at room temperature though both conventional dislocation mechanisms and twinning in the more complex intermetallic constituent, along with slip lengths that are restricted by the interphase boundaries in the eutectics.

1. Introduction

Intermetallics such as Ni3Al and NiAl have been extensively evaluated for high temperature alloy systems and indeed have been used for several decades in the classical Ni base superalloys which derive their high temperature strength from nanoscale dispersions of the aluminate, Ni5Zr(γ') in a matrix of disordered fcc Ni (γ), alloyed with expensive, high density, refractory elements such as Re and Ru. We describe a new alloy system based on intermetallic phases realised from solidification of compositions in the Ni-Al-Zr system. Our choice of alloy compositions was based on an earlier assessment of equilibria and liquidus projections [1–3]. We have identified in this system three binary eutectics, NiAl(β) + Ni7Zr2(τ), Ni3Al(γ') + Ni7Zr2(τ), Ni3Al(γ') + Ni5Zr(ε) and a ternary eutectic NiAl+Ni3Al+Ni7Zr2. We have evaluated the mechanical behaviour of these in compression as a function of temperature, and in some cases in tension at room temperature. We have also assessed the oxidation behaviour of these alloys. The alloys show ultrahigh strength to temperatures of 700°C and attractive oxidation resistance and high temperature structural microstructural stability. The high strength is accompanied by tensile plasticity.

2. Experimental

Alloys were non-consumable vacuum remelted several times into 50 gm buttons and suction cast into 3 mm diameter rods. The composition of the alloys that were examined in this study are shown in Fig. 1 as well as in Table 1.

The as-melted alloys were characterised by optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and electron probe microanalysis (EPMA). Samples for transmission electron microscopy were prepared by either electropolishing in a solution of picric acid, glycerol and ethanol at a temperature of 5°C, or by ion milling. Both compression (3 mm diameter and 4.5 mm height) and flat microtensile samples (thickness 2 mm, gauge length 10 mm) were prepared from the suction cast rods. These samples were polished prior to testing to permit the examination of slip lines after tests. Fracture surfaces of the tensile samples were also examined to elucidate fracture modes at room temperature.

Oxidation experiments were carried out in air with continuous weight measurements using a micro balance with a sensitivity of about 100 µg. Samples with flat polished surfaces were used in the oxidation tests.

3. Results

3.1. Microstructure

Microstructures of fully eutectic alloys are shown in Fig. 2. These alloys lie essentially along the 11% Zr section. We have identified in this section a variety of eutectic combinations that form under the cooling conditions that are realised experimentally. These are Ni3Al+Ni5Zr in alloy E1, Ni3Al+Ni7Zr2 in alloy E2, NiAl+Ni7Zr2 in alloy E3 and the ternary eutectic NiAl+Ni3Al+Ni7Zr2 in alloy TE. The eutectic colonies in alloy E3 show a central lamellar structure that degenerates to a more irregular appearance at the boundaries. The eutectic in alloy E2 consists of an extremely fine fibrous structure, while the eutectic in alloy E1 again has a central fine lamellar structure surrounded by a coarser irregular lamellar morphology. The ternary eutectic shows the phases in dark (NiAl), light grey (Ni3Al), and light contrast (Ni7Zr2). Combinations of the binary eutectics Ni3Al+Ni7Zr2 and NiAl+Ni7Zr2 are realised in alloys ME2/3 and ME3/2, with the latter containing greater
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Figure 1. Alloy compositions are designated to show binary eutectic compositions (E and ME), a ternary eutectic (TE) and compositions with primary intermetallic solidification phases (P).

Table 1. Alloy designations indicate binary eutectics (E), mixtures of binary eutectics (ME), a ternary eutectic (TE) and compositions with primary phase compositions (P).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME2/3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME3/2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pγ′</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pγ′/1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pγ′/2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pγ′/3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pγ′/4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pγ′</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pτ1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pτ2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pτ2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

amounts of the eutectic E3. Other alloys show the presence of primary solidification phases along with a eutectic (Fig. 3). Thus alloy Pγ′/1 contains the primary Ni3Al phase while alloys Pβ,γ′/1 and Pβ,γ′/2 show the primary NiAl and Ni3Al phases. Alloy Pτ1 and Pτ2 has a primary Ni7Zr2 phase while alloy Pε contains the primary Ni5Zr phase.

3.2. Mechanical behaviour

Figure 4 shows the hardness and modulus of Ni3Al, Ni5Zr and Ni7Zr2 determined by picohardness measurements on the primary solidification phases. We note that there is no prior report of the mechanical behaviour of the Ni5Zr and Ni7Zr2 phases available in the literature. The properties of the NiAl and Ni3Al phases as available in the literature are included in the Figure. The Ni7Zr2 has the highest hardness and modulus followed by the Ni5Zr phase.

These data were obtained with low load (10 μN) piconindentation consisting of Berkovich indenter with a SiC tip. The low load indenter (PI 85 SEM PicoIndenter from Hysitron) is used in conjunction with a scanning electron microscope (SEM).

Figure 2. Eutectic structures: (a) alloy E3 (b) alloy (E2) (c) alloy E1 (d) alloy TE (e) alloy ME2/3 (d) alloy ME3/2.

Figure 3. Alloys containing primary intermetallic phases: (a) alloy Pγ′/1 (b) Pγ′ (c) alloy Pβ,γ′/1 (d) alloy Pβ,γ′/2 (e) alloy Pτ1 (f) alloy Pτ2.

Figure 5 shows data from compression tests as a function of temperature for the eutectic alloys. The eutectic Ni3Al+Ni5Zr has the highest strength of all the eutectics. The strength levels observed are significantly above those of typical Ni base superalloys. The strength levels persist to 700°C and then drop significantly.
Tensile data at room temperature are shown in Fig. 6 for three of the alloys, one containing the eutectic Ni$_3$Al+Ni$_5$Zr (alloy E1) and the others containing different proportions of the eutectics Ni$_3$Al+Ni$_5$Zr$_2$ and NiAl+Ni$_5$Zr$_2$. Significant tensile plasticity of 3–4% is observed at these extremely high strength levels.

In Fig. 7, we show an example of failure initiation and fracture in tension from alloy E1 containing the Ni$_3$Al+Ni$_5$Zr eutectic. Figure 2 shows that the eutectic exits in two length scales. Failure initiation occurs through void nucleation at the Ni$_3$Al/Ni$_5$Zr interfaces in the coarser segments of the microstructure and subsequently growth through the Ni$_3$Al phase around Ni$_5$Zr. The resulting fracture surface has a macroscopically ductile appearance, with evidence for void formation in Ni$_3$Al in both the fine, lamellar regions and the coarse irregular morphology of the eutectic.

The scale of fracture features is related to the fine submicron scale of the eutectic and the Ni$_5$Zr lamellae probably fail by tearing of the lamellar ligaments after voids have formed within Ni$_3$Al. Thus deformation appears to occur by extensive plasticity within Ni$_3$Al before it spreads to the stronger Ni$_5$Zr phase. We have examined deformation structure within these intermetallic phases by transmission electron microscopy. Conventional deformation by dislocation-based plasticity is observed in Ni$_3$Al, NiAl and Ni$_5$Zr (Fig. 8), while Ni$_7$Zr$_2$ deforms by an unusual microtwinning mechanism in the (Fig. 9).

We have also evaluated the effect of the presence of the primary intermetallic phases in the microstructure on room temperature strength in compression. Figure 10 shows this for two different base alloys, the E1 eutectic and the ME2/3 eutectic combination. In all cases the presence of primary phases lowers the strength.

### 3.3. Oxidation resistance

Figure 11 shows oxidation data for alloys E1(Ni$_3$Al +Ni$_5$Zr) and ME3/2 (Ni$_3$Al+Ni$_7$Zr$_2$ and NiAl+Ni$_7$Zr$_2$). These suggest that oxidation occurs in 3 distinct stages associated with different reaction kinetics.

An initial stage of very rapid oxidation is seen, followed by a transition stage to a steady state associated with progressively decreasing rate constants. In some
cases, particularly in alloy C, there is evidence of instability in the oxidation curves that suggests spalling of the oxide layer in early stages before a final steady state regime is reached.

The rate constants associated with the steady state regime correspond to the rate constants that are associated with the oxidation of Ni$_3$Al and NiAl and superalloys with Al$_2$O$_3$ or spinel formation rather than the formation of the NiO or Zr$_2$O$_3$ phases which are associated with rate constants that are orders of magnitude higher (Fig. 12). The significantly larger rate constant associated with the initial rapid oxidation phase is more likely to be associated with the initial formation of the latter oxides.

However the activation energies in the steady state regime are significantly lower than those associated with the oxidation of Ni$_3$Al or NiAl which correspond more generally to Al$_2$O$_3$ formation or to the formation of mixed oxides of NiO, Al$_2$O$_3$ and spinel. In fact these activation energies correspond to no known oxidation or diffusion processes. As we shall see below the microstructure of the oxygen affected layers in these alloys are considerably more complex and indicative of several processes that operate simultaneously. It is thus not possible to correlate these apparent activation energies with any one simple process.

Figure 8. Dislocation structures in various intermetallic phases in the eutectics.

Figure 9. Microtwinning in Ni$_7$Zr$_2$.

Figure 10. The effect of primary intermetallic phases in the microstructure on the yield strength at room temperature.

Figure 11. Plots of the square of weight gain against time in (a) alloy E1 and (b) alloy ME3/2.

Figure 12. Activation plots for Ni$_3$Al, NiAl, Ni$_7$Zr$_2$ and alloys E1 (A in figure) and ME2/3 (C in figure). Data for Ni$_5$Zr from [8] and NiAl and Ni$_3$Al from [9].
Figure 13 shows the cross-section of the oxidized layer in alloy ME3/2 after 16 hours at 900°C. An outermost layer, Zone 5, is composed of NiO and ZrO₂ (no Al is present in this layer). Zone 4 consists of Zr rich and Ni rich regions. The intermediate layers between zone 1 and zone 4 consist of a thin Ni depleted Al, Zr and O rich layer (zone 3). A layer, zone 2, containing relatively lower amounts of Al, Zr and O is present between zone 3 and zone 1. Zone 3 and 2 do not contain oxides of Al or Zr. The composition range of zone 3 suggests that this region might be composed primarily of the intermetallic Ni₃AlZr. Zone 1 is marginally depleted in Zr and Al and therefore enriched in Ni but not substantively altered in microstructure. A detailed analysis of the EPMA images leads to the schematic description of the oxygen affected cross-section shown in Fig. 13. The following steps may be envisaged for the evolution of the oxygen-affected microstructure:

(i) O ingress into the Ni₃Zr intermetallic in solid solution.
(ii) The formation of NiO by the outward diffusion of Ni from Ni₃Zr and Ni₅Al.
(iii) Interaction of Al and Zr in the base metal with oxygen at the NiO scale/metal interface to form Ni-Al-Zr-O complexes in the intermediate layers.

The presence of Ni₃Al as a primary solidification phase lowers the oxidation resistance, while the presence of the Zr rich intermetallics does not affect the oxidation resistance compared with the baseline eutectic alloys as shown by the weight gain data in Fig. 14.

4. Summary

In summary, we find that submicron scale eutectic structures in the Ni-Al-Zr systems comprised of the Ni₃Al, Ni₅Al, Ni₃Zr and Ni₅Zr₂ intermetallics offer very high strength combined with reasonable tensile plasticity at room temperature under the processing conditions that have been explored in this study. Certainly the more complex intermetallic phases Ni₅Zr and Ni₇Zr₂ are
expected to be brittle in room temperature. Nevertheless, under the fine scale, constrained deformation conditions that have been engineered in these microstructures, we see no evidence of brittle behaviour. This exceptional mechanical behaviour is complemented with extremely good high temperature structural stability and oxidation resistance. It is tempting to speculate that stability of the oxide scales is also related to the scale of the underlying microstructure and distribution of alloying elements that react form submicron or nano-grained dispersions of mixed oxides. Considering in addition the low densities of these alloys, ranging from 7.35–7.95 gm/cc, and the relatively low cost of the constituent elements, this system is clearly of great potential for high temperature applications.
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